# **UK Shared Prosperity Fund – Flintshire Stage 1 Scoring & Assessment Template**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Project Information** |  |
| Project Reference: |  |  |
| Project Name: |  |  |
| Applicant Organisation: |  |  |
| **Gateway Criteria**  |
| 1. Is the application from an organisation eligible to receive UK SPF support?
 | Yes ☐ No ☐ |  |
| 1. Will the project be completed by 31st December 2024?
 | Yes ☐ No ☐ |  |
| 1. Does the project address one of the investment priorities identified in the UK SPF Prospectus?
 | Yes ☐ No ☐  |  |
| 1. Is the project strategic?
 | Yes ☐ No ☐ |  |
| 1. Does the project have a value in excess of £250,000?
 | Yes ☐ No ☐ |  |
| 1. Is it a large capital or infrastructure project?
 | Yes ☐ No ☐ |  |
| 1. Has the applicant demonstrated that the project will not duplicate other local, regional or national provision?
 | Yes ☐ No ☐ |  |
| 1. Is the project assessor aware of any duplication of provision?
 | Yes ☐ No ☐ |  |
| 1. Does the project demonstrate that it will directly address, and have a meaningful impact on, the local priorities identified in the SPF workshop consultation
 | Yes ☐ No ☐ |  |
| 1. Has the project sufficiently demonstrated that it complies with Subsidy Control principles and requirements?
 | Yes ☐ No ☐ |  |
| 1. Have any other issues been identified that would preclude the project from being implemented effectively or that would create a significant risk to the Council?
 | Yes ☐ No ☐ |  |
| ***Projects must pass all gateway criteria to be considered for selection. Failure to adequately demonstrate one or more of these criteria may result in project rejection.*** |  |
| **Does the project meet the gateway criteria?** | Yes ☐ No ☐ |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Selection Criteria**  |
| **Section 1 – Strategic fit with local priorities and evidence of local need** |
| ***1. How well does the proposal contribute to local needs and priorities identified in relevant local plans and strategies?*** |
| Projects are likely to score higher where they:* Demonstrate how they will address a current gap in provision or an identified need
* Reference how activities are relevant to local plans and strategies
* Refer to any market research, needs analysis or local statistics to support the project
* Provide evidence from any pilot projects or previous activity to demonstrate sufficient need and demand
 |
| **Comment:**  |
| ***2. Does the proposal demonstrate that it is new or that it complements and aligns with existing activity?*** |
| Projects are likely to score higher where:* They can demonstrate how they can confirm and evidence that there is no duplication
* Existing delivery partners have indicated that there is no duplication with existing provision
* They complement or add value to existing activity and provision
 |
| **Comment:**  |
| ***3. What evidence is there of local support?***  |
| Projects are likely to score higher where they:* Refer to any local consultation or engagement exercises and explain how this has influenced the development of the project
* Demonstrate clear support from local partners and stakeholders and explain how they have been engaged
* Explain the roles of local partners and stakeholders in project implementation
 |
| **Comment:** |

|  |
| --- |
| **Section 2 – Capability** |
| ***Does the applicant organisation demonstrate its ability to effectively deliver the project?*** |
| Projects are likely to score higher where the applicant organisation (and any delivery partners):* Have the necessary skills and experience in delivering a project of this size and scale
* Have a proven track record of administering significant funding regimes and programmes
* Have the required resources (staff, organisational, operational, financial) and expertise
* Have the capacity and capability to manage the project as described
 |
| **Comment:** |
|  |
| **Section 3 – Deliverability** |
| ***Are the project timescales and budget realistic and achievable?*** |
| Projects are likely to score higher where:* They are deliverable within the stated timescale
* The delivery milestones are realistic and achievable
* They represent an efficient mode of delivery
* The project budget is realistic and has been calculated on sound evidence
* It will operate at an appropriate scale and the management, administration and overheads costs are proportionate to the activity and project delivery costs
* Any retrospective costs are relevant and appropriate to project delivery
 |
| **Comment:** |
|  |
| **Section 4 – Value for Money** |
| ***Will the project deliver significant achievements and results for the local area?*** |
| Projects are likely to score higher where:* They can provide an element of cash match funding
* The stated outputs and outputs reflect project activities and are achievable
* The project costs are proportionate to proposed outputs, outcomes and beneficiaries
* The projects have the potential to make a significant and meaningful contribution to Flintshire’s priorities
* Is it clear that the projects would not proceed, or could only be delivered on a smaller scale without UK SPF support
 |
| **Comment:** |

|  |
| --- |
| **Project Score** |
| **Section** | **Maximum Score** | **Project Score** |
| 1.1 | 15 |  |
| 1.2 | 5 |  |
| 1.3 | 15 |  |
| 2 | 10 |  |
| 3 | 15 |  |
| 4 | 10 |  |
| **TOTAL** | **70** |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Assessor’s Recommendation:**  |
| Comment: |
| Progress ☐Reject ☐ |  |
| Completed by: |  | Date: |  |
|  |  | Date: |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Economic Recovery Group Recommendation:**  |
| Comment: |
| Progress ☐Reject ☐ |  |
| Date of meeting |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Local Authority Decision:**  |
| Comment: |
| Approve ☐Reject ☐ |  |
| Completed by: |  | Cabinet Member | Date: |  |
|  |  | Chief Officer | Date: |  |