Flintshire LDP Consultation Report October 2020 Appendices 21a to 24 Redacted Version

- Appendix21a Main Issues Schedule Foreword / Introduction - 355 Appendix 21b Main Issues Schedule Strategic Polices - 364 Main Issues Schedule Dev Man Policies – Creating Sustainable Places - 432 Appendix 21c Main Issues Schedule Dev Man Policies – Supporting a Prosperous Appendix 21d Economy - 442 Appendix 21e Main Issues Dev Man Policies – Meeting Housing Needs - 452 Appendix 21f Main Issues Dev Man Policies - Valuing the Environment - 483 Deposit LDP - Notice of Deposit - 502 Appendix 22 Deposit LDP - Consultation Letter/Email - 507 Appendix 23
- Appendix 24 Strategic Sites and allocated sites Site Notices 509

Appendix 21a Main Issues Schedule Foreword / Introduction Main Issues – Foreword / Introduction

	ge / Para / N		Plan Title						
Relevant C Represent	Content of Pl ations	an	n/a Total 7 representations: 1 objection 1 support 5 not specified						
Comment ID	Consultee ID	Consultee Full Name		Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing attendance		
20	1229112					Support	Not Stated		
86	1230328						Not Stated		
243	1230721					Object	Not Stated		
384	1231128			1231126			Not Stated		
520	1231100						Not Stated		
744	1233633						Not Stated		
982	1149823			1149800			Not Stated		
			 excessively aspirational in terms of growth and also raises concerns about document availability and decision making. One objection relates to the promotion of a large mixed use site 'Watersmeet' on the edge of Chester. The substance of the representation is set out in id 755 and 383 re policy HN1. One objection relates to the Plans treatment of agricultural land. 						
Changes s		0000000	ть		an commonte	d on the as	ttlomont		
Summary of Council Response			The Council has commented on the settlement boundary in Holywell in its detailed response to this objection. The Plan is not considered excessively aspirational and the Council has commented further in the detailed response to this objection and to similar responses in respect of policy STR1.						
			The Council has commented in detail in its response to the objection regarding 'Watersmeet'.						

		The Council considers that the matter of agricultural land is clearly set out in PPW10.								
Minor Chan	ge proposed		No							
Policy / pag	e / Para / Ma	ар	Fore	Foreword						
Relevant Co	ontent of Pla	n	Foreword by Cllr Chris Bithell, Portfolio Holder for Planning and Public Protection							
Representa	tions		Total	5 repres	entations -	objections				
Comment ID	Consultee ID	Consultee Ful Name		Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing attendance			
32	1229807					Object	Not Stated			
124	1142217					Object	Not Stated			
224	1230955					Object	Not Stated			
225	1230955					Object	Not Stated			
357	1228787					Object	Not Stated			
Changes so				allocation Objection to the Northern Gateway allocation in the light of climate change and flood risk concerns Objections raising concerns about the Council's consultation documentation, methods and procedures particularly the on-line consultation portal.						
	ugin		Deletion of HN1.8 Ash Lane Hawarden and Northern Gateway Strategic Site allocations							
Summary of Council Response			Detailed response to the HN1.8 Ash Lane allocation is given in response to this representation and also in the Councils summary of representations and responses to this site. The Northern Gateway strategic site has two outline planning permissions and has been informed by FCA and flood defence works as part of a flood management strategy for the site and locality. The Council went above and beyond both legislative requirements in undertaking consultation on the Deposit Plan. A combination of advance notice, publicity, and availability of documentation on the Council's website and at consultation							

			0.00	niona and	o vorioty of	maana of mal	ling		
			sessions and a variety of means of making representations was put in place.						
Minor Char		d	No		ns was put i	i place.			
	nge propose	u	INO						
Policy / pag	ge / Para / N	lap	Introduction						
			P1:	2 Deposit I	Plan written	statement			
Relevant C	ontent of Pl	an	Introduction Chapter						
Representa	ations		Tot	tal 5 repres	sentations:				
				bjections					
				upport					
					1	-			
Comment ID	Consultee ID	Consultee Name	Full	Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing attendance		
346	1232503			1233580		Object	Not Stated		
540	1232267					Support	Not Stated		
1120	1236829					Object	Not Stated		
1168	1232503			1233580		Object	Not Stated		
1169	1232503			1233580		Object	Not Stated		
Changes se	Changes sought			One objector made three objections referencing: The 'vision' for the Plan is lacking in detail Objective 11 should be amended Plan period should be amended to 2019 to 2034 and housing shortfall from 2000-2019 included in Plan. The remaining objection seemingly objected to the opportunity to comment on only a draft Plan, preferring instead to comment on the final Plan. Amendments to vision, objective 11 and Plan					
Summary of Council Response			periodEach objection is responded to in detail but in summaryThe vision is in line with WG advice regarding 'a concise, focused and positive statement'The wording of objective 11 already references the need to meet a range of housing needs'.The amendment of the Plan period would have profound implications for the timetable for progressing the Plan to adoption. There is no requirement for an LDP to add an alleged shortfall in housing both during the UDP and in the early years of the Plan period.						
			The Council is required to consult on the Deposit Plan before the Plan is examined and then adopted.						

Minor Change proposed			No	No						
Policy / pag	e / Para / Ma	ар	Loc	How to View and Comment on the Deposit Local Development Plan P12 para 3.1-3.9 Deposit Plan written statement						
Relevant Co	Relevant Content of Plan		Exp	Explains how to view and comment on the Plan and references the tests of soundness.						
Representations			Total 5 representations; 4 objections 1 support							
Comment ID	Consultee ID	Consulte Full Name		Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing attendance			
267	1230933					Support	Not Stated			
318	1231111					Object	Not Stated			
1125	1236754					Object	Not Stated			
1127	1231096					Object	Not Stated			
			supporting information is impenetrable, not transparent and designed to mislead readers. Objection in the context of HN1.7 Holywell Rd / Green Lane Ewloe allocation, expressing concern about i) the timing and restricted scope of site notices, ii) the lack of a scoring system for housing sites when this was done in Employment Land Review iii) the accessibility of the consultation portal iv) the restricted 6 week period for the public to consider and make representations v) reference to alternative suggested sites.							
			The Plan fails soundness tests as the evidence base is lacking in terms of addressing any housing shortfall from the UDP, the continued reliance on some previous UDP housing allocations, reliance on windfalls, not meeting the need identified in LHMA, the lack of detail in the vision and the lack of provision in Tier 3 settlements.							
Changes so Summary o	f Council Re	sponse	Det allo repi	ailed resp cation is resentation	given in resp on and also ii	HN1.8 Ash La onse to this n the Councils sponses to th	summary			

	Vinor Change proposed			legislation and national guidance and has to include a wide range of supporting documents as part of the required evidence base. The consultation was clearly explained and does not fail the soundness tests. The Council responds on the multiple points, i) the posting of site notices at key locations is over and above statutory requirements and although this was on the first day of the consultation, the Plan had been in the public domain for over over two months, ii) The scoring system in the Employment Land Review is a completely different context to the assessment of several hundred candidate and alternative sites. The selection of sites is based on planning judgement and not just a mathematical points system, iii) the Council used an industry leading consultation software provider and the on- line consultation portal was only one means of making representations, iv) a Deposit LDP is required to be accompanied by a supporting evidence base and some of this is of a technical nature, v) the suggested sites are commented on in the detailed response to this objection. The wide ranging objections to the Plans soundness are responded to in detail in the response to this objection. The Plan is not considered unsound.					
Minor Cha	nge propose	d	No						
Policy / pag	ge / Para / N	lap	How Have We Arrived at the Deposit Plan P14 para 3.10 Deposit Plan written statement						
Relevant C	Content of Pla	an	Explains how the Council has prepared the Deposit Plan and references the Preferred Strategy previously consulted upon.						
Represent	ations		Tota	al 3 repres	sentations -	objections			
Comment ID	Consultee ID	Consultee Name	Full	Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing attendance		
728	1233583			1233580		Object	Not Stated		
1128	1231096					Object	Not Stated		
1129	1231096					Object	Not Stated		
Summary	Summary of representations			One objection raises detailed points on the IIA. One objector queries the lack of reference in LDP03 Infrastructure Plan to the proposed road					

Changes sought			font size on documents at Hope drop in session. Not specified						
Summary of representations			Objection highlighting issue of presentation and						
 .			I						
1094	1235915					object to this policy? Object	Not Stated		
Comment ID	Consultee ID	Consultee Full Name		Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or	Hearing attendance		
Representa	ations		References the 'How to Register' leaflet. Total 1 representation – objection						
Relevant C		1 11	Explains the structure of the LDP in terms of the written statement and the proposals maps.						
	ge / Para / M	•	How to Use / Navigate and Interpret the Plan P15 para 3.17 Deposit Plan written statement						
Minor Char	nge propose	d	years of the Plan period. No						
			The amendment of the Plan period would have profound implications for the timetable for progressing the Plan to adoption. There is no requirement for an LDP to add an alleged shortfall in housing both during the UDP and in the early						
			Infra Appe alloc does and gree	and therefore not reference in that section of the Infrastructure Plan. Instead it is referenced in the Appendix 2 which de4als with the Plans allocations. The Council considers that the site does not have an unacceptable landscape impact and that it does not undermine the integrity of the green barrier given the specifics of the site and its surroundings.					
				ction. propose sh Gove	d highways rnment or C	l's response to improvements ouncil propose	are not a		
Changes so Summary o	ought of Council Re	esponse				ed regarding th			
			One objection seeks the amendment of the Plan period to 2019-2034 and the incorporation of any housing shortfall from 2000-2019.						
			Gree rega Also	en Lane Irding 'H disagre Irding lai	Ewloe alloca ighways Imp es with Bacl	the HN1.7 Ho ation in the sec provements in kground Paper pact and the los	ction Flintshire'. · 08		

Summary of Council Response			The Council apologized for the font size but had laptops available at the drop in session to view documents. The 'How to Register' leaflet is made up of screenshots from the Objective website and							
			would be difficult to have presented differently.							
Minor Change proposed			INO	No						
Policy / page / Para / Map				egic C bara 3.			an written stat	ement		
Relevant Content of Plan			Expla	ins the	e cc	ontext in wh	ich the Plan I regional and	nas been		
Representations						entation – o		local		
Comment ID	Consultee ID	Consultee Full Name		Agent D		ent Full me	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing attendance		
1017	1235357						Object	Not Stated		
Summary o	Summary of representations				Seeks reference to TfN (Transport for the North) Strategic Transport Plan and Wales & West.					
Changes so							nts referenced			
Summary o	f Council Res	sponse	The objector has not explained how the documents to be referenced are relevant to the strategy for the LDP and are therefore not appropriate to be included.							
Minor Chan	ige proposed		No							
Policy / pag	je / Para / Ma	ıp	County Profile / Overview P21 para 3.26 Deposit Plan written statement							
Relevant C	ontent of Plar	า	Provides a brief overview of the County in terms of							
			its location, geography and make up.							
Representa	tions		Total	Total 2 representations – objections						
	0	0				• • • • • •	D			
Comment ID	Consultee ID	Consultee Name	e Full	Age ID	nt	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing attendance		
123	1142217						Object	Not Stated		
1018	1235357						Object	Not Stated		
Summary of representations			The modest increase in population based on 2014 population forecasts does not warrant several thousand houses being built.							
			Para 3.28 should be 'cross border' not 'cross broader'.							

Changes sought		Not specified					
Summary of Council Response Minor Change proposed			Population forecasts are one component of how the Plans housing requirement figure has been calculated. A further consideration is the projected increase in households arising from people living longer, couples separating etc. The Plan also seeks to contribute to achieving growth aspirations both for Flintshire and the wider sub-region and part of this will be in-migration. The Council acknowledges the typographical error in para 3.28				
			a 3.28.	of 'cross broa		ss border' in	
Policy / page / Para / M			and Drivers for 0 Deposit Pla	-			
Relevant Content of Plan			P21 para 3.30 Deposit Plan written statement Identifies what the Council considers to be key issues and drivers for change and identifying what it is the Council needs to plan for.				
Representations		Tot	al 1 repre	sentation - ob	jection		
Comment Consultee ID ID	Consultee Name	Full	Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing attendance	
894 1234902			1234870		Object	Not Stated	
Summary of representa	ations	The previous UDP Inspector commented on the approach to defining settlements rather than identifying urban areas was backward looking and that a fundamental review of open countryside and green barriers was needed. It is not considered that the Plan fully responds to this and is therefore					
Changes sought		unsound. Seeks allocation of land at Drury Lane (Bank Lane), Drury					
Summary of Council Response			The earlier Key Messages document presented 6 options for categorising settlements before deciding on the preferred option, and this formed the basis for the 5 spatial strategy options presented as part of the Strategic Options document. The green barrier have also been reviewed. However, in the context of the objection site referenced by the objector, this is already within the settlement boundary.				
Minor Change propose	d	No					
Policy / page / Para / M	lap	Fo	rming the	Plan's Strate	egy from th	his Context	

	ontent of Plar		24 para 3.36 entifies the						
Representations			Identifies the Plan's vision, themes and objectives. Total 5 representations:						
Representa			2 objection						
			2 objection 2 support						
			not specifie	d					
Comment	Consultee	Consultee	Agent ID	Agent Full	Do you	Hearing			
ID	ID	Full Name		Name	support or object to this policy?	attendance			
264	1230721				Object	Not Stated			
521	1231100					Not Stated			
734	1233605		1233580		Object	Not Stated			
821	1234489		1234487		Support	Not Stated			
887	1234883		1234870		Support	Not Stated			
		ba ca br th in ar es	reservations expressed during consultation and not sufficiently locally specific. The LDP vision is flawed as it is based on a 'last balance' at a time of absolute climate change ar carbon reduction, has inadequate reference to brownfield land, and an inappropriate application the concept of sustainable development, and inappropriate references to reference to growth and prosperity. The Plan is a green light to an estate builders charter. The vision is lacking in detail as there is no reference or commitment to the LDP delivering, the very least, the minimum housing and employment needs of the County, nor is there and detail on where these needs will be met (for example, directing new development towards sustainable locations). The objection also seeks the rewording of objective 11 along similar lines. Not specified The written statement is a concise statement an must be read in conjunction with the evidence be and it would not be appropriate for the written						

	The Plan has been prepared in the context of national guidance and the detailed points of objection are addressed in the Council's response to this objection.
	Welsh Government that each LDP must contain a vision and specifically advises a vision should 'be a concise, focused and positive statement'. The level of detail sought by the objector is inappropriate for a vision as it is set out in the Plans strategic policies. The wording of objective 11 is considered to adequately address the matters referred to by the objector.
Minor Change proposed	No

Appendix 21bMain Issues Schedule Strategic PolicesMain Issues – Strategic Policies

Policy / page / Para / Map Relevant Content of Plan Representations			Strategic Policies – General (NB: Representations simply tagged to this section heading in the plan via online consultation portal) n/a Total 7 representations – objections						
Comment ID			nsultee Full ne	Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing attendance		
245	1230721					Object	Not Stated		
260	1230721					Object	Not Stated		
261	1230721					Object	Not Stated		
266	1230721					Object	Not Stated		
281	1230721					Object	Not Stated		
372	1230721					Object	Not Stated		
374	1230721					Object	Not Stated		
Summary of representations			One objector has submitted a significant number of objections raising a variety of issues labelled under						
•			between D	'Strategic Policies' relating the allocation HN1.6 Land between Denbigh Road and Gwernaffield Rod, Mold, which have been submitted to different parts / policies in the Plan.					

	Deliberate over-provision strategy leading to farmland being (unnecessarily) allocated for development (HN1.6 – Mold) and lack of control by FCC to deliver this Lack of policy to protect Welsh Language Uncertainty over exactly what site is allocated (HN1.6) Questions over decision making by Members and availability of key evidence documents Mold is a special case compared to other Tier 1 settlements
Changes sought	Seeks deletion of the HN1.6 Land between Denbigh Rd and Gwernaffield Rd, Mold allocation
Summary of Council Response	The Council has responded in detail to each individual objection.
Minor Change proposed	No

Strategic policies – Creating Sustainable Places and communities

Policy / page / Para / Map		Strategic Policies - Creating Sustainable Places and communities – General NB: Representations simply tagged to this section heading in the plan via online consultation portal					
Relevant Content of Plan		n/a					
Representations		Total 3 representations - objections					
Comment ID			onsultee Full Ime	Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing attendance
17	1228898					Object	Not Stated
247	1230721					Object	Not Stated
265	1230721					Object	Not Stated
		One objection include how th needs are imp as roads and Objection rais successive lat language and of objecting to Objection rais the HN1.6 site	ne schoo bacted by carbon e es lack c rge hous commur b the HN1 es conce	ls, medica / large sca missions. of analysis ing develo nity cohesi I,6 site in f ern over the	I services and le developme of ongoing ef pments on we on in Mold in Mold. e process of i	d shop ents as well fects of elsh the context ncluding	
Changes sought		Deletion of site HN1.6					

Summary of Council Response	The LDP site allocations are informed by a robust evidence base which involved consultation with statutory consultees including education, health, highways and utility companies and no major constraints have been identified that would prevent a site from being developed sustainably. The Plan's new allocations will not deliver completed houses until 2023-24 and will be developed over a number of years. The impact of development will therefore not be felt in 'one hit' and there is sufficient time for both the Heath Board and the Education Authority to support the delivery of growth that is identified in the Plan. There is no formal objection from either statutory body to the Plan nor allocation.
	Mold is a vibrant town which is the administrative centre for the County and sits well in terms of the growth triangle concept of Wrexham Chester and Deeside in the former Wales Spatial Plan and the principle of Wrexham and Deeside as a focus for growth in the draft NDF. It is not considered that the settlement hierarchy and spatial strategy in respect of Mold is contrary to PPW10 or the NDF. Mold has rightly always been in the highest tier of settlements. The objection does not identify harm the Plan / allocation will cause to Welsh Language in Mold or why it is inappropriate to have an allocation in Mold.
	In preparing a Deposit Plan for consultation the Council must identify what sites are allocated in the Plan to meet the housing requirement. The Deposit Plan therefore included the site within the settlement boundary and allocated it for housing.
Minor Change proposed	No

Policy / page / Para / Map		STR1 Stra	tegic Grov	wth			
		P43 Deposit Plan written statement					
Relevant Content of Plan			The policy sets out the broad parameters of growth in				
		terms of jobs (8-10,000), employment land (139.67ha)					
		and housing (6,950 dwellings).					
Representa	Representations		Total 42 representations:				
			41 objections				
			1 support				
Comment ID	Consultee ID	Cor Nar	nsultee Full ne	Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing attendance
252	1230991					Object	No
320	1227548			1227538		Object	Not Stated
331	1231151			1231150		Object	Yes

Г

164 151 153 154 154 154 154 1525	1148845 1231150 1231150 1231150 1231150 1231150 1231150 1231150 1231150 1231150 1231150 1231150 1231150 1231150 1231150 1231150 1231150 1231150 1231150 1148845 1148845 11224982 1149190 1232502 1233580	Object	NoYesYesYesYesYesYesNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedYes
151 153 154 154 154 154 154 154	1231150 1231150 1231150 1231150 1231150 1148845 1148845 11224982 1149190 1232502	Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object	Yes Yes Yes Yes Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated
151 153 154 154 154 154 154 154	1231150 1231150 1231150 1231150 1231150 1148845 1148845 11224982 1149190 1232502	Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object	Yes Yes Yes Yes Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated
153 153 153 153 153 153 154 157 157 158 159 159 151 152 153 153 153 153 153 153 154 154 154 155	1231150 1231150 1231150 1231150 1148845 1148845 11224982 1149190 1232502	Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object	Yes Yes Yes Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated
153 153 556 074 731 730 721 083 248 454 514 525	1231150 1231150 1231150 1148845 1148845 1148845 1224982 11224982 1149190 1232502	Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object	Yes Yes Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated
153 556 074 731 730 721 083 248 454 614 625	1231150 1148845 1148845 1148845 1224982 11224982 1149190 1232502	Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object	Yes Yes Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated
556 074 731 730 721 083 248 454 514 525	1148845 1148845 1148845 1224982 1149190 1232502	Object Object Object Object Object Object Object	Yes Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated
074 731 730 721 083 248 454 614 625	1148845 1224982 1149190 1232502	Object Object Object Object Object Object	Not StatedNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedNot Stated
731 730 721 983 248 454 514 525	1148845 1224982 1149190 1232502	Object Object Object Object Object	Not StatedNot StatedNot StatedNot Stated
730 721 983 248 454 514 525	1148845 1224982 1149190 1232502	Object Object Object Object	Not StatedNot StatedNot Stated
721 983 248 454 614 625	1224982 1149190 1232502	Object Object Object	Not Stated Not Stated
083 248 454 514 525	1149190 1232502	Object Object	Not Stated
248 454 614 625	1149190 1232502	Object	
154 614 625	1232502		100
614 625			Yes
625		Object	Not Stated
	1233580	Object	Not Stated
593	120000	Object	Not Stated
106	1233580	Object	Not Stated
	1		Not Stated
	1140347		Not Stated
			Not Stated
	1224870		Yes
	1234670		
	1110000		Not Stated
	1149800		Yes
	1110000		Not Stated
			Not Stated
	1149800		Not Stated
	4440000		Not Stated
	1		Not Stated
			Not Stated
	1232426		Not Stated
			Not Stated
			Not Stated
	1		Not Stated
	1233580		Not Stated
			Not Stated
350 396			Not Stated Not Stated
	1232395	Object	
	956 307 344 103 461 344 357 328 343 470 323 341 195 498 503 503 350	307	307 Object 344 Object 103 1234870 Object 461 Object 0bject 344 11234870 Object 344 1149800 Object 344 1149800 Object 357 Object 0bject 328 1149800 Object 343 1149800 Object 341 1149800 Object 341 1149800 Object 498 Support 498 033 1232426 Object 503 1233580 Object 503 1233580 Object 498 Object 503 1233580 Object

The Plans provision for housing is in excess of provision in the draft NDF

The jobs growth is primarily based upon the fact that there is nearly 140 hectares of employment land available in Flintshire, mainly in the Deeside Enterprise Zone. Whilst there are high aspirations to create large number of jobs there is little track record at the moment of these being created despite the advantageous offerings within the Enterprise Zone Simply adding 14.4% (1000 dwellings) to the 6,950 swelling requirement is not a very sound scientific approach and should be based on previous experience in Flintshire or other North Wales Planning Authorities LDPs which specify 10%.
A significant number of objections sought a HIGHER housing requirement figure:
 Lack of ambition: Level of housing growth not ambitious / aspirational enough Plan does not align with clear growth aspirations of draft NDF, Mersey Dee Alliance and Growth Deal and fails to account for the significant potential growth Surprising that the Council is not taking the opportunity to plan positively for the County the proposed levels of employment and housing growth do not match. STR1 makes provision for some 8,000 – 10,000 new jobs (i.e. a range of jobs) yet identified a need for only 7,950 new homes. Shortcomings and lack of ambition of Council approach of choosing a mid point of growth options - Council should be planning for the upper end of growth options ie 7350 (and total provision 8,410)
• Insufficient housing / jobs -Target housing requirement is too low / Jobs target does not reflect or correlate with the employment growth target, which is considered too low / Jobs target does not correlate with housing target.
• the Technical Paper 'population and household projections with dwelling and employment impacts' which was published at the same time indicates a dwelling requirement derived from the employment led growth option of between 480 and 540 dwellings (Table 3.3); it is not explained how this assessment then translates into the, significantly lower, proposed figure for provision.
 Plan is not consistent with 2014 based Welsh Government projections – Plan has a significant shortfall
• Lack of flexibility in housing requirement figure if Economic growth and job created higher than Plan

envisages. / unclear what evidence used to inform jobs figure
• the Council should maintain the commuting rate constant at 40% for the purposes of planning for housing and not seek to artificially suppress the housing Plan's figure by virtue of a metric that it simply cannot control. This is likely to increase the housing requirement significantly.
• A stepchange in housing and employment land delivery is now required and the continued approach to strategic growth now set out in the Deposit Plan is not ambitious enough and will not make the significant contribution that is needed to reducing affordable housing need and raising the profile of the County. The Plan proposes fewer homes each year than in recent years. Recent levels of completions above the Plan requirement proves the demand
Concern about 2015 Plan base date and short plan period when adopted - should be a longer Plan period of up to 20 years
Other matters raised were:
Policy Wording Objections consider that STR1 criterion iii) should be reworded by adding 'minimum' before 'housing requirement of 6,950'. The housing requirement should be treated as a minimum figure.
UDP Shortfall The UDP required 7400 dwellings to be provided (or 493 per annum) over the period 200-2015 but there is a shortfall in delivery of 2755 units shortfall in housing delivery from the previous UDP period should be met and provided for during the LDP period moving forward Given that the housing needs of the UDP period were not met in full, this will result in an increase to the overall LDP housing requirement
LHMA need LHMA Update identified annual need of 238 affordable homes LDP only seeks to provide 132 affordable homes per annum Plan should seek to meet this housing need in full Necessitates uplift to overall housing requirement and additional allocations (both market and affordable sites)

Housing Balance Sheet Components: Objectors highlight issues with elements of the Housing Balance Sheet as detailed below and consider that the housing requirement figure should be increased. One objector considers that these concerns taken together suggest that there should be an overall housing target of 10,500 units which is very significantly more than the number put forward by the Council and is more reflective of the amount of allocations that will be needed to deliver housing and the Council's jobs-led strategy.
Commitments: Over-reliance on existing commitments concerns that commitments will not be delivered need for additional flexibility.
Small Site / Windfall Allowances: Over-reliance on windfall allowances (120 per annum) Previous figures taken account of speculative housing planning permissions Concern over future delivery Council 50% discount on past trends not sufficient
Allocations: Concern over delivery rates at Northern Gateway Concern Warren Hall unsustainable and undeliverable Concern over delivery of two allocated sites carried over from UDP Concern over delivery rates on Plan housing allocations
Welsh Government The Welsh Government is generally supportive of the spatial strategy and level of homes and jobs proposed and has no fundamental concerns in this respect. It is pleasing to note the Deposit Plan has been prepared having regard to the guidance in DPM 3, particularly Chapter 5 and the de-risking checklist. This puts the Council in a good position moving forward to the examination stage. Further comments are set out in the annex to this letter with additional guidance contained in the draft LDP Manual (3rd Edition). In moving forward to the LDP examination, demonstrating delivery of the plan will be essential. The development planning system in Wales is evidence-led; demonstrating how a plan is shaped by this evidence is a key requirement of the examination. Demonstrating the delivery and viability of all sites in the plan is critical, particularly development proposed on strategic sites and other large housing/employment allocations which are integral to the strategy/objectives of the plan.

	Affordable Housing Authority Wide Target - The Affordable Housing Background Paper states the affordable housing target for the plan is 1,981 homes. This should be included within a policy in the plan. Policy STR1: Strategic Growth could be amended to state "7,950 homes are provided of which xxx are affordable". The target does not include the contribution from windfall sites (Table 6). It should do. The affordable housing target in the plan should be derived from all components of supply to ensure it is realistic in its aspiration and for monitoring purposes. Spatial Distribution of Affordable Housing Supply – the Affordable Housing Background Paper includes an analysis of affordable housing contributions by housing component. A table setting out anticipated affordable housing contributions by settlement tier and component of supply in line with guidance in the DPM (Ed. 3) would be helpful aiding clarity of the plan and effective monitoring.
	The Council has not spatially allocated the Deeside Enterprise Zone (EZ) on the proposals map. The EZ should be shown spatially in the plan. Part of the EZ boundary is within a green barrier designation EN11.15 Sealand-Cheshire Border. It is not clear how/why a green wedge designation should be shown in an EZ. Would this preclude maximising economic opportunities within the EZ? This will be for the relevant Department of Welsh Government to comment on.
Changes sought Summary of Council Response	Amended policy wording / increased housing requirement figure / revision to elements of Housing Balance Sheet. In terms of objections seeking a LOWER housing requirement figure, the Council would comment as below: The plan has taken account of the 2014 projections published following agreement of the Preferred Strategy where despite slightly higher household change trends, these were still substantially lower that the Preferred Strategy housing requirement figure and did not therefore impact on the strategic approach being taken by the Council.
	Later trends have been considered alongside the deposit LDP as whilst the Welsh Government produced 2017 based population projections they did not publish related household projections. Instead the Council provided its own 2017 based household projections produced by the Research and Information Unit at Conwy County Council to again determine if later trend projections had any impact on the plan's strategy. The background paper produced by the Research Unit in fact compares the 2011 and 2014 Welsh Government figures with their own 2017 projections to conclude that none of the trends affect the

stance taken in the LDP in relation to setting a housing requirement.
Finally recently released Welsh Government 2018 based household projections show a falling projected trend in household change with even the high variant only indicating projected growth at half the level provided for in the LDP based on supporting its economic growth strategy.
In 2014 Ministerial advice was issued, which is still in place today, whereby LPA's should not simply project negative recessionary trend period's forward in terms of planning for future growth. In the context of the draft NDF and the Growth Deal, the Council is rightly seeking to provide for growth in the County over the Plan period.
There is a difference between the LDP housing requirement figure and how it is derived, and the NDF figures which relate to housing need based on affordable shortfalls and which are therefore not directly comparable. Of most relevance is the fact that in their formal comments on the Deposit LDP the Welsh Government are satisfied that the LDP is in general conformity with the draft NDF.
It is not the case that the job requirement is simply based on the amount of employment land provided in the plan, as it is based on the ability of the two large strategic sites to deliver jobs, referenced to the employment projections identified in the Employment Land background paper prepared by the County. It is also partly deliberately aspirational as this aligns with the intentions behind the North Wales Economic Ambition Board Growth Vision for North Wales from which the Growth Deal has been agreed by UK and Welsh Governments to support and fund infrastructure, projects and skills development all geared to improving economic activity, prosperity and well-being in a North Wales context.
The provision of a contingency is a requirement of the Welsh Government LDP Manual which refers to 10% as a starting point. Even if the Council followed the 10% lead of other North Wales Authorities this would still add almost 700 units to the LDP housing requirement as a contingency. It is the Council's view that the level of contingency allowed for is balanced and proportionate to help facilitate the delivery of sufficient homes to meet the plan's housing requirement figure.
In terms of objections seeking a HIGHER housing requirement figure, the Council would comment as below:

 1
Lack of ambition: In their formal comments on the deposit Plan Welsh Government state that they are "broadly supportive of the strategy, Council response level of housing and jobs proposed, considers it [the plan] aligns with national policy and is in general conformity with the emerging NDF".
Only option 6 of the LDP growth options was presented as a range, as it was the product of an aspirational job growth being presented as a range from which the resulted housing need was derived. Objectors do not consider selecting a mid-point from option 6 projected housing growth is reasonable but don't explain why, other than the approach is not "ambitious enough".
Instead some objectors state that to be more ambitious the Council should have selected the upper end of the growth range, a measure of housing ambition just 400 greater that the selected mid-point figure. The key point ignored by objectors, and as set out in the Plan, is that the selection of a mid-point from option 6 was also informed by reference to growth option 4 which was a more traditional demographic projection derived option where the high variant level of migration used to derive option 4 and its resultant level of housing requirement, was in line with that derived at the mid-point of the range of housing requirement derived from option 6.
This translates into a level of ambition that sets a challenging but achievable housing requirement, ensuring compliance with PPW in terms of sustainability and deliverability of the plans housing requirement, to the extent that a development plan can actually deliver the housing it provides, as endorsed by Welsh Government. Objectors also ignore the fact that the chosen housing requirement figure is significantly in excess of the formal published Welsh Government Household Projections both at the time that the growth options were derived (2011-Based WG Projections) and now where, with the recent publication of the 2018-based WG Projections, the differential from the projection household growth and the LDP requirement is now even greater.
Some other figures proposed by objectors would in fact be over 4 times the official projected growth but no assessment of the impact of this excess of growth over need has been made by objectors.
The NDF is also not yet finalized but the Council considers that its contribution to the NDF's growth ambitions for Wrexham and Flintshire can be met by the LDP, accepting also that the timeframes for the two

strategies are different, with the NDF covering a longer time period than the LDP extending to 2040. It is also the case that the housing growth need assessed in the NDF is not directly compatible with the method for deriving housing requirements in LDPs. That said, when the housing need for Wrexham and Flintshire in the draft NDF is annualized and compared to the annualized cumulative housing requirements in the Flintshire and Wrexham LDPs, there is a high degree of conformity with the growth ambitions of the NDF. Welsh Government consider the Plan to be in general conformity with the draft NDF.

Objectors criticize the robustness of the Council's evidence base they provide no evidence or assessment of where the evidence falls short, and themselves go beyond the aspirational approach taken by the Council in setting its job growth target just above the upper limit of the job projections, and speculate on an even higher job target on a 'what if?' basis, without providing any evidence of how an even higher job target is achievable, what empirical basis this has, and which sites will accommodate the higher figure? It is therefore difficult for the Council to give weight to such speculative and unsubstantiated general commentary.

Insufficient housing / jobs: Objectors makes a number of subjective and superficial statements in relation to the growth planned for in policy STR1 but does not provide any empirical evidence or reasoned arguments to support these statements. For example it is stated that the housing requirement is "too low" but there is nothing provided to explain why? by how much? or what is the 'correct' figure? Equally the jobs target is said to be "too low" and does not "correlate" with the housing target but again it is not explained how it is too low, by how much, what is the correct level, and what is the nature of the correlation between employment and housing targets.

Objectors generally provide little or no information in the way of alternative figures or evidence and simply seems to be saying the housing and employment targets are too low and should be higher but without saying why, by how much or provide supporting evidence to justify this.

Reference is made to the **technical paper** published at the time of the Preferred Strategy entitled 'Population and Household Projections with Dwelling and Employment Impacts', and specifically refers to table 3.3 of that document not clearly explaining the difference between the housing requirement figure published in the Deposit LDP (6,950/463 dpa) and the range presented from the growth option of between 480 – 540 dpa.

With reference to table 3.3 in the background paper, the Council have made clear through its approach to the deposit LDP strategy that it has adopted the mid-point of the option 6 housing growth range which is clearly identified in table 3.34 as 6,950 dwellings, the figure that also appears in policy STR1 of the deposit LDP as the housing requirement or need to be met. Dividing this by the 15 year plan period gives the annualized requirement of 463 dpa. The objector's reference to the higher figures in the table is as a result of adding at that time a 10% contingency to the baseline requirement. In the second column of table 3.3 this adds 700 units to the 6,950 requirement, providing an overall provision for 7,650 units or 510 dpa. In fact since the preferred strategy, the level of homes actually provided for in the plan has increased as the contingency has gone up to 14.4% meaning that the plan overall provides for 7.950 homes at an annual rate of 530 dpa.

Projections - Objectors consider that when compared to the 2014 based Welsh Government household projections, the LDP housing requirement figure represents a "significant shortfall" to the growth projected in the 2014 Welsh Government projections. Whilst objectors provide no assessment or analysis of the data to illustrate this point, the Council are confused as the consistent trends shown in household change in consecutive Welsh Government projections from 2011, 2014, and now 2018 all show low levels of household growth in Flintshire, that are significantly below the housing requirement set in the plan.

The Council therefore do not understand the point about the LDP figure being a "shortfall" on the projections. The true position is entirely the opposite and to illustrate this the projected household growth from the 2014 Welsh Government projections for the plan period 2015-2030 was 305 per annum from the Hi variant projection, and 250 pa from the principal projection. These levels of projected change are similar when households are converted to dwellings and are significantly below the levels of growth required and provided for in the LDP (463/530 dpa respectively). It should also noted that Welsh Government have recently published its 2018 based household projections which show for Flintshire a falling level of household change, with equivalent change for 2015-2030 at around 232 pa for the hi variant, and 166 pa for the principal projection.

Insufficient flexibility - The LDP strategy is based on an employment led approach where housing is part of the supporting infrastructure to help achieve and support job

growth. This approach is reflective of the stance taken by the draft NDF for the area as well as being the central focus for the North Wales Growth Vision. Having set such a strategy for the LDP, the Welsh Government in their formal comments on the deposit plan state that they are "generally supportive of the spatial strategy and level of homes and jobs proposed and have no fundamental concerns in this respect". They also consider the LDP to be in general conformity with the emerging NDF.	
Given this the Council is unsure how the plan is unsound, as objectors simply speculate that they are not sure there is enough housing allocated in the plan in the event that more jobs are created than expected. Whilst objectors criticize the robustness of the Council's evidence base they provide no evidence or assessment of where the evidence falls short, and themselves go beyond the aspirational approach taken by the Council in setting its job growth target just above the upper limit of the job projections, and speculate on an even higher job target on a 'what if?' basis, without providing any evidence of how an even higher job target is achievable, what empirical basis this has, and which sites will accommodate the higher figure? It is therefore difficult for the Council to give weight to such speculative and unsubstantiated general commentary.	
Objectors propose a speculative question as to how housing provision would be increased if more jobs are created, and the likely logical answers would include building out LDP housing sites fully, assistance from the 14.4% flexibility built into the housing requirement figure, and if it came to it, triggering a plan review.	
Reference is made to the need to maintain previous high levels of commuting rates (40%) as opposed to the assumptions made as part of developing the LDP growth options. To support this they state that the Council are assuming that higher levels of job growth can be sustained from the same population but they have failed to recognise that in order to achieve the level of housing growth set out in the plan, net migration into the County will need to be encouraged at consistently high levels. This is the step change in attracting 'new' people into the county to sustain job growth and improve internal self- sufficiency within the economy that the strategic growth is based on, thereby reducing the dependency on commuting out of the County. If the levels of migration do not materialize then the level of housing required would be much lower, as indicated by the low levels of household growth shown in the recently published Welsh Government 2018 Based National Projections.	
	the draft NDF for the area as well as being the central focus for the North Wales Growth Vision. Having set such a strategy for the LDP, the Welsh Government in their formal comments on the deposit plan state that they are "generally supportive of the spatial strategy and level of homes and jobs proposed and have no fundamental concerns in this respect". They also consider the LDP to be in general conformity with the emerging NDF. Given this the Council is unsure how the plan is unsound, as objectors simply speculate that they are not sure there is enough housing allocated in the plan in the event that more jobs are created than expected. Whilst objectors criticize the robustness of the Council's evidence base they provide no evidence or assessment of where the evidence falls short, and themselves go beyond the aspirational approach taken by the Council in setting its job growth target just above the upper limit of the job projections, and speculate on an even higher job target on a 'what if?' basis, without providing any evidence of how an even higher job target is achievable, what empirical basis this has, and which sites will accommodate the higher figure? It is therefore difficult for the Council to give weight to such speculative and unsubstantiated general commentary. Objectors propose a speculative question as to how housing provision would be increased if more jobs are created, and the likely logical answers would include building out LDP housing sites fully, assistance from the 14.4% flexibility built into the housing requirement figure, and if it came to it, triggering a plan review. Reference is made to the need to maintain previous high levels of commuting rates (40%) as opposed to the assumptions made as part of developing the LDP growth options. To support this they state that the Council are assuming that higher levels of job growth can be sustained from the same population but they have failed to recognise that in order to achieve the level of housing growth set out in the plan, net migration in

Stepchange - Objectors refer to the recent trends in housing delivery to make the point that based on a short term trend, the LDP housing requirement should be increased and the short term rate applied over the entire plan period. That is the limit of the empirical justification for a higher housing requirement and no evidence is provided to show for example how the development Industry has the ability or capacity to sustain higher delivery rates for the entire plan period, the inference being a limitless capacity to build. There is also no reference to the ability of supporting infrastructure to be provided to support a higher level of growth. This does not seem wholly tenable to the Council and ignores the reality of the variable economic climate, post Brexit future uncertainties and a lack of focus on the deliverability of sites in the plan. Whilst objectors refer to the delivery rate over the first three years of the plan period at 563 dpa, a fourth years' data is now available which shows that this rate has fallen significantly over just one year to 536 dpa. Objectors also fail to note the significant year on year variability in delivery in just the first four years where despite there being a rising market and available sites, the rate varies from two years where it exceeded 600 dpa, to the other two years where it only achieved low to mid 400s, both under the long term planned average in the LDP. This does not suggest an ability to sustain high rates over the entire plan period. Also whilst the LDP housing requirement averages 463 dpa, the plan has actually made provision for 530 dpa to come forward, in line with the current actual delivery rate.

Some objectors state that they are generally supportive of the "employment-led projection allowing for 8-10,000 job" but fail to recognize that the employment projections prepared by the Council are slightly lower than this more aspirational figure, which to use their term does represent something of a 'step change' in employment ambition. This is deliberate in order to support the aspirations of the North Wales Economic Ambition Board (NWEAB) and the Draft NDF, where Welsh Government state that the LDP is in general conformity with this. Welsh Government are also supportive of the level of housing and employment growth in the plan stating they have "no fundamental concerns in this respect". Notwithstanding their support for the employment levels, the objector feels that the housing requirement is not ambitious enough but again fail to recognize from the Council's evidence base that the housing requirement is derived directly from the employment rage in Growth Option 6 where the housing figure is arrived at by running the projections 'in reverse' from the 8-10,000 jobs to determine the population and labour force change required to support that, and from this the level of household growth, then converted to

dwelling need. Instead they state that a higher figure "could" be achieved but fail to set out what the higher figure 'could be' or 'should be' or provide evidence to justify a higher figure.
Objectors refer to the need for the County to "raise its profile" but the Council are unclear what is meant by this. They also refer to the Council being in competition with Wrexham whereas clearly the Welsh Government via the draft NDF see the two authorities as providing the focus for growth in the area. The Council believes it can meet this requirement of the NDF from Flintshire's perspective and Welsh Government confirm that they consider the LDP in conformity with the NDF. The NWEAB via the Growth Vision and Growth Deal for North Wales already acknowledge the contribution that Flintshire and Wrexham make in terms of housing to support economic growth, encouraging the other authorities in North Wales to follow suit.
The objector also considers that the plan period should be significantly extended to between 15 and 20 years beyond the adoption date of the plan, which at its maximum would provide for a 25 year plan period. The Council is not aware of any other LDP in Wales that has such a plan period or, in relation to the Flintshire LDP how it would be realistic or practical to plan with any certainty over such a long timeframe, given also the need to provide certainty to communities and to demonstrate the deliverability of the plan, which is challenging enough to evidence over 15 years let alone 25.
Other matters raised were:
Policy wording 'minimum' Several objections seek the addition of the word 'minimum' before 'housing needs' in criterion iii) of the policy wording. In line with the Development Plan Manual 3 the Plan has clearly identified its housing requirement of 6,950 and that provision has been made for 7,950 by ensuring sufficient flexibility exists to deliver the requirement figure. It is not necessary or appropriate for the Plan to refer to a minimum' housing requirement'.
UDP shortfall Several objectors seek a higher housing requirement figure based on the premise that the LDP should not only make sufficient provision for the assessed need during its plan period (2015-2030) but should also look backwards and include under-delivered housing from the previous UDP plan period. Objectors blame the UDP for this but do not acknowledge the role that the economic climate,

actual level of demand coming from potential house buyers, or the willingness, capacity or ability of developers to deliver new homes, as it is these factors that determine delivery. There is no relevant guidance in PPW or the Development Plans Manual that sets out the concept of transposing under-provision from one plan period to another, or the mechanism for doing so and the Council is unaware of any other LDPs in Wales for where this has been accepted. The housing need identified in the UDP was calculated at a different point in time and in the context of different circumstances and the LDP housing requirement has been calculated in the light of up to date information and circumstances. The two are not comparable and it is overly simplistic to add the UDP under delivery figure to the LDP requirement.

LMMA Need

The LHMA methodology produces an inflated need as it assesses the backlog of need but only has a lifespan of 5 years. It is therefore incorrect to transpose the annual need over the Plan period. It is also stressed that there are a number of sources of supply of affordable housing in Flintshire than just via the planning system, including the Councils own SHARP building programme. Commitments

The Plans commitments, identified in Appendix 1 of the Deposit Plan have been reviewed and are based on developer information obtained through the annual housing land monitoring studies and is considered to be realistic and deliverable. Objections to specific sites are often out of date and inaccurate. The Council's commentary on such sites is set out in detailed responses to objections.

Small site / windfall allowances

In terms of the point made by the objector about the potential for recent large speculative windfalls to skew the assumptions made for windfall supply in the LDP balance sheet, the Council has accounted for this by reviewing the windfall trends over an 18 year period and by reducing the allowance by 50% of that trend, also in the knowledge that in terms of the more recent trend years, speculative sites have not contributed more than 50% of the overall windfall provision. Whilst the objector is concerned about the future supply of windfall sites even at the modest levels proposed, which they support, they have failed to note the findings of the Urban Capacity Study carried out to support the balance sheet and specifically the setting of the windfall and small sites allowance in the LDP. This shows a reasonable and healthy potential supply within existing settlements to support the allowances made. The Plans housing provision is not over-reliant on windfalls. The Plan makes a conservative allowance of 50 units for large sites and 60 units for small sites when compared with past trends. The role of the Urban Capacity Study was not to identify allocations but to determine whether scope exists to deliver the allowances identified in the Plan. The objectors approach is to remove all large site windfalls from the Housing Balance Sheet which is not appropriate or necessary. There is no objection from Welsh Government to the effect that the Plan is over-reliant on windfalls. The industries representative body HBF agree the allowances made are appropriate.

Allocations

The Council has commented separately on the deliverability of the two strategic sites at Northern Gateway and Warren Hall in terms of responses to objections to policies STR3A and STR3B. The Council has also responded in terms of objections in respect of the Plans allocated sites. In summary, the Plans allocations, including the two reassessed and carried over from the UDP, are considered to be sustainable, viable and deliverable. The completion rates in the trajectory is considered to be realistic and achievable.

The Council notes the support from Welsh Government for the Plan's strategy and proposed levels of growth, and the recognition of the Council response evidential basis for this that is in line with the requirements of the Development Plans Manual edition 3.

The Council accepts the Welsh Government objection that the affordable housing target should be included in the Policy wording in criteria iii). The affordable housing Background Paper will be updated with a table showing the anticipated affordable housing supply by settlement tier, and will include the expected contribution from windfall sites with ten or more units in line with the guidance set out within DPM3. The affordable housing target will also be revised to include the expected contribution from windfall sites with ten or more units.

The Enterprise Zone (EZ) is not a planning land use designation and was derived with Welsh Government to support Council response funding/financial relief measures to facilitate economic investment in key sites specifically identified within the EZ such as Deeside Industrial Park and Principle Employment Area. The EZ boundary was not drawn up in a planning land use context and nor did its creation involve planners. Reference to the extent of the EZ could be made on the constraints map that's sits alongside the LDP Proposals Map.

Minor Change proposed	No

Policy / pag	je / Para / Ma	•		of Develop				
Relevant C	ontent of Pla		The policy sets out the Plans spatial strategy in terms of distributing growth based on the settlement hierarchy					
Representa	itions	35 objection 17 support	Total 53 representations: 35 objections					
Comment ID	Consultee ID	Consultee Full Name	Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing attendance		
29	1229705				Support	No		
39	1229995		1		Object	No		
47	1230020				Support	Not Stated		
85	1230323				Support	Not Stated		
126	1230598				Support	Not Stated		
136	1147889		1230687		Object	Not Stated		
208	1230917		1200007		Support	No		
212	1230905				Object	Yes		
269	1231039				Support	Not Stated		
203	1229111		1227685		Object	Yes		
333	1231151		1231150		Support	Yes		
338	1231151		1231150		Object	Yes		
342	1231151		1231150		Object	Yes		
354	1231217		1231130		Support	No		
368	1231217		1231150		Support	Yes		
373	1230699		1231130		Object	No		
381	1230099				Object	No		
387			1001150			Yes		
	1231153		1231150		Support			
423 451	1230991 1230979		1230989		Support	Not Stated Not Stated		
475	1230979				Object	Not Stated		
475 588			1000507			Not Stated		
588 608	1232541		1232537		Support Support	Not Stated		
-	1232742			+	Support			
622	1230050		100000		Support	No Not Stated		
627	1233028		1232939		Object	Not Stated		
632	1233028		1232939		Object	Not Stated		
638	1224983		1224982		Object	Not Stated		
659	1233213		1233212		Object	Yes		
674	1233213		1233212		Object	Yes		
704	1233444		1233212		Object	Not Stated		
706	1233454		1232502		Support	Not Stated		
757	1233879		1233580		Object	Not Stated		
801	1234331		1234330	-	Object	Not Stated		
919	1235111		1234870		Object	Not Stated		

T			1			1		
934	1235344		1149800		Object	Not Stated		
951	1149828		1149800		Object	Not Stated		
966	1235343		1149800		Object	Not Stated		
984	1149823		1149800		Object	Not Stated		
1005	1235341		1149800		Object	Not Stated		
1059	1229839				Object	Not Stated		
1119	1236829				Object	No		
1123	1236844				Object	Yes		
1131	1234608				Object	Yes		
1143	1149498				Object	Not Stated		
1146	1149498				Object	Not Stated		
1151	1234431				Support	Yes		
1152	1234431				Object	Not Stated		
1176	1232503		1233580		Support	Not Stated		
1178	1232503		1233580		Object	Not Stated		
1186	1149498				Object	Not Stated		
1198	1230917				Object	Not Stated		
1255	1149350		1232395		Object	Not Stated		
1275	1232396		1232395		Object	Not Stated		
Summary represent		The settler flexibility fo	or the even	chy does no distribution	of new dev	elopment		
across the County which is primarily being di				irected to the				
				lity of the se				
						d distribution		
						Tier 3 and 4		
		•				allocations		
				ry changes				
			uneven distribution where this would not create harm. Seeks site in Trelawnyd.					
				yu.				
		Distributi	on among	st settlemei	at tions			
			-			n that the		
			A number of representations express concern that the Plan does not distribute growth in a logical or sustainable					
				•	•			
			manner amongst settlements in the settlement hierarchy.					
			Too focused on lower order settlements at the expense of					
			more sustainable larger settlements					
			Too focused on higher order settlement at the expense of					
			lower tier settlements					
		Various se	Various settlements and sites are promoted as part of					
		these obje	these objections.					
	,							
	Mismatch of Settlements – Employment / Housing					Housing		
	There is a mismatch between housing and employment in					-		
		that settlements with housing allocations do not have						
			employment allocations.					
		Reclassifi	cation of s	settlements	:			
			Reclassification of settlements: Pen-y-ffordd should be re-categorised as a Rural Defined					
			Village for a variety of reasons.					
	Village for a vallety of reasons.							

Gwernffield should be a Tier 3 settlement as it is in a highly sustainable location and benefits from a number of services and facilities such as an employment site, churches, school and sustainable transport. Therefore, in meeting the plans needs up to 2030, the settlement requires further growth with a housing allocation and not to rely on windfall/ exception sites. Seeks allocation of land at Coppy Farm Gwernaffield for housing.
New Brighton should be re-classified as a Tier 4 Defined Village for a variety of reasons Objects to the current Sustainable Settlement Hierarchy based on its allocation of Broughton as a Local Service Centre, rather than Main Service Centre.
Exceptions Schemes Welsh Government Exception Sites – Affordable housing exception sites are permissible under policy STR2: Location of Development and HN4 (criteria f). It is unclear why small scale exception sites are only allowed in Tiers 2-5 and not Tier 1 which are the most sustainable settlements? The approach requires justification and clarification given affordable housing need across Flintshire is significant.
The rural exceptions policy applicable to Tier 1, 2, 3 and 4 settlements needs to be changed to allow on site market housing as cross subsidy for affordable housing. This need not involve excessive development out of character with the rural settlement.
The reference to additional developments of affordable homes adjacent, but outside, settlement boundaries is not qualified, either in scale, number of developments or site specific locations considered acceptable for affordable development. Para 9.2 says that development must be controlled which contradicts this wording and leaves sites open to potential exploitation.
Employment sites It is suggested new (employment) development will be directed towards Principal employment allocations yet this is not the case and insufficient land has been identified at the PE2 site at Sealand IE.
Nannerch Concerned at the lack of any new housing in Nannerch until 2030.
Welsh Government seek clarification of spatial distribution & housing components by settlement tier & the housing trajectory Background Paper 10: Housing Land Supply

	and Delivery contains all the tables required by DMP (Ed.3) namely, Table 4 and Appendix 2-5 which collectively set out the spatial distribution of housing provision in the plan, the housing trajectory and the timing and phasing of all the components of supply by settlement tier. They should all be included in the plan as required by the Manual and current consultation on PPW.
	NRW welcome the requirement that for Tiers 4 and 5 housing development should be related to the scale, character and role of the settlement and that in Tier 5 'development needs to be sensitively conceived and designedto respect the character and appearance of the site and its surroundings'. We recommend that design related to scale and positive character should apply to all Tiers of development.
	Whilst it is possible to assess where development will be permitted in the Defined Villages, which have settlement boundaries, it is less clear where development might take place in the Tier 5 settlements. The committee would suggest that additional safeguards to prevent the unacceptable expansion of these settlement is required to set out more precise criteria against which proposals will be judged.
Changes sought	Seeks review of settlement hierarchy, revised apportionment between settlements and additional provision in certain settlements. Seeks revisions to policy wording.
Summary of Council Response	Countywide Distribution The settlement hierarchy in policy STR2 is based on a comprehensive suite of Settlement Audits which were published as part of the earlier Key Messages document alongside options in terms of categorizing settlements. This established that the most sustainable settlements are generally those in the eastern part of the County. The subsequent Strategic Options document then looked at 5 distinct spatial options for how growth should be distributed throughout the County. Option 1 was entitled 'proportional distribution' and involved the amount of development in each settlement being based on where a settlement is in the settlement hierarchy. Following an assessment of consultation responses, Option 5 which was a 'Sustainable Distribution plus refined approach to rural settlements'. This option involved planned growth through allocations in the first three tiers of the settlement hierarchy and provision in the bottom two tiers through local needs based housing development. This is felt to be a far more sustainable approach as it is based on the relative sustainability of settlements rather than the "even distribution" advocated, which ignores this main principle.

Although it is acknowledged that the new allocations are in the eastern half of the County, it must be stressed that the Plans housing supply comprises more than just new allocations. The Housing Balance Sheet comprises completions that have already taken pace in the first 4 years of the Plan period, housing commitments that have a valid planning permission at present and allowances for small site and large site windfalls. As part of this wider housing supply there will be scope for housing in the western part of the County. In Tier 4 Defined Villages such as Trelawnyd policy STR2 focuses on schemes which bring about local needs affordable housing either through small scale exceptions schemes on the edge of settlement boundaries or windfall sites within settlement boundaries, and allows an element of market housing where necessary to deliver local needs housing. The objection relating to Tralwnyd is commented on in the Councils response on this objection.

Distribution amongst settlement tiers

The Plans spatial strategy and approach to allocating land sites for housing is not premised on making allocations in every single settlement within the top three tiers of the settlement hierarchy. The fact that a settlement does not feature in the list of housing allocations in policy HN1 does not mean that these settlements will not experience growth. This may also be achieved by completions during the first 4 years of the Plan, by commitments and by allowances for small and large site windfall developments.

The Plan's spatial strategy distributes development based on a 5 tier settlement hierarchy with only the top three tiers receiving planned growth in the form of allocations. The Plan focuses on the most sustainable settlements and sites and does not apportion quantums of development across the board. Tier 1 and Tier 2 settlements accommodate 83% of the planned growth, whereas Tier 3 will accommodate just 14%, therefore the Council disagree with the objectors comment that "smaller settlements are identified for comparatively large levels of housing growth". In Tier 4 settlements provision is made for growth through a valety of windfalls, and small scale exceptions schemes to meet local needs whilst in the least sustainable Tier 5 settlements provision exists for infill and rounding off development which meets local needs. In their formal representations on the Plan Welsh Government have no objections to, and have supported in principle the amount of growth and spatial strategy.

Only two allocations are proposed in Tier 3 settlements because this tier of settlements has seen a number of

speculative housing schemes allowed on appeal and also completions during the first years of the Plan period. Growth is not only achieved through new allocations as it also achieved through completions, commitments and possible windfalls The settlements and sites promoted by objections are commented on in the Council responses to individual objections.
Mismatch housing sites / employment sites In terms of the objectors comment that "some larger settlements have no corresponding employment growth" the following summarises the existing employment areas and any new employment allocations within the settlements which include residential allocations; Buckley – has employment allocations and Principal Employment Area's (PEA's) Connah's Quay – has PEAs and is close to the allocated strategic allocation, Northern Gateway
Flint – has large PEA's Mold – has employment allocations and PEA's Ewloe – is adjacent to St Davids Park and is close to several PEA's and the allocated strategic site Northern Gateway. Hawarden - close to several PEA's, the allocated strategic site Northern Gateway, and Airbus HCAC – close to Llay Industrial Estate. New Brighton – close to Mold, Buckley and Deeside Penymynydd – close to Broughton and the allocated strategic site Warren Hall Flintshire has a large number of settlements and
traditional employment locations and interrelationships between the two, it is not necessary or appropriate for every settlement to have its own employment as the objector suggests. If this approach was taken, then the objectors proposed site in Broughton would not be suitable as there is no employment allocation in Broughton itself, the nearest is Hawarden Park Industrial Estate and the Strategic allocation Warren Hall.
Reclassification of settlements Penyffordd / Penymynydd is appropriately classified as a Tier 3 Sustainable Settlement as set out in the Council's response on this objection. Gwernymynydd is considered to be appropriately classified as a Tier 4 Defined Village. Both the explanation for this and the Councils commentary on the suggested site in the detailed response to this objection.
New Brighton is appropriately classified as a Tier 3 Sustainable Settlement as set out in the Council's response to these objections.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
a	Broughton is considered to be appropriately designated as a Tier 2 Local Service Centre as set out in the Council's response to this objection.
E	Exceptions schemes
e n s 4 '/ n p t	The provision of small scale affordable housing exceptions in STR2 as further explained in HN4-D is a means of providing affordable housing on the edges of settlements. The approach is fully in line with PPW (para 4.2.34). However, para 4.2.34 of PPW specifically states Affordable housing exception sites are not appropriate for market housing'. Unless there is a change to national blanning guidance it would not be appropriate to amend he Plan to include an element of market housing / cross subsidisation on exceptions schemes.
H o a n p c h h h u v t t s o c	The policy approach is carried over from the UDP (policy HSG11) whereby small scale developments on the edge of settlements can be delivered for affordable housing, as an 'exception' to normal planning policies. Given the nature of and workings of the policy it is not possible to predict the number or scale of developments. Schemes could arise where there is an identified and evidence nousing need to be met, a willing landowner and a nousing association or similar body to ensure that the units remain affordable in perpetuity. However, schemes would also need to be acceptable in planning terms in erms of representing a logical extension to the settlement, and acceptable in terms of highways and other considerations. The Plan, when read as a whole contains adequate safeguards to ensure the policy is not nis-used.
C w s a s w s s c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c	The Deposit Plans exclusion of Tier 1 Main Service Centres reflects a carry over from the adopted UDP whereby policy HSG11 applied 'rural' exceptions schemes as was defined in PPW at that time. Therefore adopted policy did not allow small scale exceptions schemes in the larger category A settlements as they were not rural settlements. It is noted that PPW describes such development as 'Affordable Housing Exceptions Schemes' and that reference to 'rural' settlements no onger applies. It is accepted that as Tier 1 settlements are considered to be most sustainable settlements then provision for small scale affordable housing exceptions development would be appropriate in principle. The Council would therefore offer no objection to the Inspector ecommending that policy STR2 be amended to allow Small Scale Exceptions Schemes for Affordable Housing adjoining settlement boundaries', within criteria a. re Tier Main Service Centres.
E	Employment Sites

Employment Sites

Policy STR2 explains that new development will be directed to i) allocated sites (which are identified in policy PE1) and ii) Principal Employment Areas which are identified in policy PE2. Unless an employment allocation happens to occur in a PEA, specific provision is not made within PEA's. Rather, PEA's provide a degree of flexibility in delivering new employment development by facilitating new employment development, extensions and expansion within existing defined employment areas.
The site is commented on the Councils response to the objection in respect of PE2.
Nannerch Nannerch is a Tier 4 'Defined Village' which benefits from some services and facilities to sustain local needs. Policies within the plan enable small scale local needs housing within rural areas such as Nannerch, either as windfall sites within the settlement boundaries or as small exception sites on the edge of settlement boundaries for affordable housing. Windfall market housing will only be permitted on sites when it is essential to delivering affordable housing. Policies STR2 and HN4-D specifically provide the opportunity to develop local needs housing within Tier 4 Defined Villages such as Nannerch therefore it is not necessary to allocate a site to meet future needs.
In response to Welsh Government, the information contained in the Background Paper and referred to, can be included in the final version of the written statement and can potentially be dealt with as an MAC at the Examination stage.
In response to NRW, such additional guidance is not considered appropriate or necessary for the top 3 tiers of the settlement hierarchy and it must be stressed that the Plan also needs to be read as a whole whereby all development proposals would need to satisfy policies PC2, 3 and 4.
The policy wording in criteria e. of STR2 permits only sensitive and small scale housing developments which take the form of either infill or rounding off. A further policy proviso is that developments must be for local needs affordable housing. In many respects the approach is similar to small scale exceptions schemes, but without the delineation of a settlement boundary. The explanation in para 5.16 further explains the policy wording by stating 'In these lower tier settlements, development needs to be sensitively conceived and designed and to respect the

	character and appearance of the site and its surroundings'. The implementation of the policy requires an analysis of the form and pattern of built development in each of the settlements and the relationship between built development and open countryside. The success or otherwise of a proposed development is whether it respects the present development pattern and respects the character and appearance of the locality. These are concepts which are embodied throughout the Plan and particularly in policies PC2 and PC3.
Minor Change proposed	No

Policy / page / Para / Map			STR3 Strategic Sites				
				P52 Deposit Plan written statement			
Relevant Content of Plan				the Plan's tw	•		
					teway, Deesi		
					ton which are	e both	
_		_		ise allocation			
Representa	Representations		Total 1	representatio	ons objection		
Comment	Consultee	Consultee Full	Agent ID	Agent Full	Do you	Hearing	
ID	ID	Name		Name	support or object to this policy?	attendance	
1149	1149498				Object	Not Stated	
			 the implementation, delivery and monitoring of the plan. Specifically, whether key elements of the master planning principles, delivery statements, and the infrastructure plan, should be in the plan to ensure good design and comprehensive development for housing and employment sites. Masterplan & Delivery Statements have been prepared for both strategic sites. Additional information, where necessary and relevant, along with statements of common ground to support the plan would be advantageous. This also applies to employment sites and key non-strategic 				
			housing sites, where relevant.				

infrastructure which should be articulated in the plan, including specific constraints such
	II I
	as those regarding the nearby airfield i.e. height restrictions which could impact on the developable area. □ The level and rational for the housing flexibility allowance is for the LPA to justify. The DPM (Edition 3) states 10% is a starting point, with any variation being robustly evidenced. It is not the role of Welsh Government to comment on the merits or the timing of individual sites in the plan. The key point is that the LPA demonstrates that there is sufficient flexibility at key points in the plan period through the trajectory. Statements of Common Ground will assist in clarifying the timing and phasing of all sites. The trajectory should illustrate the degree of flexibility throughout the plan period.
Changes sought	Evidence of viability, deliverability and flexibility
Summary of Council Response	flexibility. The Council has done a considerable amount of work to provide a robust evidence base to support the policies and proposals in the deposit LDP and to demonstrate the deliverability of the sites in the plan, and its overall soundness. The Council notes and welcomes the Welsh Government's positive support in its formal comments on the Plan for the levels of housing and employment growth and the spatial strategy for distributing and delivering that growth. Whilst the Council acknowledges the need to ensure as far as possible that it can demonstrate the deliverability of the strategic sites in the plan, given the advanced planning status of the Northern Gateway site, which has both outline and now reserved matters permissions across the site, that are enabling the delivery of housing and employment on the ground, the Council is confident that this site is highly sustainable and deliverable, particularly as development interest grows. In relation to the Warren Hall strategic site this is in Welsh Government ownership and a significant amount of background work has and continues to be done to evidence and
	justify the developability and delivery of this site. This is assisted by the site's priority status as part of the North Wales Growth deal where significant funding is available to provide the necessary infrastructure to prime the delivery of development on this site. In

			be fed in accelera housing conjunct to facilita certainty element contribut requirem In terms allowanc minimum Council o reasonal ensuring meet the	the housing to the Welsh te the provisi on sites in its ion with Regi ite this. This p of the delive of this mixed ion this make ient of the Pla of flexibility, the considers that ole and prage the delivery housing req put the Plan p	Government on of afford ownership stered Soci provides ad ry of the ho use site, ar es to the over an. the Plan inc ificantly about n DPM3 an t this is bott natic in tern of sufficient uirement, co	nt project to able working in al Landlords ded using nd the erall housing dudes an ove the d the n ns of housing to
		-				
Minor Cha	nge proposed	d line line line line line line line line	no			
Policy / pag	ge / Para / M	ар	Gateway	Strategic Site / osit Plan writ		
Relevant Content of Plan		The policy identifies the Plan's two strategic sites at Northern Gateway, Deeside and Warren Hall, Broughton which are both mixed use allocations.				
Representations		Total 25 23 objec 2 suppor		ons:		
Comment ID	Consultee ID	Consultee Full Name	Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing attendance
207	1230959				Object	Not Stated
257	1229111		1227685		Object	Yes
335	1231151		1231150		Object	Yes
339	1231151		1231150		Object	Yes
343	1231151		1231150		Object	Yes
369	1231153		1231150		Object	Yes
388	1231153		1231150		Object	Yes
424	1230991		1230989		Object	Not Stated
633	1233028		1232939		Object	Not Stated
639	1224983		1224982		Object	Not Stated
661	1146891				Support	Not Stated
758	1233887		1232502		Object	Not Stated
883	1148889		1234839		Support	Not Stated
921	1230461				Object	Not Stated

922	1235175	1234870	Object	Not Stated
935	1235344	1149800	Object	Yes
952	1149828	1149800	Object	Not Stated
967	1235343	1149800	Object	Not Stated
985	1149823	1149800	Object	Not Stated
997	1235357		Object	Not Stated
1007	1235341	1149800	Object	Not Stated
1060	1229839		Object	Not Stated
1205	1229108	1227685	Object	Not Stated
1260	1149350	1232395	Object	Not Stated
1276	1232396	1232395	Object	Not Stated

Summary of representations	Over-reliance of strategic sites - Delivery of economic and housing growth objective is entirely reliant on two strategic sites.
	Slippage / delays / delivery Long history of allocation in UDP and poor track record of delivery Strategic sites complex to deliver and prone to delay / slippage – two ownerships, with Pochin in administration Concern about delivery on the site – 100 units per annum is extremely high and needs evidencing / number of dwellings to be delivered by 2030 to be re-assessed and evidenced.
	Given necessary infrastructure enabling works, it is unlikely housing units will be delivered in 2020. Need for evidence that there is no funding gap for infrastructure works. Doubt that the site will delivered in the Plan period given no proven deliverability The 200 unit discount on the Pochin part of the site should be increased.
	National Grid identify electricity infrastructure within / nearby the site.
	Queries whether it is necessary in para 5.18 -to include a reference to Cheshire West and Chester Council / seeks clarification regarding para 5.24 whether the area in the policy reflects the whole site, or whether it is the residual left to be developed.
	NRW comment that the Strategic Flood Consequences Assessment (SFCA), and specifically Appendix B (FCC Development Site Assessment) lists the site for mixed use development. The

	Development Site Assessment advises that there should be a presumption against highly vulnerable development on this site, and that the Council should consider the removal of highly vulnerable development from the plan. Given the site is intended for allocation further narrative to support viability for the plan period would be beneficial. On both STR3A and NRW recommend reference is made to provision of a Green Infrastructure network and strategic landscaping and GI network. We suggest that these networks be included in the Proposed Green Infrastructure SPG.
Changes sought	/ reduction in forecast number of completions within Plan period / Identification of further allocations / contingency sites which are available, viable and deliverable in accordance with settlement hierarchy and sequentially preferable.
Summary of Council Response	It is undoubtedly the case that the employment growth objectives of the Plan rely heavily on the two strategic sites, although there is additional provision in the Plan through other employments allocations and flexibility with the Principal Employment Areas. The Plan is in line with the Growth Deal where both sites form a key part of the economic strategy for the region. However, the housing objectives of the Plan are not solely reliant on the two strategic sites as the Plan makes a number of allocations, in addition to completions, commitments and allowances for small and large site windfalls. The Plan is also on track with the intended housing delivery in the first four years of the Plan. It is not considered that additional housing allocations are required in the Plan. In their formal representations on the Plan, Welsh Government broadly support the level of housing and employment growth and the spatial strategy and consider the Plan to be in general conformity with the draft NDF. The Northern Gateway is a strategic mixed use allocation in both the adopted UDP and the deposit LDP. Progress on the site was affected by the economic downturn but Welsh Government has now invested in flood defence works along the River Dee and a spine road, both of which have been implemented. The site is in two ownerships

with Praxis promoting the northern part and Pochin the southern part.
Good progress is now being made on the northern part of the site with enabling works and the reserved matters approval (059514) for Countryside Properties for 283 units on plots H1, H2 and part of H8, who are presently on site. Following marketing of the site, developer interest has led to a planning application (060311) for a further phase of site enabling works and this application is under consideration. In January 2020 reserved matters approval was granted for a 10,000sqm warehouse development on plot A.
On the southern part of the site, Pochin are also making progress in bringing their element of the site forward. A planning application (058868) is presently under consideration for site enabling works for phase 1 and a reserved matters application (060411) is presently under consideration for 129 homes for Keepmoat Homes (reported to Planning Committee 4th March and secured a Committee resolution to grant planning permission subject to signing of s106). Although Pochin Construction went into administration it is not considered to affect the Northern Gateway development as the development company who have an interest in this site are not affected by the administration. In Aug 2019 a Welsh Government spokesman said 'We have been assured that the Pochin Goodman Joint Venture, which owns part of the Northern Gateway site, is not affected by Pochin's administration process and as such we do not expect any delay to work being carried out on the development'. Pochin Goodman is continuing in its work in delivering the southern part of the site.
The evidence clearly demonstrates there is renewed developer interest in the site and the construction on site by Countryside Properties will result in developer confidence in further phases of development. The Council is aware that the respective owners
have had firm enquiries from other developers about further phases of the development on the back of the initial phases of reserved matters permissions having been granted, and development commenced on

site. It is quite normal on a strategic site of this size to have several housebuilders on site at the same time.
Work associated with the April 2019 Housing Land Monitoring Study has involved feedback from Countryside Properties and it is of note that this developer has commenced construction on site and has a method of construction which can achieve high annual completion rates. It is evident that the site as a whole will be delivered by multiple developers and the housing delivery rates in the Housing Trajectory in the Housing Land Background Paper are realistic and achievable.
The two strategic sites form an integral part of the Growth Bid proposals for North Wales and will bring about major economic benefits to the region. Evidence clearly demonstrates that Northern gateway is now being delivered and on course to deliver the units within Plan period (as shown in the trajectory).
The ethos behind several objection appears to be simply to object to the housing element of this strategic site in order to promote smaller housing elsewhere in the County. Such an approach does not recognise the context of this important mixed use development and the combined package of economic benefits.
There is presently no requirement in PPW10 or the Development Plans Manual 3 for LDP's to incorporate contingency sites. The Plan already has a healthy 14.4% flexibility allowance and the allowances for small and large site windfalls is also a conservative estimate, offering further flexibility. It is also unclear when Anwyl are promoting significant alternative sites such as the large predominantly housing only extension to Croes Atti, how they would be in a position to deliver significant housing on a large scale at a rate to meet the requirements of the plan, when multiple developers now with reserved matters consents on the Northern Gateway site would not.
In para 5.18 the Council is seeking to clarify that there are strategic sites in CWAC, Wrexham and Denbighshire and that the

	Warren Hall, Broughton which are both mixed use allocations. Total 37 representations: 33 objections 4 support nsultee Full me Agent ID Agent Full Name Do you support or Hearing attendance
Relevant Content of Plan	The policy identifies the Plan's two strategic sites at Northern Gateway, Deeside and
Policy / page / Para / Map	STR3B Strategic Sites – Warren Hall P52 Deposit Plan written statement
Minor Change proposed	In respect of both STR3A and B, reference is made as part of the policy wording on each site to 'green infrastructure'. It is not considered further reference is necessary No
	In respect of the NRW objection on the Northern Gateway allocation, the site was allocated in the adopted UDP. The site has the benefit of outline planning permissions, consents in respect of discharges of conditions and reserved matters approvals. Housing development is now under construction on the site. Welsh Government has invested in flood defence works involving the strengthening of the embankments along the R. Dee. NRW were a statutory consultee throughout the sites allocation and planning application processes and an appropriate flood management scheme put in place.
	Flintshire LDP does not seek to identify any new strategic sites other than the two sites carried over from the UDP. In para 5,24 the Council is providing an overview and further explanation of the Northern Gateway development. The allocation in the Plan is for the whole site. The whole site has the benefit of two outline planning permissions and further reserved matters and discharge of conditions applications have been approved on both halves of the site. It is not considered that the policy or explanatory text is unclear that it relates to the whole site.

					to this	
					policy?	
7	1228415				Object	Not Stated
215	1230944				Object	Not Stated
220	1230953		1229964		Object	Not Stated
351	1229035				Support	Yes
399	1227975				Object	Yes
435	1231333				Object	Not Stated
541	1232271				Object	No
580	1232506		1232501		Object	Not Stated
614	1232794				Object	Not Stated
666	1233248		1149190		Object	Not Stated
678	1146891				Support	Not Stated
765	1144593				Object	Not Stated
766	1144593				Object	Not Stated
824	1231124		788759		Object	Not Stated
1116	1236741				Object	No
1138	1234608				Object	Yes
1156	1234431				Object	Not Stated
1204	1229108		1227685		Object	Not Stated
1219	1229111		1227685		Object	Not Stated
1221	1235341		1149800		Object	Not Stated
1224	1231151		1231150		Object	Yes
1225	1235344		1149800		Object	Yes
1226	1231151		1231150		Object	Yes
1227	1231151		1231150		Object	Not Stated
1228	1149828		1149800		Object	Not Stated
1229	1149828				Object	Not Stated
1230	1235343		1149800		Object	Not Stated
1232	1234883		1234870		Object	Not Stated
1235	1231153		1231150		Support	Yes
1236	1231153		1231150		Object	Not Stated
1237	1149823		1149800		Object	Not Stated
1261	1149350		1232395		Object	Not Stated
1270	1232506		1232501		Support	Not Stated
1271	1230991		1230989		Object	Not Stated
1272	1229839				Object	Not Stated
1273	1230461				Object	Not Stated
1277	1232396		1232395		Object	Not Stated
Summary o	of representation	ns	Public obj	ections		
		-	•		c and traff	fic issues and
			congestion	•		
			•		rovidina	direct access /
				Shopping Pa	•	
			•			anacity of
		Concern about access to and capacity of schools and health facilities.				
		Broughton is becoming overloaded and				
			unrecognizable without the correct infrastructure in place to support additional			
				ire in place	to suppor	t additional
			people.			
			ivierging of	Broughton	and High	er Kinnerton.

Concern about the name given to the site as this is the name of an adjacent private residential property. Concerns about ecology and routing of electricity lines
Developer Based Objections Over-reliance of strategic sites - Delivery of economic and housing growth objective is entirely reliant on two strategic sites.
 Slippage / delays / delivery Strategic sites complex to deliver and prone to delay / slippage The site has unimplemented otline consent and no indication the site will come forward within the Plan period. The proposal is for a complex and aspirational mixed use development which is highly unlikely to be delivered in the Plan period. Regardless of previous permissions the site should be re-assessed on its own merits. Siignificant inverstment required regarding infrastructure which rises concerns about viability and deliverability – lack of detail on funding.
Sustainability The site is isolated and does not have any relationship to facilities. It is therefore not a sustainable option for residential development and the lack of facilities mean that even if residential development comes forward in that location the need for facilities will delay delivery over many years. No assessment of sustainable access particularly given its divorced position relative to settlements Fails the sequential approach to site selection. Greenfield site in an isolated and unsustainable location which does not reflect Plan policies or PPW. Creation of an isolated new community
Constraints The aeronautical constraints will impose significant commercial constraints on development. Involves loss of grade 3a BMV

	Significant water supply and electricity supply problems No trajectory for the site Effect of WG imposing constraints on delivery partners – zero carbon housing, bungalow development. NRW recommend reference is made to provision of a Green Infrastructure network and strategic landscaping and GI network. We suggest that these networks be included in the Proposed Green Infrastructure SPG. Para 5.33 should be amended to include B1 and B2 to reflect the policy wording
Changes sought	Identification of further allocations / contingency sites which are available, viable and deliverable in accordance with settlement hierarchy and sequentially preferable. Deletion of site or deletion of the 300 units
Summary of Council Response	from housing land supply. Public objections: It must be stressed that the site is allocated for a business park and hotel in the UDP and already has outline planning permission for a business park. In the LDP the site area has been extended and the mix of uses broadened to include housing and a commercial local centre. The Deposit Plan was accompanied by a Masterplan and Delivery Statement document which summaries the wide range of background and technical documents which had been undertaken for the site. Highways: One of these was a Transport Assessment which concluded that the local highway network is able to accommodate the development. Options exist for Lesters Lane in terms of one-way or no through road. New junction:
	The Council has for some time pushed for a new access off the A55(T) eastbound to provide a direct route to Airbus and the Retail Park, thereby avoiding Main Road. A sub- regional transport is presently taking place to look at options for improving access into the Broughton / Saltney area and the western edge of Chester. Services and facilities:

The housing element will not deliver completed houses until 2023-24 and will be developed over a number of years. The impact of development will therefore not be felt in 'one hit' and there is sufficient time for both the Heath Board and the Education Authority to support the delivery of growth that is identified in the Plan. There is no formal objection from either statutory body to the Plan nor allocation. Broughton This is a sustainable and vibrant settlement in terms of its significant employment and retail offer and is a key part of delivering the growth ambitions of the Plan.
Merging of settlements The Council has extended the green barrier along the southern edge of Broughton to ensure a gap between the site and Higher Kinnerton Site name The site has always been known as Warren Hall or Warren Hall Business Park. Ecology / electricity Welsh Government has commissioned ecological studies and there is no objection form NRW. The Utlities Study does not show upgraded power lines being any closer to
existing properties. Developer Objections: Over-reliance on strategic sites : Although Warren Hall is a strategic site for a mixed use development, the housing element is not strategic, being similar in scale to some LDP housing allocations. The housing element of 300 units is quite capable of being delivered within the Plan period. It is acknowledged that the Warren hall site has part agent for development departs
not come forward for development despite being previously allocated in the UDP and having the benefit of outline planning permission (not including the housing element). Nevertheless, the mix of uses has been broadened and the site extended to improve the viability of the site and to improve the mix of development in order to improve sustainability. The need for public sector investment in order to bring forward the site, particularly the employment part, is clearly referenced in the Growth Deal. There

is therefore no shortfall in housing from this site. The delivery of 300 units on a strategic site, in a strong housing market area is not unduly onerous or challenging.
It is undoubtedly the case that the employment growth objectives of the Plan rely heavily on the two strategic sites, although there is additional provision in the Plan through other employments allocations and flexibility with the Principal Employment Areas. The Plan is in line with the Growth Deal where both sites form a key part of the economic strategy for the region. However, the housing objectives of the Plan are not solely reliant on the two strategic sites as the Plan makes a number of allocations, in addition to completions, commitments and allowances for small and large site windfalls. The Plan is also on track with the intended housing delivery in the first four years of the Plan. It is not considered that additional housing allocations are required in the Plan.
Slippage / delays / delivery The Warren Hall allocation is for a strategic site in the form of a mixed use development. Further to the existing UDP allocation and outline planning permission, the LDP allocation has been extended slightly and the range of uses broadened to include a commercial hub alongside the hotel and to include 300 houses.
The site has outline planning permission for a business park and a hotel. Investment by Welsh Government in the improved grade separated interchange between the A55(T) and the A5119 has been completed. In the LDP the size of the site has been extended and the mix of land uses broadened to include a commercial hub associated with the hotel and 300 houses. It is not considered that the LDP allocation, in comparison with the UDP allocation and planning permission is 'complex and aspirational'. Rather, it is representative of the facilities that would be expected as part of a sustainable mixed use strategic site.
The existing consent is clearly relevant as it establishes the principle of development in this location and on this site. It is not

considered that the new mix of uses and extent of the site is so different as to warrant ignoring the sites planning history.
The relevance of the sites importance to the regional growth agenda is also important. There is a clear commitment in the Growth Deal, through the North Wales Economic Ambition Board, to delivering the Warren Hall site and there is significant financial support to ensure the site can come forward.
Several of the objectors take a housing only perspective to development, and in doing so fails to acknowledge the wider purpose and intentions behind promoting mixed use development through sites such as this, and the broader intentions for economic benefits that this can bring, that just building more homes alone cannot match.
Sustainability:
Welsh Government in PPW10 recognises the sustainability role that mixed use development can play. The site will comprise high quality employment development alongside 300 houses and a commercial hub with hotel, leisure and retail facilities. Residents will not be in an isolated development but will be part of a mixed use development. Furthermore, the site is located on the edge of Broughton which is a shopping and employment centre of sub- regional importance and which has a range of other facilities and services. The site is also close to Higher Kinnerton and Penyffordd / Penymynydd villages which also have a range of services and facilities. Although the site does not adjoin a settlement boundary or have a full range of facilities and services on site it sits in a sustainable location.
The Masterplan which accompanied the Deposit Plan for Warren Hall identified the provision of an extensive green infrastructure network across the site to maximise opportunities to promote community cohesion within the site and to enable sustainable linkages with nearby settlements. It clearly shows a network of green infrastructure and walking / cycling routes through the site. The policy requires that the

development links in with the Active Travel scheme linking Mold, Buckley and Broughton, which is being developed by the Councils Transport Strategy unit. Consideration will be given to ensuring links between the site and the Active travel route and Higher Kinnerton. Bus services also operate along the A5104 adjacent to the site. It is acknowledged that the site is not an extension to an existing settlement but rather, it forms part of a strategic mixed use site in a highly sustainable location close to several settlements and major employment and shopping at Broughton.

Constraints:

Aeronautical - The masterplan shows the location of the housing, business park and commercial hub and these are not affected by the height constraints associated with the flight path. It is the higher north western part of the site which is most constrained and the Masterplan clearly shows this as being retained as open land. The aeronautical constraint does not prevent the site coming forward for development. Further work has now been undertaken in the form of an Instrument Flight Procedure Safeguarding assessment by Cyrrus on behalf of Welsh Government and discussions have taken place with Airbus. The outcome of this work is that development of two or more storeys in height will be acceptable on approximately 54.6ha or 71.2% of the overall site area. These results have been presented to Airbus who consider that further detailed design work at Warren Hall can proceed.

Agricultural land - The majority of the site is already allocated in the adopted UDP and has outline planning permission. Although this part of the site has BMV, the principle of development has previously been established. The housing element comprises grade 3b and this is based on an onsite survey and is clearly referenced on the Welsh Government Predictive Agricultural Land Classification Map.

Utilities infrastructure - The need for infrastructure improvements is acknowledged and this is reference in the Growth Deal in terms of the public funding being needed to

	 deliver this. The commitment to fund the necessary infrastructure is a clear demonstration of the importance of the site to the regional growth strategy. Trajectory - The Deposit LDP is accompanied by Background Paper 10 Housing Land Supply. The summary trajectory in table 3 (as supported by the detailed trajectory in appendix 3) indicates that 75 units will be delivered in years 6-10 (30 in 2023-24, 45 in 2024/25) and 225 will be delivered in years 11-15 (45 per year). In this strong market area a build rate of 45 units per annum is realistic and achievable. WG requirements - As the Warren Hall site is in Welsh Government ownership, it is the intention to make the residential element of the mixed use site available as part of the Welsh Government's programme of making land available to accelerate the provision of
	affordable housing, and work is ongoing with the North Wales Registered Social Landlords to advance this site.
	Reference is made as part of the policy wording on each site to 'green infrastructure'. It is not considered further reference is necessary.
	The Council accepts that para 5.33 is not consistent with the policy wording and should be amended to refer to B1 and B2, if the Inspector considered this appropriate.
Minor Change proposed	No
Policy / page / Para / Map	STR4 Principles of Sustainable Development, Design and Placemaking P56 Deposit Plan written statement
Relevant Content of Plan	The policy provides strategic guidance and principles in respect of sustainable development, design and placemaking.
Representations	Total 12 representations 11 objections 1 support

Comment ID	Consultee ID	Consultee Full Name	Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing attendance
404	1144556				Object	Yes
546	1232314				Object	No
593	1232541		1232537		Object	Not Stated
640	1224983		1224982		Object	Not Stated
740	1233619		1233580		Object	Not Stated
762	1144593				Object	Not Stated
854	1150807				Object	Not Stated
924	1235184		1234870		Object	Not Stated
1061	1229839				Object	Not Stated
1137	1149498				Support	Not Stated
1262	1149350		1232395		Object	Not Stated
1278	1232396		1232395		Object	Not Stated
			onerous develop develop One ob Haward network Cross T advice points. One ob rates fo whethe period v	jection is to t	unrealistic uld not be a he HN1.8 A ms of the la around the so raises c e number of evidence o from 2000 t needs fror ull or not.	burdens on applied to all Ash Lane, ocal highway e school and ontradictory f access f delivery o determine n the UDP
Changes s	ought		change explana Council Seeks o	s and concer ation and the 's response to changes to p	rns about th se are addr to individua	ne policy / ressed in the I objections.
Summary	of Council R	esponse	explana			/
			emphas design that see improvi new de therefor policy a associa plannin	g Policy Wal sis on 'sustain principles'. T eks to achiev ng the overa velopment in re vitally import nd the more ted with it, to g decisions a pment can ac	nable place his is a stra e that aspir Il quality of the County ortant for th detailed po form the b and set out	emaking ategic policy ration by design for all /. It is is strategic blicies asis of all how

	sustainable, design and placemaking outcomes and minimise any adverse outcomes. All of the criteria are material planning considerations, some are more relevant than others depending on the type of development and this is why the policy wording uses the term 'should' not 'must'. Therefore the appropriate weight to be attached to each issue will be recognised and considered at the planning application stage. The Ash lane site allocation has been informed by a Transport Study and Highways Development Management Officers have no objection to the proposal. Clearly there are pressures around the school and Cross Tree Lane and the Council's Highways Strategy Team (Streetscene) are considering options for traffic management in the area. Scope exists as part of drawing up the detail of the development to address these issues. It must also be stressed that the site is in a sustainable location and within walking distance of two schools. It is accepted that delivery rates during the UDP Plan period did not keep up with what the Plan specified. This is largely down to the economic downturn and its impact on the housebuilding industry. The housing requirement figure for the UDP was calculated in the context of projections and circumstances at the turn of the century. Those circumstances and projections are completely different to those which informed the LDP housing requirement. The relevance of delivery rates between 2000 and 2006 (at a time when the UDP had not been adopted) is not considered relevant to the present debate.
Minor Change proposed	No
Policy / page / Para / Map Relevant Content of Plan	STR5 Transport and AccessibilityP60 Deposit Plan written statementThe policy provides strategic guidance in respect of transport and accessibility in the
Representations	County. Total 8 representations: 6 objections 2 support

Comment ID	Consultee ID	Consultee Full Name	Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing attendance
147	1230363				Object	No
292	1229111		1227685		Object	Yes
553	1149198		1148968		Object	Not Stated
807	1234408		1234407		Support	Not Stated
823	1234491		1234487		Support	Not Stated
1002	1235357				Object	Not Stated
1019	1235357				Object	Not Stated
1063	1229839				Object	Not Stated
Changes so	ought		Western seeks re matters An object the Red County b para 5.4 border is NRW se Active T One objector mixed us Seeks a	Relief Road ference in c outside of Fl ction sugges Route (whic boundary) sh 1 is reworde sues. ek reference ravel and Da ection sough ovision of ro is seeking th se developm mendments	d and anoth riteria to iv) intshire. ted that the h extends h ould be sh d to better e to green i ark Skies at reference ad side fac he allocatio hent at Kels	to highways full extent o beyond the own and tha reflect cross nfrastructure in the policy filities (this on of a large sterton).
Jummary c	of Council Re	esponse	Transpo from the Manage other rep	Lane alloca rt Study and Council's H ment officers presentation to highways	there is no ighways De s. A respon s on site H	o objection evelopment ise to the WN005
			•	in Policy ST Western Re		

is part of Chester's transport strategy. Reference is included in the supporting explanation to maximizing the benefits of regional transport infrastructure investment (para 5.38) and to cross border working with CWAC and others to deliver improved vehicular access to Chester and Broughton (para.5.41) – this project is still on-going. It is not considered appropriate to include reference to the Strategic Road or sub regional network under Policy STR5 as the County as Highway Authority has very limited responsibility for delivery of schemes on these networks. PPW (para. 5.3.29 references Trunk Roads and Motorways as the Strategic Network. The Explanation to Policy STR5 does reference sub regional and regional initiatives (para. 5.3.6). The policy is also supported by a detailed policy PC5.
It is considered appropriate that the LDP shows protected routes within the LDP area only, though the Council acknowledges that the red route extends beyond the Flintshire County border.
Reference is already included in Policy STR5 to Green Infrastructure networks and the thrust of Active Travel is included in bullet point (vi) and in the explanation to policy EN2 which mentions the production of green infrastructure SPG. No further wording is necessary in STR5. The Plan has a detailed policy (EN5) regarding the AONB and policy EN18 addresses light pollution in the policy wording and reference Dark Skies initiative in the explanatory wording. The Plan needs to be read as a whole.
While the policy does not specifically reference new roadside facilities it does reference 'new development and transport infrastructure' and incorporates criteria against which proposals can be assessed. The Council is also aware of the Welsh Government's aim (para. 5.3.19 of PPW Edition 10) for there to be an increase in freight movement by rail and water rather than by road. Any proposals for roadside facilities can be considered on their merits

	against the Plans framework of policies and national planning guidance. The site promoted by the objector is commented on by the Council in response to other objections.
Minor Change proposed	No
Policy / page / Para / Map	STR6 Services, Facilities and Infrastructure P65 Deposit Plan written statement
Relevant Content of Plan	The policy sets out the types pf infrastructure that will sought in order to mitigate the impacts of new development.
Representations	Total 16 representations: 14 objection 1 support 1 not specified

Comment ID	Consultee ID	Consultee Full Name	Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing attendance
145	1230363				Object	Yes
146	1230363				Object	No
191	1230881				Object	Not Stated
347	1231188				Object	No
413	1144556				Object	Yes
641	1224983		1224982		Object	Not Stated
679	1146891				Support	Not Stated
770	1144593				Object	Not Stated
855	1150807				Object	Not Stated
936	1235344		1149800			Not Stated
953	1149828		1149800		Object	Not Stated
968	1235343		1149800		Object	Not Stated
986	1149823		1149800		Object	Not Stated
1008	1235341		1149800		Object	Not Stated
1133	1234608				Object	Yes
1153	1234431				Object	Yes

Summary of representations	Two objections relate to the HN1.8 Ash Lane housing allocation in terms of access to health facilities school capacity and the scale of the development.
	Mostly, representations argue that the policy needs to contain more detail as to how obligations are calculated and that it raises concerns about the viability of the Plans strategic sites and housing allocations.

	Several objections seek to establish a link between the policy and Place Plans.
	One objection seeks the deletion of reference to public art.
	Two objections suggest developers providing towards the establishment of a pot of money to be accessible to bids from the community.
	One objection considers the Council is not ambitious enough in terms of a wide variety of matter, prjects and funding.
Changes sought	Amendments to policy wording
Summary of Council Response	Policy STR6 sets out the types of infrastructure and other developer obligations that can be sought in order to mitigate the impacts of development. Criteria iii) specifies health 'facilities' as the LDP and planning system cannot be required to contribute funding to revenue schemes such as the employment of GP's and other medical staff. This is the remit and responsibility of the Health Board. There is no objection to the Plan or this allocation from the Health Board. There is no objection from the Education Authority to the LDP or to this allocation. The Education Authority is assessing how additional school capacity can be established. It must be stressed that this allocation is not scheduled to deliver housing completions until 2023/24 so there is sufficient time to address this.
	STR6 sets out the framework for developer contributions and makes it clear that not all the list of infrastructure will be required by all developments. Alongside the LDP an Infrastructure Plan (IP) has been produced which sets out all the Infrastructure requirements for each strategic and allocated sites. The IP is a 'live' document and will be updated as more detailed information comes forward.
	Place Plans will be considered as a material planning consideration on planning applications although it does not have the status of formal SPG. Planning Policy Wales edition 10 is clear that Place Plans should <i>"support the delivery of LDP policies"</i> which

implies that the Village Plan should align with
the LDP. The draft LDP Manual edition 3 also states that "Place Plans should be in conformity with the development plan" and also that "they cannot duplicate or introduce new policy, nor can they de-allocate sites identified in the adopted development plan. Place Plans are not part of the statutory development plan; instead they add detail to the adopted plan". Place plans can be adopted as Supplementary Planning Guidance once the LDP has been adopted and only if the Place Plan (PP) adheres to the policies in the LDP. Although PP will be material considerations when drawing up LDP's it is important to note that PP's need to reflect the LDP so they cannot be adopted as SPG until the LDP is adopted.
The Council considers it reasonable to seek public art on certain types and scales of development.
Where a development would impact upon a community the developer will need to enter into a S106 legal agreement with the Local Authority to provide funds/works to mitigate against the impact of the development. This money can only be spent in accordance with the S106, for example if it is collect for affordable housing purposes it can only be spent on the provision of new affordable housing within that local area, it could not be used to fund other things. Once the LDP is adopted the Local Authority will explore the viability of producing a CIL for Flintshire. It has not been possible to do this while preparing the LDP due to time and resource issues. Until a CIL is formally adopted the Council will continue to use traditional S106 agreements to mitigate against the impact of development on communities.
The role of the LDP is to provide a framework of land use policies and it is not a guide or statement of intent regarding the matters sought by the objector. Each of the objectors points is commented on in the Councils separate response on this objection.

Minor Change proposed	No

Appendix 21d Main Issues Schedule Dev Man Policies – Supporting a Prosperous Economy

Strategic Policies – Supporting a Prosperous Economy

Policy / page / Para / Map		Strategic Policies – Supporting a Prosperous Economy - General						
Relevant Content of Plan		n/a						
Representa	tions		Total 1 rep	resenta	tion - objectio	n		
Comment ID	Consultee ID	Cor Nar	nsultee Full ne	Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing attendance	
998	1235357					Object	Not Stated	
Summary of representations Changes sought Summary of Council Response			 Supporting over the Plend over the Plend	ng a Pro ans pro en econ crategic ed 1 Strate of devel Genera own of tes and . It is ur	ed under the la osperous Ecor vision for emp omic forecast sites and othe egic Growth se lopment that the al Employment la the range of o oclear why the be inconsister	nomy' seeking ployment land s and the rela er employmen ets out the bro he Plan provio t Land Allocated other employn objector cons	o clarification and jobs tionship t site. Dad des for. tions shows between the nent	
Minor Chan	ige propose	d	No					
Policy / page / Para / Map		STR7 Economic Development, Enterprise, and Employment P72 Deposit Plan written statement						
Relevant Content of Plan		The policy identifies the Plans strategic approach to economic development, enterprise and employment.						
Representations		Total 8 representations: 5 objections 3 support						

Comment ID	Consultee ID	Consultee Full Name	Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing attendance		
688	1233345		1233325		Object	Not Stated		
806	1234408		1234407		Support	Not Stated		
826	1234493		1234487		Support	Not Stated		
886	1148889		1234839		Support	Not Stated		
911	1148344				Object	Not Stated		
917	1141895		1234985		Object	Not Stated		
937	1235344		1149800		Object	Yes		
1164	1149498				Object	Not Stated		
representa	tions	Several o strategic s and also i employme Other obje minerals a	sites which raise a mis ent land. ections see and energy	aise concern have not de match betwe ek the inclusi developme	emonstrated een the jobs ion of refere nt in the po	ence to licy.		
Changes	ought	north of S	One objection promotes a strategic mixed use site to the orth of Shotwick Road.					
Changes s			eks policy on telecommunications. e Council notes the need for a policy on					
Summary o Response		telecomm	telecommunications and has suggested a policy and explanatory wording for consideration by the Inspector.					
		employme employme numerous with lando deliverabl	The policy notes that the strategic sites will provide employment however the policy also states that general employment sites that are part of the LDP will provide employment along with additional flexibility provided by numerous PEAs. The Council has engaged extensively with landowners to ensure that the sites allocated are deliverable and can realistically be brought forward for development over the plan period.					
		slow to ge investmen has been matters a large stor part of the part. Deve phases of and the co	et off the g nt in flood of undertake pproval giv age and di e site and of eloper inte the develoonfidence	ven to one pl stribution wa one phase of rest is being opment. This that commer	ver, signific transport in Governmen hase of hou rehouse or housing or expressed more positi cement of	ant frastructure t and reserved sing and a the northern the southern in other		

the site can be predominantly delivered during the Plan period. With regards to Warren Hall, the site has outline planning permission for a business park and a hotel. The relevance of the sites importance to the regional growth agenda is also important. There is a clear commitment in the Growth Deal, through the North Wales Economic Ambition Board, to delivering the Warren Hall site.
The objector has not explained what is meant by a mismatch between the jobs target and the amount of employment land identified, and the Council are satisfied that from the combination of strategic sites, and the wider employment portfolio, there is more than sufficient context to facilitate the job growth aimed for by the Plan. The site promoted by the objector is commented on in separate responses.
The Council considers that the plan has to be read as a whole and clearly recognises the importance of minerals and energy as demonstrated by STR16 and STR14 and the accompanying detailed policies. It is not considered necessary or appropriate for minerals and energy to be specifically referenced in policy STR7.
The LDP has allocated sufficient land for development to meet identified needs during the Plan Period and additional allocations are not required. It is considered that the Northern Gateway is a well-established site along with the Warren Hall strategic site which is deliverable and other employment areas allocations as well as flexibility provided by numerous Principal Employment Areas. The focus of the Plan is on delivering growth through the development of the two strategic sites as these form a key part of the Growth Deal.
The promoted site is located between Deeside Industrial Park and the Flintshire / Cheshire County boundary and it is currently designated as green barrier land which has been reviewed and been found to comply with the purposes of a green barrier as defined in PPW. Development would also result in the loss of a large swathe of grade 2 BMV agricultural land. The submission proposes development on the back of the Welsh Government red route road scheme but there is no evidence yet that this will be delivered within the Plan period. The site is also sited with zone C1 flood risk on NRW's Development Advice Maps and it is not clear how the objector considers that a mixed use development
NRW's Development Advice Maps and it is not clear how the objector considers that a mixed use development (including residential) can meet the justification tests

			in TAN15 given that the site is greenfield land. It is considered that the proposal for the inclusion of the site next to the red route located at land North of Shotwick Road, Deeside Industrial Estate is not necessary or appropriate.				
Minor Char	nge propose	d	No				
Policy / pag	ge / Para / N	lap			Land Provis		
Relevant C	ontent of PI	an	The policy land in ter	/ sets out ł ms of com gemploym	mitments, all	provides for locations, saf ble policies el	eguarding
Representa	ations		Total 5 re 4 objectio 1 support	presentations	ons:		
Comment ID	Consultee ID	Consultee Full Name		Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing attendance
827	1234495			1234487		Support	Not Stated
888	1148889			1234839		Object	Not Stated
938	1235344			1149800		Object	Not Stated
999 1170	1235357 1232503			1233580		Object Object	Not Stated Not Stated
Summary of representations			employme be suppor promoted seems to but the ex growth (ra clarificatio regional g Dee Alliar One object STR3 Nor Seeks gre Northern (ent sites ar rted by add at Northop be a signif planation so ther than so on whether rowth, or co nce and the ction consid- thern Gate eater flexib Gateway	ound the Con ditional housing o. Another ob- icant oversup suggests this specific to Fli this is referri- does it include e Cheshire W ders STR8 is eway in terms ility in the rar	re is a need for unty which we ng sites. A sit jector consid oply of emplo is required for ntshire). See ng to the Nor e cross bound (est and Chest of the mix of nge of uses a	ould need to te is ers there yment land, or regional ks th Wales dary Mersey ster area? elation to f uses. llowed at
		One objection sought greater flexibility to allow existing employment development to expand onto land outside a settlement boundary. A site on the edge of Chester is promoted by the objector.					
Changes so	ought		Seeks pol	icy amend	ments and a	dditional alloo	cations

Summary of Council	It is considered that sufficient employment land has been
Response	allocated within the County to identify the growth needs
	over the plan period in accordance with the
	recommendation contained within the ELR and in terms of
	contributing to the wider growth ambitions of the region.
	The Employment Land Review 2015 has analysed
	employment land and premises demand, supply and need
	to 2030. ELR's should be updated every five years and
	therefore the current ELR is in date. The ELR has been
	supplemented by further work from the same specialist
	consultants, namely the Flintshire Further Employment
	Growth Scenarios Oct 2015 and the Employment and Housing Advice April 2019. The Plan contains two
	strategic sites, the employment allocations in PE1 and
	additional flexibility provided by the Principal Employment
	Areas in PE2 and a flexible policy approach. This should
	provide for sufficient choice of location, type and size of
	site to meet the needs of commercial operators. It is not
	considered additional employment allocations / housing
	allocations are required. The site being promoted by the
	objector is commented on in the Council's detailed
	response.
	Northern Gateway is specifically addressed by policy
	STR3A and is also mentioned in PE1 which lists
	employment allocations and HN1 which lists housing
	allocations. Policy STR8 is intended to provide broad
	strategic guidance on the provision of employment land
	and is not intended to be site specific. When read as a
	whole, the Plan is considered to provide appropriate
	reference to and explanation of the importance of the
	Northern Gateway site without the need for it to be referenced in policy STR8. The specific guidance in
	STR3A provides for an appropriate mix of development at
	Northern Gateway and it is not considered necessary for
	either STR8 to provide further clarification on other uses
	within employment sites as this is set out in policy PE6.
	Policy STR8 seeks to provide general strategic advice on
	the provision of employment and is not intended to cover
	all eventualities as it is supported by a suite of more
	detailed Development Management Policies. Policy PE5 provides guidance on the expansion of existing
	employment enterprises and policy PE3 permits
	employment development outside settlement boundaries
	subject to satisfying certain criteria. Clearly, the Plan
	needs to be read as a whole and it is evident that there is
	policy to provision to consider the expansion needs of
	existing businesses. The suggested site on the edge of
	Chester is commented on in other site specific
Minor Change proposed	representations.
Minor Change proposed	

Policy / page / Para / Map	STR9 Retail Centres and Development
	P79 Deposit Plan written statement
Relevant Content of Plan	The policy sets out the use of a retail hierarchy in
	planning for new retail development, based on the town
	centres first principles in national guidance.
Representations	Total 3 representations:
	2 objections
	1 support

Comment ID	Consultee ID	Consultee Full Name	Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing attendance
297	1229111		1227685		Object	Yes
796	1234331		1234330		Object	Not Stated
1022	1235357				Support	Not Stated

Summary of representations	The policy must be more specific and determined in being committed to master plans and action plans and using planning mechanisms such as its compulsory purchase powers to assemble land which have vacant or underused buildings to bring in a range of compatible new uses to the centres and the fringes recognising that it might not be just about retail. Objection to the lack of retail allocations with which to meet the need identified in the Retail Study. The level of need is greater than that identified in the Retail Study as the Saltney planning permission has expired.
	The Retail Study clearly references in para 6.6 (first bullet point) a monitoring and review process including the 'implementation of existing retail commitments' and recognises that 'non-implementation of commitments or the expiry of existing planning permissions will release additional capacity'. The implications of the expiry of the Saltney Retail Park planning permission will increase the overall Plan period requirement from 508sqm (new sales) to 4028sqm (net sales). The UDP made six retail / commercial allocations and only one of these has been implemented. This demonstrates the difficulty in allocating relatively small sites across a large number of centres, which could serve to prevent other sites coming forward. Given the number of centres it is still the Council's belief, even with an increased comparison floorspace need, that a flexible approach which allows the market to bring forward sites or sites to be identified through regeneration activities, is appropriate for the County.
Changes sought	Seeks allocations and amendments to policy.

Summary of Council Response	Policy STR9 is a strategic policy which is signposted to other more detailed Development management Policies. It sets out a strategy of seeking to support town, district and local centres through an appropriate set of planning policies. The third para of the policy wording specifically references health checks, masterplans and action plans. These initiatives can be pursued by the Councils Economic Development Team within the policy framework set by the LDP and it is not considered necessary or appropriate for the LDP to try to set out the detailed strategy and programme for each town centre as this will change over time. The Council has given consideration to the use of CPO powers to buy up land and buildings in order to facilitate town centre regeneration projects. It remains a course of action that could be utilized subject to availability of finance. It would be inappropriate for the Plan to commit the Council to such actions, as they are more appropriately considered outside of the Plan making process. No					
Minor Change proposed	NO					
Policy / page / Para / Map	STR10 Tourism, Culture, and Leisure					
	P83 Deposit Plan written statement					
Relevant Content of Plan	The policy sets out strategic principles in respect of					
Representations	tourism, cultural and leisure development. Total 5 representations:					
	2 objections					
	3 support					
						
	onsultee Ag III Name	gent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support	Hearing attendance	

Comment ID	Consultee ID	Consultee Full Name	Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing attendance
12	1228815				Support	Not Stated
349	1231204				Support	No
802	1234331		1234330		Object	Not Stated
830	1234497		1234487		Support	Not Stated
1065	1229839				Object	Not Stated

Summary of representations	One objection seeks rewording of criteria i) to read 'supporting new and extended tourism, culture and leisure development which is appropriate to its location'.
	NRW consider that with regards to promoting accessibility to Flintshire's landscape we advise recognition that this must be done in tandem with sensitive management (noted in 6.28 but could be in STR10).
Changes sought	

Summary of Council Response	The Council have no objection to the suggested rewording of criterion 1).
	The Plan is meant to be read as a whole as policies interlink, the Council considers that the current wording of Policy STR10 (and associated policies) is sufficient. The proposed additional information is noted in 6.28 and within part (iv) which reads: <i>'Conserving and enhancing</i> <i>Flintshire's natural, built and cultural heritage;'</i>
Minor Change proposed	Suggested rewording of criteria i) to read 'supporting new and extended tourism, culture and leisure development which is appropriate to its location'.

Appendix 21eMain Issues Dev Man Policies – Meeting Housing NeedsStrategic Policies – Meeting Housing Needs

Policy / page / Para / Map		Strategic Policies – Meeting Housing Needs - General					
Relevant Content of Plan			n/a				
Representations Total representation 1 – objection							
Comment Consultee Co ID ID Na			nsultee Full ne	Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing attendance
282	1230721						Not Stated
Summary of Objection submitted under the label of 'Strategic Policie representations – Meeting Housing Needs' which seeks the deletion of the HN1.6 allocation in Mold. Concern expressed about the commentary in Background Paper 8 regarding the assessment of candidate sites in Mold and considers th there are better sites available than the allocated site.				eletion of sed about ding the nsiders that			
Changes sought Deletion of HN1.6							
Response re id			The Council responds in detail to the individual representation but considers that the process of identifying the allocated site in Mold is soundly based and evidence.				
Minor Char	inor Change proposed No						

Policy / page / Para / Map	STR11 Provision of Sustainable Housing Sites			
	P89 Deposit Plan written statement			
Relevant Content of Plan	The policy provides strategic guidance on the provision of			
	new housing in the County and is accompanied by the			
	Housing Balance Sheet which sets out how the Plans			

	housing requirement figure is to be met through housing supply.
Representations	Total 30 representations: 24 objections 5 support 1 not specified

Comment ID	Consultee ID	Consultee Full Name	Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing attendance
25	1229483				Object	Not Stated
40	1229995				Object	No
159	1230731		1148845		Object	Not Stated
249	1230721				Object	Yes
250	1230721				Object	Yes
327	1231134		1231130		Object	Yes
360	1229111		1227685		Object	Yes
362	1231173				Object	Not Stated
405	1144556				Object	Yes
406	1144556				Object	Yes
412	1144556				Support	Not Stated
426	1230991		1230989		Support	Not Stated
584	1230731		1148845		Object	Not Stated
591	1230730		1148845		Object	Not Stated
594	1232541		1232537		Object	Not Stated
629	1233028		1232939		Object	Yes
642	1224983		1224982		Object	Not Stated
670	1233248		1149190		Object	Not Stated
705	1233444		1233212		Support	Not Stated
743	1233633					Not Stated
759	1233900		1233580		Support	Yes
763	1144593				Object	Not Stated
795	1148956		1148947		Object	Not Stated
925	1235188		1234870		Object	Yes
954	1149828		1149800		Object	Not Stated
969	1235343		1149800		Object	Not Stated
979	1235470				Object	Not Stated
987	1149823		1149800		Object	Not Stated
1009	1235341		1149800		Object	Not Stated
1177	1232503		1233580		Support	Not Stated

Summary of representations

Two representations considered the Plan's housing requirement figure was too high based on Welsh Government population and housing projections and the draft NDF and that this has led to the allocation of unnecessary and unsuitable greenfield sites as HN1.6 in Mold. The remainder of the objections all take a different view, seeking a higher overall requirement figure for housing and additional supply even though it is policy STR1 that sets out the plan's housing requirement figure.

	One objector has concerns about the lack of flexibility in the settlement hierarchy and lack of housing allocations in the western part of the County and in Tier 3 and 4 settlements. Seks allocation of land on the edge of Trelawnyd Several objections seek changes to the policy in the event that TAN1 is revoked in full and that greater attention be placed on monitoring arrangements including the identification of contingency sites in the Plan, even though there is no such provision in national guidance. Several objections have concerns about the overreliance on windfall sites and also commitments and that the
	shortfall from these two sources of supply should be added to the Plans overall requirement. One objector considers the commitments figure should be discounted by 50% and that almost every allocation fails to meet the criteria in the policy
	One objector raises concerns about the deliverability of Northern Gateway and that the projected annual completion rates on allocated sites are too high, resulting in sites not being delivered in full. Also raises concerns about the windfall trajectory which should not count until 20/21. The 14% flexibility does not therefore exist in practice, necessitating additional allocations.
	One representation seeks the inclusion of a policy or proposal to meet the needs of an ageing population (and proposes a site on the western edge of Flint).
	Several objections consider that the criteria within the policy are unreasonable as they do not all apply to every housing development.
Changes sought	Seeks revised spatial distribution of growth. Seeks policy
Summary of Council Response	amendments and allocations in particular settlements. PPW10 states that the latest Welsh Government Local Authority level household projections for Wales, alongside the latest Local Housing Market Assessment (LHMA) form a fundamental part of the evidence base for development plans. These two pieces of evidence have formed the starting point for calculating the housing targets within the LDP, further considerations need to be taken into account including the appropriateness of the projections for the individual local authority area and any other relevant evidence.
	Population and Household projections are based upon past trends. Therefore the global economic crisis in 2008 has resulted in lower household projections as new

households have not been able to afford to move out of their family home etc.
In April 2014 Welsh Government wrote to all Local Authorities in Wales advising caution when projecting forward low household projections as this would not deliver the growth needed to meet current and future housing needs. In this letter Welsh Government emphasised the importance of not relying solely on Welsh Government projections, highlighting the need to consider all sources of data. The LDP is focused on promoting and enhancing Flintshire's role as an economic hub and is a driver for growth both locally and regionally. Therefore the strategy of the LDP is focused on supporting economic growth and the need to secure continued economic recovery and resilience.
This ambitious approach to economic growth and the housing needs identified by the evidence base has directly informed the growth option chosen for the LDP. Welsh Government have not raised any objections to the growth level within the plan.
In January 2020 Welsh Government published a series of explanatory notes on the NDF, including a note on housing need. This states that "The national and regional estimates do not reflect the impact of future policies or events and are not a Housing Requirement for Wales or the Regions. However, the estimates do provide part of the evidence and context on which Housing Requirements can be based. While it is expected that there will be a clear alignment between the estimates of housing need and the Housing Requirements set out in LDPs and SDPs, they are not the same and therefore are not expected to match" As stated above the Council need to consider a wider evidence base to determine the housing requirement within the LDP, and it would be contrary to Welsh Government advice to simply revise the LDPs housing requirement in line with the NDF and projections data. That said in their formal comments on the plan Welsh Government state they have no comments regarding the level of homes and jobs proposed and also consider the LDP to be in broad conformity with the NDF.
The Plans settlement hierarchy and spatial distribution of development is based on the sustainability of settlements and broader issues relating to the growth zone in the north eastern part of the County. Allocations are not the only means of delivering growth in settlements as this can be achieved through commitments and small / large

windfall proposals as well as small scale exceptions schemes. The Council does not consider the site on the edge of Trelawnyd is appropriate and has responded separately on this particular objection.
The LDP includes a 14.4% flexibility allowance (1,000 dwellings) in addition to the 6,950 housing requirement, which provides a contingency to enable the plan to respond to unforeseen circumstances or any delay to sites coming forward. Therefore the LDP does not need to identify specific contingency sites, and there is presently no requirement in PPW10 or the Development Plan Manual to do so. It is accepted amendments will be required to the policy and Plan in the light of the revocation of TAN1.
The Council does not accept that too much reliance is placed on the contribution of large and small windfall sites in the LDP housing land supply. Background Paper 10 (section 2.5) explains that an analysis of past trends has been carried out and this is detailed in Section 4.3 of the Flintshire Urban Capacity Study (June 2019) undertaken by Arcadis. This approach accords with the latest National guidance as contained in Development Plans Manual Edition 3: Consultation Draft (June2019). The Draft Manual advises (para. 5.63) that 'an urban capacity study can inform the identification of site allocations and assist to demonstrate delivery of windfall allowance in the Plan'.
Both the Arcadis Study and BP10 explain that large and small windfall site contributions used in the Plan are significantly lower than the level of past completions achieved from these sources. The commitments have been subject to review throughout the Plan process and the Council has not sought to include long standing sites which haven't delivered Each site that objectors question are commented on the detailed responses to objections No evidence has been provided to justify a 50% reduction of the commitments figure. It is also the case that the representative body of the development industry, the HBF, agree that the allowances, as well as commitments, are appropriate.
Work has now commenced on the Northern Gateway site which comprises a number of phases and developers, including a social housing provider. The delivery

assumptions used take account of information provided by landowners and developers.
On the issue of windfall allowances, it is considered fully justified to incorporate the small sites allowance, (which averages 60 units per annum) in the housing supply trajectory from 2018/19, as these are units which are not included elsewhere in the supply. It can be seen from the trajectory that the allowance of 60 pa is significantly lower than recent completion rates on small sites, of 87, 102, and 104 units per annum for 2015/16, 2016/17 and 2017/18 respectively.
With regard to the large sites windfall allowance, this is not applied from 2018/19 in the trajectory. As referenced in para. 2.5.6 of LDP10 it is acknowledged that 'in practice it is likely that the contribution from large windfall sites will be less in the very early years as they will be sites which did not have planning permission at 1.4.18". For this reason the trajectory does not include any large windfall units in 2018/19 and reduces the contribution in years 2019/20 1nd 20/21 to 20 and 40 units respectively. It should also be noted that sites granted planning permission subject to the signing of a section 106 agreement are not included in the main supply and would therefore be likely to contribute to the windfall supply in these early years (as explained in para 2.4.2 of LDP 10.
All allocations have been assessed in terms of deliverability within the plan period. The allocations at Warren Hall, Ewloe, Hawarden and Mold are in strong market areas, with house builders already in place to develop the Hawarden and Mold sites, and discussions taking place between the Ewloe site promoter and another house builder. The Warren Hall site forms a key part of the regional growth bid and has attracted interest from a number of developers. The Council are satisfied that there is significant developer interest in all of the allocations, and that 45dpa is fully achievable on all of the mentioned sites. Additional flexibility within the rural areas is therefore not needed.
The LDP includes a 14.4% flexibility allowance (1,000 dwellings) in addition to the 6,950 housing requirement, which provides a contingency to enable the plan to respond to unforeseen circumstances or any delay to sites coming forward. Therefore the LDP does not need to identify specific contingency sites. A flexibility allowance of at least 10% is supported by Welsh Government within the LDP Manual (Edition3), therefore the Council are satisfied that the plan contains adequate

Summary of	f	By proposi	ng an in	crease in pitc	hes for travell	ers the		
27	1229483				Object	Not Stated		
Comment ID	Consultee ID	Consultee Full Name	Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing attendance		
Representa	tions	i otal 1 rep	resentat	ion – objectio	on			
Relevant Co		meeting the	The policy sets out the Plans strategic position in terms of meeting the needs of Gypsy and Travellers in the County. Total 1 representation – objection					
Policy / page		P95 Depos	STR12 Provision for Gypsies and Travellers P95 Deposit Plan written statement					
		-						
Minor Chan	ge proposec	principles o	essential that this sentence remains to ensure applications meet these key sustainability criteria, which will result in the efficient development of land for housing purposes. This strategic policy seeks to set the scene in terms of some key planning principles in respect of ensuring sustainable housing sites. In the Council's detailed response on this objection each criteria is commented on as to its appropriateness. It is unclear why developers would not embrace such well established principles of sustainable development. No					
		detailed res						
		purposes. terms of so						
		application						
		delivery of rewording v	The aim of policy STR11 is to ensure the sustainable delivery of housing sites across Flintshire. The suggested rewording would dilute the impact of this policy and limit the sustainability of new residential schemes. It is					
		response o	n this o	bjection.				
		considered Council do of Flint is a	against es not c ppropria	t the Plans fra onsider the si ate as detailed	Is are capable amework of po te promoted of in the Counc	olicies. The on the edge		
					ecognises the			
		Even if the which it do look for mo	supply. Even if the Council were to accept the objector's stance, which it does not, it would not be logical or sustainable to look for more housing in predominantly rural lower tier settlements, in preference to higher tier service centres.					
		-	ontingen	cy to ensure	a sufficient ho	ousing		
	communities have access to a mix of services and facilities, such as education and health, to allow community life to flourish, and meet the needs of particular groups such as the elderly'. Fail to consider the impact on the local community such as. Ewole Green school.							
--	--							
Changes sought Summary of Council Response	Not specified Welsh Government has placed a statutory duty through the Housing (Wales) Act 2014 on local authorities to make provision for sites for Gypsies and Travellers where a needs assessment identifies need. Further guidance is set out in PPW10. In preparing a development plan it is necessary to consider the housing needs of all members of society and this includes the needs of Gypsies and Travellers.							
Minor Change proposed	No							

Appendix 21f Main Issues Dev Man Policies - Valuing the Environment Deposit Consultation Publications

Strategic Policies – Valuing the Environment

Policy / page / Para / Map			Strategic Policies – Valuing the Environment - General							
Relevant Content of Plan			n/a							
Representations			Total 2 representations: 1 objection 1 support							
Comment ID	ent Consultee Co ID Na		nsultee Full ne	Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing attendance			
624	1230050						Not Stated			
912	1148344						Not Stated			
-	-									
Summary c			Seeks relocation of policy STR16 to section 6 supporting							
representat			a prosperous economy							
Changes so			Seeks relocation of policy							
Summary c	of Council		The 16 strategic policies need to be read together and in							
Response			conjunction with the detailed development management							
				policies. The economic role of the minerals industry is						
				recognised by the Plan but it does not occur in						
						ally located in				
			countryside	e where	minerals exis	st and often th	ese are in			
			sensitive a	reas in	terms of lands	scape and ecc	logy. The			

	policy is properly included within the 'environment' section.
Minor Change proposed	No
Policy / page / Para / Map	STR13 Natural and Built Environment, Green Networks and Infrastructure P101 Deposit Plan written statement
Relevant Content of Plan	The policy sets out strategic principles in respect of the natural and built environment and green infrastructure.
Representations	Total 16 representations: 11 objections 5 support

Comment ID	Consultee ID	Consultee Full Name	Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing attendance
13	1228815				Support	Not Stated
66	1230290				Support	No
213	1230905				Support	Not Stated
408	1144556				Object	Yes
418	1231156				Support	Not Stated
682	1233248		1149190		Object	Not Stated
760	1233946		1232502		Support	Not Stated
773	1144593				Object	Not Stated
835	1234501		1234487		Object	Not Stated
940	1235344		1149800		Object	Not Stated
955	1149828		1149800		Object	Not Stated
970	1235343		1149800		Object	Not Stated
988	1149823		1149800		Object	Not Stated
1010	1235341		1149800		Object	Not Stated
1048	1229839				Object	Not Stated
1066	1229839				Object	Not Stated

Summary of representations	One objection considers the policy wording to be unreasonable as not all developments can be expected to meet every criteria. Another objection considers the policy is more onerous than PPW and not consistent and is not reasonable.
	Several objections from one agent seek reference within the policy to the protection of Best and Most Versatile agricultural land.
	NRW seek a minor policy wording change to include reference to geodiversity.
	One objector seeks a green barrier along the western edge of Higher Kinnerton, whilst another seeks the

			removal of land at Llys Ben, Northop Hall from the green barrier (to facilitate housing development).							
Changes s	ought		Seeks amendments to policy							
Summary of Council Response		Seeks amendments to policy This is a strategic policy that sets out high level principles which seek to protect and enhancing the County's environmental and historic assets. An aspiration that is set out in national planning policy and one which the Council fully advocates and supports as is reflected in the policy wording of the accompanying detailed policies such as EN1. Not all development will affect all the criteria listed on this policy but it is important to highlight all the issues to ensure that all developments have considered them.								
			Welsh Government advice is very clear on this issue and states that PPW policies should not be repeated in LDP's. LDP Manual Edition 3 states in paragraph 3.11 " A LDP should be focussed, succinct and relevant to the key issues it is seeking to address. It should not repeat national policy." The two objections which reference site specific green barrier changes are responded to in the Councils							
			separate responses.							
Min or Oh or										
winor Char	nge propose	a	No							
Policy / pag	ge / Para / N	lap	STR14 Climate Change and Environmental Protection P105 Deposit Plan written statement							
Relevant C	ontent of PI	an	The policy sets out broad principles in respect of climate							
			change and environmental protection.							
Representa	Representations		Total 6 representations: 3 objections 3 support							
Comment ID	Consultee ID	Cor Nar	nsultee Full ne	Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing attendance			
419	1231156					Support	Not Stated			
644	1224983			1224982		Object	Not Stated			
680	1146891					Support	Not Stated			
690	1233350			1233325		Object	Not Stated			
856	1150807					Object	Not Stated			
1067	1229839					Support	Not Stated			
			• • •							
Summary of			One objection required the Council to consider whether							
representations		the policy is necessary and justified. Consideration should								

Comment ID	Consultee ID		nsultee I Name	Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing attendance		
Representa	tions				on – objectior				
Relevant Content of Plan			The policy sets out how the Plan will work towards the sustainable management of waste in the County.						
Policy / pag		•	STR15 Waste Management P109 Deposit Plan written statement						
		_							
Minor Chan	ge propose	ed	storage infrastructure. No						
			an additio	n policy se	tting out allo	essary therefo cations for er			
			has identified the Indicative Local Search Areas in order to focus this type of development in the most appropriate						
			energy carbon subject to certain criteria. The Council have carried out a Renewable Energy Assessment which						
			Policy EN13 Renewable Energy and Low Carbon Energy Development permits proposals for renewable and low						
				ess energy		nung Kegula			
			this strate	gic policy r	needs to be r	fficiency and ead alongsid ilding Regula	e policy		
			that it can	work in co	njunction wit	designed in h any future	revised		
			permissio	n but will n	ot be delivere	ne has plann ed in its prese erefore not re	ent form		
					hree criteria.	no hao alaan	ing		
Response			terms of for developm	ocus in PP ent. The C	W on climate ouncil's sepa	change and rate respons	sustainable		
Changes so Summary o					licy wording ered to be rea	asonable app	propriate in		
			for the tra such as th	nsition to a nose desig	low carbon hated under l	Wales, on su Policy ENxx.'	itable sites,		
						dditional word	-		
			is firm end		opment. Doe	s not conside	er the policy		
) and that t ergy efficier	he policy was	eme (except s not strong e wable energy	enough in generation		
						of reference	in the Plan		
			•	o amendir ance to de	•	v) and vii) to	provide		

836	1234510			1234487		Object	Not Stated		
Summary of representations			Objection seeks reference to sensitive receptors such as other users of land and holiday accommodation in policy wording. The objection also seeks a similar change to policy STR16 Minerals						
Changes so	ought								
Summary of Council Response Minor Change proposed			The Council considers that the two strategic policies, when read in conjunction with linked minerals and waste development plan policies, and detailed policies such as PC2, EN18 and EN27 provide sufficient safeguards in respect of amenity.						
Minor Char	ige propose	d	No						
Policy / pag	je / Para / N	lap			Planning for I				
Relevant C	ontent of Pla	an	The policy	provide	written stater s strategic adv	/ice on how n	ninerals will		
Representations			be sustainably managed in the County. Total 4 representations: 2 objections 1 support 1 not specified						
Comment ID	Consultee ID	Cor Nar	nsultee Full ne	Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing attendance		
181	1230851					Support	Not Stated		
299	1231033					Object	Not Stated		
908	1148344						Not Stated		
1029	1235357					Object	Not Stated		
Summary c representat			Objection expressing concern about the size of the extension to the Ddol Uchaf Quarry EN25.3 in terms of the environment, impact on highways and residential amenity.						
			with Wrexh split and al meant by 'a	nam CB so seek appropr	clarification or C' and how ha s clarification iate restoration community be	s the proport on criteria v) n' and 'specif	ion been as to what is		
			Objection to i) the inclusion of the policy within the environment section of the Plan rather than the economy section ii) the Plan should specify which minerals resources are intended to be safeguarded iii) the figures in criteria iii) are not a true reflection of the RTS First						

	Review which uses the terminology 'at least' iv) the policy
Changes sought	is too restrictive in specifying extensions to sites only. Seeking amendments to positioning of policy within
0 (0 "	written statement
Summary of Council Response	The Ddol Uchaf extension would be assessed against the criteria in policy EN26. It is considered logical to seek to extend existing this existing quarry site rather than identify new sites. The allocation is considered appropriate and the detailed points of objection are responded to separately in the Councils detailed response to this objection.
	The explanatory text and the Minerals Background Paper refer to collaborative working and provide further explanation with regards to the nature of the collaboration with WCBC. The figures contained in STR16 were published prior to the consultation draft RTS second review. In reality more sand and gravel, and crushed rock is required to be identified through the LDP; at least 3.543 million tonnes and 35.9 million tonnes respectively. Flintshire will work in collaboration with Wrexham County Borough Council, and Denbighshire County Council to provide for the minerals needs of the region for both the provision of sand and gravel and crushed rock. Further commentary is provided in the Council's detailed response to this objection. The Council would no objection to change a rewording of point iii to reflect the new figures in the RTS second review, subject to the agreement of the Inspector.
	Each quarry site will have specific restoration requirements which will be derived from the type of mineral that has been excavated, and the way in which mineral has been excavated. Therefore it would be difficult to present a prescriptive policy to define what is meant by appropriate restoration, and environmental and community benefits as this will vary from site to site.
	The detailed objections to STR16 are responded to in detail in the Council's response to this policy. The Council suggests a rewording of criteria iii) [as referenced above] as well as a rewording of criteria ii), the explanation in para 8.27 and additional wording in the 'Key Evidence' section of the policy, subject to the agreement of the Inspector.
Minor Change proposed	No

Appendix 21c Main Issues – Development Management Policies – Creating Sustainable Places and Communities

Policy / page / Para / Map		Bo	PC1 The Relationship of Development to Settlement Boundaries P114 Deposit Plan written statement							
Relevant Content of Plan Representations			Th bo ter se	The policy explains the significance of settlement boundaries which are defined for Tier 1-4 settlements in terms of development proposals within and outside settlement boundaries. The settlement boundaries are delineated on the proposals maps. Total 28 representations: 22 objections 5 support 1 not specified						
			22 5 s							
Comment ID				Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing Attendance?			
15	1149448			1149435		Object	Not Stated			
43	1230000					Object	Not Stated			
154	1230363					Object	Not Stated			
194	1226342			1226341		Object	Not Stated			
276	1230123						Not Stated			
312	1230721					Object	Not Stated			
258	1230721					Object	Not Stated			
256	1230721					Object	Not Stated			
262	1230721					Object	Not Stated			
316	1231080					Support	Not Stated			
319	1231067					Support	Not Stated			
356	1231145					Support	Not Stated			
774	1144593					Object	Not Stated			
989	1149823			1149800		Object	Not Stated			
956	1149828			1149800		Object	Not Stated			
587	1230730			1148845		Object	Not Stated			
820	1230801					Object	Not Stated			
619	1232940			1232939	1	Object	Not Stated			
769	1234023			1233580	1	Object	Not Stated			
971	1235343			1149800	1	Object	Not Stated			
1206	1227700			1227685	1	Object	Not Stated			
1011	1235341			1149800		Object	Not Stated			
832	1234498			1234487	1	Support	Not Stated			
927	1235195			1234870		Support	Not Stated			
972	1235343			1149800		Object	Not Stated			
941	1235344			1149800		Object	Not Stated			
1182	1232503			1233580		Object	Not Stated			
1101	1236197					Object	Not Stated			

					siders that the arren Hall Str		does not meet		
			•	mply with t					
					sed for the po in sites from s				
Changes sou	ught				her the amen				
	<u> </u>		bounda				<u> </u>		
Summary of Response	Council				iders that the e of settlemer		early worded		
Response					opment prop				
			The Co	ouncil cons	iders that the	delineatior	n of settlement		
					ust and has ro objection elso	•	n detail on		
			The Co	uncil does	not consider	that the lac	ck of a		
			The Council does not consider that the lack of a settlement boundary for the two strategic sites						
			diminishes their sustainability.						
Minor Chang	je propose	d	No						
Policy / page	e / Para / M	lap	PC2 General Requirements for Development						
Rolovant Ca	ntant of Di	20	P115 Deposit Plan written statement						
Relevant Co		an	The policy seeks to provide a set of general planning criteria which is applicable to most development						
	Representations			proposals. The policy allows the removal of repetitive					
				criteria from other policies throughout the Plan.					
Representati				1 represen	tations:				
			8 objections 3 support						
			3 Supp	JIL					
Comment C	Consultee D	Cons Full N		Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support	Hearing Attendance		

Comment ID	Consultee ID	Consultee Full Name	Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing Attendance
182	1230851				Support	Not Stated
779	1144593				Object	Not Stated
681	1146891				Support	Not Stated
645	1224983		1224982		Object	Not Stated
990	1149823		1149800		Object	Not Stated
957	1149828		1149800		Object	Not Stated
771	1234029		1233580		Support	Not Stated

837	1234511			1234487		Object	Not Stated	
942	1235344			1149800		Object	Not Stated	
1068	1229839					Object	Not Stated	
1012	1235341		-	1149800		Object	Not Stated	
Summary of	of		One	objection co	onsiders the	policy to be va	ague and	
representations			NRW objec policy One o	seek refer tor seeks a in protecti	ence to gree mendment t ng amenity ses concern	to widen the se of holiday acco is that the imp	re and another cope of the ommodation.	
				ner objecto) strategic ng allocatio his policy.	r considers i sites (especi	t is difficult to s ially Warren H manage to me	r CIL charges. see how the all) and (draft) et and comply	
Changes s	ought			ctions seek			endment of the	
Summary of	of Council		The C	Council con	siders the p	olicy to be rob	ust and clearly	
Response	Response c		define devel criteri The a alloca	ed in seeking opment in a repeated acceptability ations in the opriately de	ng to set out a single polic in other pol y of the strat e context of t alt with in th	general requi cy, rather than icies througho egic sites and this policy are e consideratio	rements for having out the Plan. housing more	
			representations on the site allocations.					
Minor Char	nge propose	d	No					
Policy / pag	ge / Para / N	lap	PC3 Design P116 Deposit Plan written statement					
	Content of Pl	an	The policy sets out a number of criteria which seek to ensure that new3 development is of an appropriate design.					
				8 represer ections port	itations:			
Comment ID	Consultee ID		sultee Name	Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing Attendance	
214	1230905					Support	Not Stated	
889	1148889			1234839		Object	Yes	
646	1224983			1224982		Object	Not Stated	
833	1234499			1234487		Support	Not Stated	
717	1233454			1232502		Support	Not Stated	
1070	1229839					Object	Not Stated	
1070	1223033					Object	NUL SIALEU	

772	1234031			1233580		Support	Not Stated		
1174	1232503			1233580		Support	Not Stated		
11/4	1232505			1233300		Support	Not Stated		
Summary	of		One o	bjection con	sidered the	policy crite	eria are too		
representations			general and implementable. Another objection seeks additional explanatory guidance in respect of new development within employment areas. NRW seeks reference to 'colour' in the policy wording, queries						
			refere	nce to lands	caping and G on Desig	also seek			
Changes s	ought		compr	ehensive re	variety of c consideration ments to the	on of the po			
Summary of Response	of Council		The C	ouncil consi	ders the pol	icy to be a	ppropriate in development		
	nge propose	d	No	· · · · ·		•	•		
Policy / pag	ge / Para / N	lap	Devel	PC4 Sustainability and Resilience of New Development P117 Deposit Plan written statement					
Relevant C	Content of Pla	an	The policy sets out a number of criteria, which will work towards achieving sustainable development that is resilient to climate change.						
Representa	ations		Total 6 3 obje 3 supp		ations:				
Comment ID	Consultee ID		sultee Name	Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing Attendance		
662	1146891					Support	Not Stated		
928	1235196			1234870		Support	Not Stated		
1157	1234431					Object	Not Stated		
778	1234082			1233580		Support	Not Stated		
1071	1229839					Object	Not Stated		
1142	1234608					Object	Yes		
Summary of representations			NRW seek reference in the policy explanation to 'Sustainable Management of Natural Resources (SMNR)'. Several objections seek assurances that the policy will be enforced. The remaining objection is to the requirement in criteria e) to renewable energy.						
Changes s	ouabt				mendments				
Summary of							ppropriate in		
•					•	•			
Response			seeking to ensure sustainable and resilient development. However, if the Inspector considers that reference to SMNR would improve the understanding						

						•	cy then the	Council would
Minor	⁻ Chai	nge propose	d	No	bjection to	unis.		
Policy	y / pa	ge / Para / N	lap		sport and		-	
Relevant Content of Plan				oosit Plan v y sets out a			ach to	
			scrutinisir		elopment	proposals i	n respect of	
Repre	Representations			Total 7 re	presentatio			
				4 objectio				
Com	mont	Consultee	Cons	3 support	Agent ID	Agent	Do you	Hearing
ID	inent	ID	Nam		Agent ib	Full Name	support or object to this policy?	Attendance
414		1144556					Object	Yes
784		1144593					Object	Not Stated
720		1233454			1232502		Support	Not Stated
691		1233357					Object	Yes
1072		1229839					Object	Not Stated
1175	5	1232503			1233580		Support	Not Stated
780 Sumn		1234087			1233580 ction relate		Support	Not Stated
	representations			development which would be detrimental to railway transport. NRW request that the policy makes reference to green infrastructure. An objection seeks to promote a walkable wildlife corridor along a disused railway line in Higher Kinnerton and the need for s106 agreements to achieve this. The remaining objection is to the requirement for 10% of parking spaces to have electric charging points.				
Chan	ges s	ought		Objections seek changes including the safeguarding of land for (unspecified) transport projects, reference to green infrastructure, and the deletion of criteria d.				
Summary of Council Response			The Cour clearly we necessary reference to be inclu- along a d pursued of inclusion parking s	ncil conside orded. Thos y for land to d in policy d elsewher uded in this isused raily outside of t	ers that the se transport p be safego PC10. Gre re in the PI s policy. The way line at he develop a which req ave electric	policy is a rt schemes uarded hav en Infrastr an and it is ne provisior Higher Kin pment plan juires a mo	ppropriate and where it is ve been ucture is not necessary of a walkway nerton can be process. The odest level of	
Minor	^r Chai	nge propose	d	No				
					136			

Policy / page / Para / Map	PC6 Active Travel
	P120 Deposit Plan written statement
Relevant Content of Plan	The policy sets out a criteria based approach to ensuring that new development has regard to Active Travel.
Representations	Total of 8 representations – all objections

Comment ID	Consultee ID	Consultee Full Name	Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing Attendance
775	1144593				Object	Not Stated
958	1149828		1149800		Object	Not Stated
595	1232541		1232537		Object	Not Stated
694	1233357				Object	Yes
973	1235343		1149800		Object	Not Stated
1021	1235357				Object	Not Stated
838	1234512		1234487		Object	Not Stated
943	1235344		1149800		Object	Not Stated

Summary of representations	One objection seeks an amendment to the policy wording whilst another considers that it is unreasonable in that it sets an unreasonably high bar to development proposals. Several objections from one agent considers that Warren Hall cannot satisfy this policy and another objection seeks assurance that Warren Hall will include a network of walking and cycling routes. NRW seek reference to green infrastructure. The remaining objection is related to the lack of reference in the policy to the actual safeguarding of sites and preventing development which would be detrimental to railway transport.
Changes sought	Objections seek amendments to the policy wording and explanation and the safeguarding of land for (unspecified) transport projects.
Summary of Council Response	The Council considers that the policy soundly based having regard to the importance attached by Welsh Government to Active Travel. However, it is accepted that not all of the criteria will be applicable criteria. If the Inspector considers that the policy would be improved by adding after 'should' the words 'wherever possible' then the Council would have no objection to this. The Warren Hall development can link in with Active Travel proposals and will incorporate a network of walking and cycling routes. Green Infrastructure is referenced elsewhere in the Plan and it is not necessary to be included in this policy. Those transport schemes where it is necessary for land to be safeguarded have been referenced in policy PC10.
Minor Change proposed	No

Policy / pag	ge / Para / N	lap		PC7 Passenger Transport P121 Deposit Plan written statement					
Relevant Content of Plan			The criteria based policy seeks to ensure, wherever possible, that new development facilitates the use of public transport.						
Representations			Total 2 1 objec 1 suppo	tion	entations	5:			
Comment ID	Consultee ID		Consultee Full Name		Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing Attendance	
209	1230905						Support	Not Stated	
695	1233357						Object	Yes	
Policy / pag	tions ought of Council nge propose ge / Para / M	lap	policy t develop transpo Safegu Those t to be sa The Co require means. No PC8 Ai P122 D The po prejudio Airport.	o the ac oment v ort arding o transpo afeguar ouncil is d in the peposit licy see ce the s	ctual saf which wo of land for rt schem ded hav unclear policy n safeguar Plan write ks to pre	eguardi puld be o or (unsp nes whe e been exactly or what or what etten stat event de efficien	betrimental to becified) trans re it is neces referenced ir what form o trailway enfo be cone evelopment toperation o	nd preventing o railway sport projects. ssary for land n policy PC10. f protection is orcement'	
			TOtal T						
Comment ID	Consultee ID		sultee Name	Agent ID	Agen Name		Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing Attendance	
348	1229035						Object	Yes	
Summary of			One objection has been made to the explanatory						
representa	tions		wording to this policy to ensure it more accurately reflects guidance, legislation and consultation procedures.						
Changes sought			Seeks amendment to explanation to policy						

Response explanation to the policy could be improved, subject to the agreement of the Inspector. Minor Change proposed No Policy / page / Para / Map PC9 Protection of Disused Railway Lines P122 Deposit Plan written statement P122 Deposit Plan written statement Relevant Content of Plan The policy seeks to prevent development which would prejudice re-use of former railway lines for walking, cycling, horse riding or other transport, provided that there was a reasonable prospect of this being achieved. Representations Total 3 representations – all objections Comment Consultee Agent Policy / Doyou Hearing 59 1230290 Doyou Hearing Attendance 59 1233357 Object Not Stated Summary of representations One objection seeks reference in the policy or explanatory wording to the historic environment implications of re-using former railway lines. Another objection references again the re-use of the former railway line at Higher Kinnerton. The remaining objection is related to the lack of reference in the policy or explanation. Summary of Council Safeguarding of sites and preventing development which would be detrimental to railway to noclude reference to the hostoric environment implications of re-using former railway lines. Another objection is related to the lack of reference in the policy or explanation. Summary of Council The Counci	Summary of	of Council		The Council accepts that the wording of part of the						
Minor Change proposed No Policy / page / Para / Map PC9 Protection of Disused Railway Lines P122 Deposit Plan written statement Relevant Content of Plan The policy seeks to prevent development which would prejudice re-use of former railway lines for walking, cycling, horse riding or other transport, provided that there was a reasonable prospect of this being achieved. Representations Total 3 representations – all objections Comment ID D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D	Response							d, subject to		
Policy / page / Para / Map PC9 Protection of Disused Railway Lines Policy / page / Para / Map P122 Deposit Plan written statement Relevant Content of Plan The policy seeks to prevent development which would prejudice re-use of former railway lines for walking, cycling, horse riding or other transport, provided that there was a reasonable prospect of this being achieved. Representations Total 3 representations – all objections Comment Consultee Agent Do you Hearing 10 1230290 Object Not Stated 59 1230290 Object Not Stated 696 1233357 Object Not Stated Summary of representations One objection seeks reference in the policy or explanatory wording to the historic environment implications of re-using former railway lines. Another objection references again the re-use of the former railway lines and preventing development which would be detrimental to railway transport. Changes sought Safeguarding of land for (unspecified) transport projects and amendments to wording of policy or explanation. Summary of Council The Council considers that the Plan should be read as a whole and it is not necessary to include reference to the historic environment implication of a walkway along a disused railway line at Higher Kinnerton can be pursued outside of the development plan process. Those transport schemes where it is necessary for land to be safeguarded have been referen	Minor Char	nge propose	d							
P122 Deposit Plan written statement Relevant Content of Plan The policy seeks to prevent development which would prejudice re-use of former railway lines for walking, cycling, horse riding or other transport, provided that there was a reasonable prospect of this being achieved. Representations Total 3 representations – all objections Comment Consultee Agent Do you Hearing 10 Consultee Agent Do you Hearing 59 1230290 Object Not Stated 696 1233357 Object Not Stated 696 1233357 One objection seeks reference in the policy or explanatory wording to the historic environment implications of re-using former railway lines. Another objection references again the re-use of the former railway line at Higher Kinnerton. The remaining objection is related to the lack of reference in the policy to the actual safeguarding of sites and preventing development which would be detrimental to railway transport. Changes sought Safeguarding of land for (unspecified) transport projects and amendments to wording of policy or explanation. Summary of Council The Council considers that the Plan should be read as a whole and it is not necessary to include reference to the historic environment, when this is covered in other policies. The provision of a walkway along a disused railway line at Higher Kinnerton can be pursued outside of the development plan process. Those transport schemes where it is necessary for land		<u></u>	-							
prejudice re-use of former railway lines for walking, cycling, horse riding or other transport, provided that there was a reasonable prospect of this being achieved. Representations Total 3 representations – all objections Comment ID Consultee Full Name Agent ID Do you Full Name Hearing Support or object to this Policy? 59 1230290 Object Not Stated 782 1144593 Object Not Stated 690 1233357 One objection seeks reference in the policy or explanatory wording to the historic environment implications of re-using former railway lines. Another objection references again the re-use of the former railway line at Higher Kinnerton. The remaining objection is related to the lack of reference in the policy to the actual safeguarding of sites and preventing development which would be detrimental to railway transport. Changes sought Safeguarding of land for (unspecified) transport projects and amendments to wording of policy or explanation. Summary of Council Response The Council considers that the Plan should be read as a whole and it is not necessary to include reference to the historic environment, when this is covered in other policies. The provision of a walkway along a disused railway line at Higher Kinnerton can be pursued outside of the development plan process. Those transport schemes where it is necessary for land to be safeguarded have been referenced in policy PC10. Minor Change proposed No Policy / page /	Policy / page / Para / Map				-					
Comment ID Consultee Full Name Agent ID Agent Full Name Do you support or object to this policy? Hearing Attendance 59 1230290 0 0 Object Not Stated 782 1144593 0 Object Not Stated 696 1233357 0 0 Object Yes Summary of representations One objection seeks reference in the policy or explanatory wording to the historic environment implications of re-using former railway lines. Another objection references again the re-use of the former railway line at Higher Kinnerton. The remaining objection is related to the lack of reference in the policy to the actual safeguarding of sites and preventing development which would be detrimental to railway transport. Changes sought Safeguarding of land for (unspecified) transport projects and amendments to wording of policy or explanation. Summary of Council Response The Council considers that the Plan should be read as a whole and it is not necessary to include reference to the historic environment, when this is covered in other policies. The provision of a walkway along a disused railway line at Higher Kinnerton can be pursued outside of the development plan process. Those transport schemes where it is necessary for land to be safeguarded have been referenced in policy PC10. Minor Change proposed No Policy / page / Para / Map PC10 New Transport Schemes – 1) A494(T)				prejudice re-use of former railway lines for walking, cycling, horse riding or other transport, provided that						
ID Full Name ID Full Name Support or object to this policy? Attendance 59 1230290 Object Not Stated 782 1144593 Object Not Stated 696 1233357 One objection seeks reference in the policy or explanatory wording to the historic environment implications of re-using former railway lines. Another objection references again the re-use of the former railway line at Higher Kinnerton. The remaining objection is related to the lack of reference in the policy to the actual safeguarding of sites and preventing development which would be detrimental to railway transport. Changes sought Safeguarding of land for (unspecified) transport projects and amendments to wording of policy or explanation. Summary of Council The Council considers that the Plan should be reference to the historic environment, when this is covered in other policies. The provision of a walkway along a disused railway line at Higher Kinnerton can be pursued outside of the development plan process. Those transport schemes where it is necessary for land to be safeguarded have been referenced in policy PC10. Minor Change proposed No Policy / page / Para / Map PC10 New Transport Schemes – 1) A494(T)	Representa	ations		Total 3	represent	tations – all	objections			
59 1230290 Not Stated 782 1144593 Object Not Stated 696 1233357 Object Yes Summary of representations Summary of representations One objection seeks reference in the policy or explanatory wording to the historic environment implications of re-using former railway lines. Another objection references again the re-use of the former railway line at Higher Kinnerton. The remaining objection is related to the lack of reference in the policy to the actual safeguarding of sites and preventing development which would be detrimental to railway transport. Changes sought Safeguarding of land for (unspecified) transport projects and amendments to wording of policy or explanation. Summary of Council Response The Council considers that the Plan should be read as a whole and it is not necessary to include reference to the historic environment, when this is covered in other policies. The provision of a walkway along a disused railway line at Higher Kinnerton can be pursued outside of the development plan process. Those transport schemes where it is necessary for land to be safeguarded have been referenced in policy PC10. Minor Change proposed No Policy / page / Para / Map PC10 New Transport Schemes – 1) A494(T)							support or object to this			
696 1233357 One objection seeks reference in the policy or explanatory wording to the historic environment implications of re-using former railway lines. Another objection references again the re-use of the former railway line at Higher Kinnerton. The remaining objection is related to the lack of reference in the policy to the actual safeguarding of sites and preventing development which would be detrimental to railway transport. Changes sought Safeguarding of land for (unspecified) transport projects and amendments to wording of policy or explanation. Summary of Council The Council considers that the Plan should be read as a whole and it is not necessary to include reference to the historic environment, when this is covered in other policies. The provision of a walkway along a disused railway line at Higher Kinnerton can be pursued outside of the development plan process. Those transport schemes where it is necessary for land to be safeguarded have been referenced in policy PC10. Minor Change proposed No Policy / page / Para / Map PC10 New Transport Schemes – 1) A494(T)		1230290								
Summary of representations One objection seeks reference in the policy or explanatory wording to the historic environment implications of re-using former railway lines. Another objection references again the re-use of the former railway line at Higher Kinnerton. The remaining objection is related to the lack of reference in the policy to the actual safeguarding of sites and preventing development which would be detrimental to railway transport. Changes sought Safeguarding of land for (unspecified) transport projects and amendments to wording of policy or explanation. Summary of Council Response The Council considers that the Plan should be read as a whole and it is not necessary to include reference to the historic environment, when this is covered in other policies. The provision of a walkway along a disused railway line at Higher Kinnerton can be pursued outside of the development plan process. Those transport schemes where it is necessary for land to be safeguarded have been referenced in policy PC10. Minor Change proposed No Policy / page / Para / Map PC10 New Transport Schemes – 1) A494(T)										
representationsexplanatory wording to the historic environment implications of re-using former railway lines. Another objection references again the re-use of the former railway line at Higher Kinnerton. The remaining objection is related to the lack of reference in the policy to the actual safeguarding of sites and preventing development which would be detrimental to railway transport.Changes soughtSafeguarding of land for (unspecified) transport projects and amendments to wording of policy or explanation.Summary of Council ResponseThe Council considers that the Plan should be read as a whole and it is not necessary to include reference to the historic environment, when this is covered in other policies. The provision of a walkway along a disused railway line at Higher Kinnerton can be pursued outside of the development plan process. Those transport schemes where it is necessary for land to be safeguarded have been referenced in policy PC10.Minor Change proposedNoPolicy / page / Para / MapPC10 New Transport Schemes – 1) A494(T)	696	1233357					Object	Yes		
Policy / page / Para / Map PC10 New Transport Schemes – 1) A494(T)	Changes sought Summary of Council		objectic railway is relate actual s which w Safegua and am The Co whole a historic policies railway of the d scheme	in referen line at Hig d to the l afeguard <u>vould be c</u> arding of endments uncil cons and it is no environm . The pro line at Hig evelopme s where i	ces again the gher Kinner ack of refer- ing of sites detrimental the land for (un s to wording siders that the processar of the the the vision of a we gher Kinner of the the the state the the site the the the the the the the site the the the the the the the the site the the the the the the the the the site the the the the the the the the the t	he re-use of the ton. The remain ence in the poli- and preventing to railway trans- specified) trans- g of policy or ex- he Plan should y to include refi- his is covered his is covered valkway along ton can be pur- cess. Those tra- ary for land to b	e former ning objection icy to the development sport. sport projects cplanation. I be read as a erence to the in other a disused sued outside ansport be			
	Minor Char	nge propose	a	NO						
/A55(T)/A548 Northop to Shotwick Interchange Improvement P123 Deposit Plan written statement Proposals Map 02 (Front)	Policy / page / Para / Map		/A55(T)/A548 Northop to Shotwick Interchange Improvement P123 Deposit Plan written statement							
Relevant Content of Plan The policy safeguards the route of this new road scheme as instructed by Welsh Government.	Relevant C	content of Pla	an	The pol	icy safeg	uards the ro				
Representations Total 1 representation – support	Representa	ations								

Commont	Consultee	Conc	sultee			Dovou	Hooring
Comment ID	ID		Name	Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	
554	1149198			1148968		Support	Not Stated
	tions		use dev Route. n/a The Cou set out i represe No PC10 N Road P123 D	elopment a uncils com in response ntor. ew Transp eposit Plar	presentation at Kelsterton mentary on t to other obj port Scheme written state (Front) / Pro	on the back he proposed ections by th es – 2) Ploug ement	of the Red allocation is his
Relevant Content of Plan Representations			Aston a The policity of the scheme	nd Shottor icy safegua		e of this Cou	
Comment	Consultee	Cons	ultee Agent Agent Full Do you Hearing				
ID	ID	Full I	Name	•	Năme	support or object to this policy?	Attendance
133	1228141					Object	Not Stated
170	1230826					Object	Not Stated
981	1235470					Object	Not Stated
•			scheme previous	on resider s lack of de s active tra	oncerns abounts and the e elivery of the avel type sch	nvironment a scheme. On	and the e objection
representa	tions		scheme previous suggest scheme	on resider s lack of de s active tra	nts and the e elivery of the	nvironment a scheme. On eme rather t	and the e objection han a road
Summary or representa	tions		scheme previous suggest scheme Objectio The Con safegua transpo as a roa Governi section	on resider s lack of de s active tra ons seek de uncil consider and scheme. ad scheme ment propo of the A49 cognised as	nts and the e elivery of the avel type sch	nvironment a scheme. On eme rather th endment of scheme is ri a formal Co rded in the fi e linkages w Red Route a n Ewloe and	and the e objection han a road the scheme. ghtly uncil rst instance ith Welsh nd the R. Dee but is

Policy / page / Para / Map	PC10 New Transport Schemes
	P123 Deposit Plan written statement
Relevant Content of Plan	The policy safeguards the route of road schemes where it is necessary to protect land to facilitate delivery.
Representations	3 Objections

Comment ID	Consultee ID	Consultee Full Name	Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing Attendance
697	1233357				Object	Yes
1074	1229839				Object	Not Stated
1188	1230981				Object	Not Stated

Summary of representations	One objection related to the lack of reference in the policy to the actual safeguarding of sites and preventing development which would be detrimental to railway transport.
	NRW seek reference in the policy to landscape and other environmental considerations, mitigation and potential enhancements.
	One objection is concerned with the lack of provision in the Plan for the re-opening of a railway station at Greenfield / Holywell and suggests a suitable site.
Changes sought	Objections seek amendments to the policy, and safeguarding of transport schemes in the Plan.
Summary of Council Response	Those transport schemes where it is necessary for land to be safeguarded have been referenced in policy PC10. The Council is unclear exactly what form of protection is required in the policy nor what 'railway enforcement' means.
	The Council considers that the Plan should be read as a whole and it is not necessary or appropriate to include criteria within the policy – particularly when schemes will still require planning permissions.
	The Council considers that transport schemes should only be safeguarded in a LDP when there is evidence to suggest that there is a reasonable prospect of them being delivered. Whilst not disagreeable to the principle of a re-opened / new station, there is no evidence that this is realistic or feasible.
Minor Change proposed	No
Policy / page / Para / Map	PC12 Community Facilities P125 Deposit Plan written statement

Relevant Content of Plan Representations			The policy provides guidance in respect of the development of new community facilities, and the protection of existing community facilities. It also allocates 3 sites for new community facilities. Total 2 representations: 1 objection 1 support					
Comment ID	Comment Consultee Consulte			Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing Attendance	
237	1147889			1230687		Support	Not Stated	
776	1144593			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	Object	Not Stated	
Summary of representa	tions		The objection expresses concern over the continuing loss of facilities and services in Higher Kinnerton.					
Changes s			n/a					
Summary o Response		The policy provides guidance in respect of new facilities and adopts a criteria based approach to consider any development proposals which involve the loss of existing community facilities.						
Minor Chai	nge propose	d	No					

Appendix 21d Main Issues – Development Management Policies – Supporting a Prosperous Economy

Policy / pag	je / Para / Ma		PE1 General Employment Land Allocations P128 Deposit Plan written statement						
Relevant C	ontent of Pla		The policy allocates sites for B1, B2 and B8 employment development across the County. Total 9 representations: 6 objections 2 support 1 not stated						
Representa	itions	6 object 2 suppo							
Comment ID	Consultee ID	Consultee Full Name	Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing attendance			
84	1230290				Support	Not Stated			
115	1230530		1230529		Object	Not Stated			
117	1230530				Object	Not Stated			
663	1146891					Not Stated			
879	1234645		1234643		Object	Not Stated			
890	1148889		1234839		Support	Not Stated			
944	1235344		1149800		Object	Not Stated			
1052	1229839				Object	Not Stated			
1249	1234608				Object	No			

Summary of representations	One objection considers that insufficient sites have been allocated in the Plan but does not identify specific sites.
	One objection seeks the de-allocation of PE1.3 Drury New Road, Buckley and redevelopment for housing.
	Two objections seek the allocation of land (Northern and Southern Sites) at Connah's Quay Power Station.
	One objection identifies an error in the proposals map at Deeside whereby the designation for STR3A Northern Gateway excludes the John Summers Building.
	NRW express concern about several employment allocations (PE1.1, PE1.2, PE1.4, PE1.5, PE1.6, PE1.8, PE1.9, PE1.10, PE1.12.) as they have not been
	supported by a Flood Consequences Assessment
Changes sought	Amendments sought include allocation of sites, de-
0 0	allocation of sites and amendments to the proposals map.
Summary of Council Response	The Council has identified a broad portfolio of sites to ensure flexibility and choice for different types of employment development in terms of location, type and size.
	The Council does not consider that there is presently sufficient justification to warrant the de-allocation of PE1.3 and note that a planning application for housing is presently being considered on the site.
	The Council does not consider that there is presently sufficient evidence that the allocation of two sites at Connah's Quay Power Station is appropriate, viable or deliverable in view of site constraints.
	The Council accepts that an amendment is required to the proposals map is required in respect of the STR3A allocation subject to the agreement of the Inspector.
	The employment allocations are all carried over from the adopted UDP and not new sites. The existence of flood constraints is known for these sites but given that the Plan Strategy is not dependent on every allocation is being allocated, it was not considered that a FCA was necessary as a FCA is more appropriate undertaken at planning application stage when the exact nature of the
	development proposal is known. The Council has commissioned further FCA work on the affected sites but stress that these can only be on the basis of indicative and broad development parameters and are not therefore detailed FCA's. However they should give assurances that development can be accommodated on the site

	either by i) avoiding flood risk areas or ii) design measures to mitigate flood risk.
Minor Change proposed	No
Policy / page / Para / Map	PE2 Principal Employment Area
	P131 Deposit Plan written statement
Relevant Content of Plan	The policy identifies 30 Principal Employment Areas
	across the County on the proposals maps which comprise
	a mix of existing employment land and buildings,
	commitments, allocations and other undeveloped land.
Representations	Total 9 representations:
	8 objections
	1 support

Comment ID	Consultee ID	Consultee Full Name	Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing attendance
132	1230530				Object	Not Stated
242	1230971				Object	Not Stated
684	1233328		1233325		Object	Not Stated
808	1234408		1234407		Object	Not Stated
880	1234645		1234643		Object	Not Stated
893	1148889		1234839		Support	Not Stated
945	1235344		1149800		Object	Not Stated
1053	1229839				Object	Not Stated
1075	1229839				Object	Not Stated

Summary of representations	Two objections seek the designation of sites at Connah's Quay Power Station and at Sony Beach Quarry / Lane Quarry, Buckley as PEA's. One objection seeks the removal of a site from PEA PE2.7 Little Mountain Industrial Estate, Buckley. One objection seeks an extension to the PEA PE2.9 Evans Way Business Centre, Cheshire West.
	One objection seeks an amendment to the proposals maps in respect of PEA PE2.11 Deeside Industrial Park and the John Summers Building.
	Objections seek a broadening of the development permissible within PEA's for 'other' uses and one objector seeks policy provision for energy uses and more specifically 'locations for Transmission Connected Energy Generation and Storage Facilities'.
	NRW raises concerns that the policy promotes 'areas' where employment development will be permitted but where some sites are affected by flood risk considerations, but are not informed by FCA. The PEA's

ГТ.	
	of concern are PE2.24; PE2.30; PE2.23; PE2.29; PE2.13;
	PE2.14; PE2.28; PE2.3; PE2.22; PE2.21; PE2.27;
	PE2.18; PE2.26; PE2.10; PE2.17; PE2.16; PE2.4;
	PE2.15; PE2.19; PE2.20; PE2.9; PE2.11; PE8. NRW also
	seeks ref to additional criteria in respect of PEA 2.17,
	2.18 and 2.19 given proximity to the AONB.
	Dbjections seek the addition, deletion and extension of PEA's and amendments to policy wording.
	The Council does not consider that there is presently
	sufficient justification to warrant the de-allocation of PE1.3
•	and note that a planning application for housing is
	presently being considered on the site.
	, ,
Г	The Council does not consider that the designation of
s	sites as PEA's or the extension / PEA's is appropriate as
e	explained in the response to individual representations.
	The Council accepts that an amendment is required to
	he proposals map 02 Front is required in respect of the
	boundary of PEA PE2.11 to exclude the John Summers
	ouilding. from the boundary of the PEA PE2.11, subject to
ti	he agreement of the Inspector.
	The Council does not consider that the wording of the
	policy should be broadened to include, as a matter of
	course, energy or other land uses. The focus of the PEA's
	s on employment development and other land use
	proposals can be considered on their merits.
P P	soposais can be considered on their ments.
	n respect of the NRW objection regarding flood risk, the
	Council does not consider that the PEA's are allocations
	n themselves and any development proposals arising
	vith PEA's must be considered against policies in the
	Plan as a whole. Nevertheless amendments are being
	considered to the wording of the policy and the
	explanation in respect of flood risk, as set out in the
	esponse to representation id 1053 and as set out in a
	SoCG to be prepared with NRW.
	Additional policy wording in respect of three PEA's in
	Mold in respect of the AONB is not considered necessary
	or appropriate as the Plan's policies should be read as a
	vhole.
Minor Change proposed N	No
Policy / page / Para / Map	PE3 Employment Development Outside Allocated
	Sites and Principal Employment Areas
F	P134 Deposit Plan written statement
Relevant Content of Plan T	
	The policy provides guidance on employment proposals
	The policy provides guidance on employment proposals which arise on land outside allocated sites or Principal Employment Areas. The policy draws a distinction

					n and outside					
Representa	tions		and offers criteria based guidance in respect of the latter. Total 2 representations – both objections							
Comment ID	Consultee ID		Il Name Agent ID Agent Full Do you Hear Name Support or atten object to this policy?							
631	1233028			1232939		Object	Not Stated			
946	1235344			1149800		Object	Not Stated			
Summary o representat			One objection was to the fact that principal Employment Area PE2.9 and Chester are not identified in the settlement hierarchy. The other objection raises detailed concerns about several criteria in the policy in the context of seeking a							
					n at Northop.		centing a			
Changes so	bught		One objec	tion seek t hierarch	s the inclusion y. The other c					
Summary o Response Minor Chan	ge proposed		The Council considers it wholly inappropriate for PEA's to form part of the Plans settlement hierarchy given that PEA's are existing employment areas which are not related to or controlled by the settlement hierarchy. The Council does not consider amendments to the wording of part ii of the policy are necessary or appropriate. This part of the policy seeks to provide scope for employment development on the edge of settlements in a similar manner to small scale affordable housing exceptions sites. The objectors comments are made solely in the context of promoting a large mixed use development on the edge of Northop.							
Minor Chan	ge proposed	ג	No							
	e / Para / Ma ontent of Pla	in	PE4 Farm Diversification P135 Deposit Plan written statement The policy provides criteria based guidance in respect of development proposals involving farm diversification.							
Representa	tions		Total 2 representations: 1 objection 1 support							
Comment ID	Consultee ID		nsultee Full me	I Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing attendance			
61	1230290					Support	Not Stated			
1076	1229839					Object	Not Stated			

Summary of	f		NRW object on the basis that all development should not						
representations					ape characte				
Changes so					policy word		unity.		
Summary of							e Plan should		
Response	Counter				and other poli				
			safeguard						
Minor Chan	ge proposed	1	No	-					
	<u> </u>								
Policy / page	e / Para / Ma	ар	PE6 Prote	ection of E	Employment	Land			
		•			ritten statem				
Relevant Co	ontent of Pla	n	The policy	adopts a	criteria based	d approach	to		
			considerin	g develop	ment proposa	als which in	volve the		
					and or buildin				
Representa	tions		Total 1 rep	oresentatic	n – objection]			
				-	-				
Comment ID	Consultee ID		onsultee III Name	Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support	Hearing attendance		
		FU			Name	or object	allenuance		
						to this			
						policy?			
896	1148889			1234839		Object	Yes		
						• • •			
Summary of			The objection considers the policy is unduly restrictive						
representati			and should provide flexibility for other uses.						
Changes so			Seeks policy wording amendment						
Summary of	Council		The Council does not consider relaxation of the policy is						
Response			either necessary or appropriate. The focus of applying the policy criteria is to determine whether other uses of						
					uildings is ap itable other u				
			interpreted as pre-determining the outcome of that assessment. Each proposal should be assessed on its						
			individual merits.						
Minor Chan	de proposed	1	No						
inner ondrig	30 proposed	•							
Policy / pag	e / Para / Ma	ap	PE7 Retail Hierarchy						
i eney, pag		~ -	P139 Deposit Plan written statement						
Relevant Co	ontent of Pla	n	The policy						
Representa			Total 1 representation – objection.						
Comment	Consultee		onsultee	Agent ID	Agent Full	Do you	Hearing		
ID	ID	Fu	III Name		Name	support	attendance		
						or object to this			
						policy?			
800	1234331	1		1234330		Object	Not Stated		
L		·				, <u>,</u>			

Summary of representations			This policy attracted one objection only which sought the recognition of Broughton Shopping Park as a town centre within the retail hierarchy in view of the changing scale and composition of units at the shopping park. References the previous inclusion of Broughton within retail hierarchy in Preferred Strategy.					
Changes sou	ught		Seeks inclu ierarchy.	usion of	Broughton as	a town centre	e in retail	
Summary of Council Response			The Council notes that the concept of amending the retail hierarchy was included in the Preferred Strategy consultation document within policy STR9. However, in the light of further consideration, and the findings of the Retail Study, it is not considered appropriate for Broughton to be included in the retail hierarchy, given the Welsh Governments continuing promotion of the 'town centers first' principle. Despite the composition of the shopping park changing over time it is not considered that it has character, role and mix of units that a typical town centre does.					
Minor Chang	je propose	d N	ю					
Policy / page	e / Para / M	•			Local Centre	-		
Relevant Cor	ntent of Pla				o provide guida			
Representation	ions		development proposals in District and Local Centres. Total 1 representation – objection					
	Consultee ID	Consi Name	ultee Full	Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to	Hearing attendance	
1	1226584					this policy? Object	Yes	
	1220304						103	
Summary of			Objects to the present wording of the second sentence of					
representatio			the explanation in para 10.25.					
Changes sou			Seeks amendment to explanation to policy					
Summary of Response	Council	S	The Council accepts that the wording of the second sentence of para 10.25 of the explanation should be reworded.					
Minor Change proposed			Amend second sentence of para 10.25 to read 'As a consequence proposals for retail and non-retail commercial uses may be more difficult to satisfactorily accommodate in such Local Centres, and the importance of safeguarding residential amenity will be a key consideration'.					
		Ŭ	onsiderati	on'.				
			onsiderati	on'.				

			P143 Dep	osit Plan	written stat	ement				
Relevant Content of Plan			The policy provides a criteria based approach to the consideration of retail development proposals outside town, district and local centres.							
Representa	itions		Total 2 re	presentat	ions – objed	ctions				
Comment ID				Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing attendance			
180	1230854					Object	Not Stated			
1024	1235357					Object	Not Stated			
Summary o representat	ions		The first objection is to the use of word 'attractiveness' in the policy wording which is considered by the objector to be out of accord with PPW. The second objection seeks reference in the policy to safeguarding 'Chester' and not just centres within Flintshire. Seeks amendments to policy wording. The Council considers that the policy property reflects the approach to retail development taken by Welsh Government in PPW10. Inclusion of the term 'attractiveness' is appropriate given that it is reference several times in PPW10.							
Minor Chan	ige propose	d	Inspector considers appropriate. No							
Policy / pag Relevant C			PE12 Tourism Accommodation, Facilities and Attractions P143 Deposit Plan written statement The policy provides advice on development proposals for tourism accommodation, attractions and activities and draws a distinction between proposals inside or outside of							
Representa	tions		a settlement boundary. A criteria based approach is set out for the latter. Total 3 representations - objections Consultee Agent Agent Full Do you Hearing							
ID	ID		Full Name	ID	Name	support or object to this policy?	attendance			
238	1147889			1230687		Object	Not Stated			
839	1234513			1234487		Object	Not Stated			
1054	1229839		Object Not Stated							

Summary of representation				-	-	itional safegua elopment in the				
			The remaining objection seeks a change to the opening part of policy wording change to include reference to 'associated or ancillary' before 'facilities'.							
			The second objection is from NRW who consider that the policy designates large areas of land as being suitable for tourism facilities and land use as well as directing that attractions specific development 'will be permitted', when the policy relates to areas that lie partially within Zone C1 and/or Zone C2.							
Changes s	ouaht		Objectio	ns seek r	olicy wordin	g amendments	3			
Summary of Response			The Courread as	incil cons a whole a t is not ne	iders that the	e Plan's policie a specific policy repeat terms th	s should be in respect of			
			The policy already references 'tourist attractions and facilities' in the opening part of the policy and it is not considered necessary to further define this as 'associated or ancillary' facilities.							
			In response to NRW objection the Council stress that the policy does not identify any particular sites or areas of land for tourism development. It is a County wide policy and must be read in conjunctions with the Plans policies as a whole. The Plan contains a policy in respect of flood risk (EN14).							
Minor Char	nge propose	d	No							
	<u>.go p. op coc</u>									
Policy / pag	ge / Para / N	1ap	PE13 Caravan Development in the Open Countryside P146 Deposit Plan written statement Proposals Map 01 Front							
Relevant C	ontent of Pl	an	The policy seeks to prevent further new caravan development in the Talacre/Gronant/Gwespyr area as defined on the proposals maps.							
Representa	Representations				ations - obje					
Comment ID			nsultee I Name	Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing attendance			
239	1147889			1230687		Object	Not Stated			
841	1234514			1234487		Object	Not Stated			
1055	1229839					Object	Not Stated			
Summary of	of		The first objection seeks specific reference to the AONB							
representations		within the policy wording and also seeks the identification								

Policy / page / Para / Map	PE14 Greenfield Valley P147 Deposit Plan written statement Proposals Map 01 Back - Holywell
Minor Change proposed	The Council does not consider, as a matter of principle, that further static caravan development should take place in the defined coastal area, given the landscape harm arising from existing development. The suggested revisions to the policy do not change this view. No
	The Council considers that NRW have misunderstood the policy wording as the first part of the policy permits static caravan accommodation 'outside' of the Talacre, Gronant and Gwespyr area. It therefore does not allocate large areas of land for such development. Nevertheless the Council would have no objection to a minor rewording of the first sentence of the policy if this would more clearly identify the policy intention.
Summary of Council Response	The Council considers that the Plan's policies should be read as a whole and policy EN5 provides guidance in respect of the AONB. It is not considered necessary or appropriate for terms to be repeated throughout several policies. The restrictive policy approach to the Talacre area is one that has been carried over from the UDP and reflects the flat coastal landscape and the visually harmful nature of existing static caravan development. It is not considered that such harm exists in the Wheeler Valley area and that each proposal is more appropriately considered against the policy criteria and other Plan policies.
Changes sought	Seeks amendments to policy wording and designation of an additional area of restraint.
	The second objection from NRW is based on interpreting the policy as 'promoting' the Talacre area for static caravan / chalet development, despite its location with C1 and C1 flood risk zone. The third objection considers that the policy restricts investment and development at existing sites in the defined coastal area. It seeks amendments to the policy wording in the form of two additional criteria to enable static caravan development to potentially take place in the defined area
	of a further area (in addition to the Talacre area) around the Wheeler Valley where further static caravan and chalet development will not be permitted.

Relevant Content of Plan	The policy seeks to ensure that development proposals respect the distinct and varied character of the Greenfield Valley.
Representations	Total 3 representations; 1 objection 2 support

Comment ID	Consultee ID	Consultee Full Name	Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing attendance
14	1228815				Support	No
62	1230290				Support	Not Stated
1056	1229839				Object	Not Stated

Summary of representations	The NRW objection is on the basis that the policy 'promotes' development at Greenfield Valley which is inappropriate given flood risk and protected area designations and implications.
Changes sought	Seek amendments to policy
Summary of Council	The intention of the policy is not to actively promote
Response	development at Greenfield Valley but to ensure that any new development is undertaken in an appropriate and sensitive manner. Nevertheless the Council are considering with NRW a rewording of the opening part of the policy to clarify the policy intention and will be set out in a SOCG to be prepared
Minor Change proposed	No

Appendix 21e Main Issues – Development Management Policies – Meeting Housing Needs

Policy / page	e / Para / Ma		Development Management Policies – Meeting Housing Needs - General			
Relevant Co	ontent of Plai	n n/a	a			
Representat	tions	То	tal 1 represe	ntation – obj	ection	
Comment ID	Consultee ID	Consultee Agent ID Agent Full Do you Hearing attended Full Name Name Support or object to this policy? attended				
130	1230667					

Summary of representations	Would FCC consider Ty Gwyn, The Catch, Halkyn for the Local Development plan
Changes sought	Allocation in Plan
Summary of Council Response	This representation was submitted under the label of the 'Development Management Policies – Meeting Housing Needs'. The Council does not consider the site should be included in the Plan given that Halkyn is a Tier 5 settlement and that the size of the site is out of character with and would harm its surroundings. The Council has responded in detail to the individual representation.
Minor Change proposed	No
Policy / page / Para / Map	HN1.1 New Housing Development Proposals - Well Street, Buckley P149 Deposit Plan written statement Proposals Map 03 Back - Buckley
Relevant Content of Plan	The policy allocates land at Well Street, Buckley for housing development.
Representations	Total 9 representations: 8 Objections 1 support

Comment ID	Consultee ID	Consultee Full Name	Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing attendance
211	1230929				Object	Not Stated
664	1146891				Support	Not Stated
715	1233454		1232502		Object	Not Stated
1179	1232503		1233580		Object	Not Stated
1209	1234007		1232502		Object	Not Stated
1280	1232396		1232395		Object	Not Stated
1288	1231151		1231150		Object	Not Stated
1290	1231151		1231150		Object	Not Stated
1292	1231151		1231150		Object	Not Stated

Summary of representations	Objection to the allocation of the site in terms of increased traffic. Objections that the site has been carried over from the UDP and is not available, viable and deliverable, and should be replaced by other site allocations. Welsh Water point out that the site requires improvements to the Buckley Waste Water Treatment Works.
Changes sought	Objections seek deletion of site.
Summary of Council Response	The Council highlights a recent change in circumstances relating to the site being bought by Clwyd Alyn Housing Association. Recently, Clwyd Alyn have undertaken a PAC and a follow up screening

	request application in respect of EIA, ahead of the submission of a planning application for housing development. It is considered that the site is sustainable, viable and deliverable.
Minor Change proposed	No
Policy / page / Para / Map	HN1.3 New Housing Development Proposals - Highmere Drive, Connah's Quay P149 Deposit Plan written statement Proposals Map 02 Back – Connah's Quay
Relevant Content of Plan	The policy allocates land at Highmere Drive, Connah's Quay for housing development.
Representations	Total 10 representations: 9 Objections 1 support

Comment ID	Consultee ID	Consultee Full Name	Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing attendance
597	1232541		1232537		Object	Not Stated
613	1232770				Object	Not Stated
764	1234007		1232502		Object	Not Stated
1190	1232503				Object	Not Stated
1201	1233454		1232502		Object	Yes
1239	1146891				Support	Not Stated
1258	1149350		1232395		Object	Not Stated
1282	1232396		1232395		Object	Not Stated
1289	1231151		1231150		Object	Not Stated
1291	1231151		1231150		Object	Not Stated
1293	1231151		1231150		Object	Not Stated

Summary of representations	Objections that the site has been carried over from the UDP and is not available, viable and deliverable, and
	should be replaced by other site allocations.
Changes sought	Objections seek deletion of the site
Summary of Council Response	The Council has referenced a renewed commitment from the owner of the site as evidenced by key background studies and ongoing discussions with potential developers. It is considered that the site is sustainable, viable and deliverable.
Minor Change proposed	No
Policy / page / Para / Map	HN1.4 New Housing Development Proposals – Northop Road, Flint P149 Deposit Plan written statement
Relevant Content of Plan	The policy allocates land at Northop Rd, Flint for housing development.

Representa	Total 7 representations: 5 Objections 2 support						
Comment ID	Consultee ID	Consul Name	tee Full	Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing attendance
164	1230793					Object	Not Stated
367	1231153			1231150		Support	Yes
566	1232356			1232358		Object	Not Stated
1073	1229839					Object	Not Stated
1240	1146891					Support	Not Stated
1256	1149350			1232395		Object	Not Stated
1284	1232396			1232395		Object	Not Stated
Changes s		ations	an obje replace Objecti	ection that ed with an ons seek	t the site is c other site els deletion of t	onstrained a sewhere. he site.	location and nd should be
Summary of Council Response			The Council considers that the site is in a sustainable location and that it is deliverable given the presence of two willing developers as demonstrated by the two planning applications on the two parts of the site. The Council is seeking to facilitate a collaboration agreement whereby the two sites can be developed off a single access.				
	nge propose		No				
Policy / pa	ge / Para / N	lap	HN1.6 New Housing Development Proposals – Land between Denbigh Road and Gwernaffield Rd, Mold P149 Deposit Plan written statement Proposals Map 03 Back - Mold				
Relevant C	content of Pl	an	The policy allocates land at Mold between Denbigh Road and Gwernaffield Road for housing development.				
Representa		Total 50 representations: 48 Objections 2 support					
Comment ID	Consultee ID	Consul Name	tee Full	Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing attendance
268	1230689					Object	Not Stated
283	1230721					Object	Not Stated
284	1230721					Object	Not Stated

286	1230721		Object	Not Stated
300	1230721		Object	Not Stated
301	1230721		Object	Not Stated
303	1230721		Object	Not Stated
306	1230721		Object	Not Stated
307	1230721		Object	Not Stated
311	1230721		Object	Not Stated
325	1231151	1231150	Support	Not Stated
358	1230721		Object	Not Stated
359	1230721		Object	Not Stated
361	1230721		Object	Not Stated
364	1230721		Object	Not Stated
446	1231358		Object	Not Stated
449	1231370		Object	Not Stated
450	1231373		Object	Not Stated
453	1231383		Object	Not Stated
534	1232240		Object	Not Stated
604	1232717		Object	Not Stated
857	1150807		Object	Not Stated
1000	1235506		Object	Not Stated
1001	1235508		Object	Not Stated
1003	1235519		Object	Not Stated
1006	1235529		Object	Not Stated
1014	1235552		Object	Not Stated
1015	1235554		Object	Not Stated
1016	1235555		Object	Not Stated
1042	1235712		Object	Not Stated
1043	1235715		Object	Not Stated
1044	1235717		Object	Not Stated
1045	1235720		Object	Not Stated
1046	1235723		Object	Not Stated
1047	1235728		Object	Not Stated
1051	1235731		Object	Not Stated
1062	1235748		Object	Not Stated
1064	1235750		Object	Not Stated
1087	1235836		Object	Not Stated
1088	1235840		Object	Not Stated
1089	1235851		Object	Not Stated
1090	1235854		Object	Not Stated
1091	1235857		Object	Not Stated
1102	1236253		Object	Not Stated
1104	1236250		Object	Not Stated
1105	1236247		Object	Not Stated
1114	1230689		Object	Not Stated
1242	1146891		Support	Not Stated
1248	1232074		Object	Not Stated
1250	1245793		Object	Not Stated
	y of representations	Objections are made to		

Summary of representations	Objections are made to the allocation on the basis of a
	wide variety of matters and these are all set out in the
	Council's summary response on this site.
Changes sought	Objections seek the deletion of the site.

Summary of Council Response			The Council considers the site to be sustainable, viable and deliverable and points to a willing developer, as evidenced by a recent PAC for the site.						
Minor Cha	nge propos	ed	No						
Policy / page / Para / Map			HN1.7 New Housing Development Proposals – Holywell Road / Green Lane, Ewloe P150 Deposit Plan written statement Proposals Map 02 Front						
Relevant Content of Plan			The policy allocates land at Holywell Road / Green Lane, Ewloe for housing development.						
Representations			Total 137 representations: 131 Objections 6 support						
Comment ID	Consultee ID	Consul Name	tee Full	Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing attendance		
22	1229300					Object	Not Stated		
44	1230006					Object	Not Stated		
48	1230046					Object	Not Stated		
55	1230160					Object	Not Stated		
102	1230433					Object	Not Stated		
163	1230799					Object	Not Stated		
167	1230821					Object	Not Stated		
169	1230820					Object	Not Stated		
172	1230829					Object	Not Stated		
175	1230837					Object	Not Stated		
178	1230844					Object	Not Stated		
179	1230848					Object	Not Stated		
186	1228408					Object	Not Stated		
187	1230864					Object	Not Stated		
189	1228930					Object	Not Stated		
190	1230885					Object	Not Stated		
196	1230897					Object	Not Stated		
198	1230907					Object	Not Stated		
441	1231106					Object	Not Stated		
444	1230727					Object	Not Stated		
445	1231107				+	Object	Not Stated		
447	1231365					Object	Not Stated		
466	1231416					Object	Not Stated		
477	1231486				+	Object	Not Stated		
485	1231604				+	Object Object	Not Stated		
547 549	1227864 1232341				+	Object Object	Not Stated Not Stated		
549 550	1232341					Object	Not Stated		
552	1232361					Support	Not Stated		
557	1232387					Object	Not Stated		
560	1232387				+	Object	Not Stated		
562	1232397					Object	Not Stated		
JUZ	1202401					Object	NUL SIALEU		

564	1232424		Support	Not Stated
565	1220511		Support	Not Stated
569	1232438	1232358	Object	Not Stated
570		1232338		
	1232450		Object	Not Stated
572	1232468		Object	Not Stated
577	1232484	4000050	Object	Not Stated
621	1249859	1232358	Object	Not Stated
628	1228626		Object	Not Stated
671	1233250	1232358	Object	Not Stated
683	1233327		Object	Not Stated
687	1233339		Object	Not Stated
712	1233450		Object	Not Stated
713	1233480		Object	Not Stated
718	1233510		Object	Not Stated
719	1233531		Object	Not Stated
722	1233546		Object	Not Stated
725	1233564		Object	Not Stated
726	1233567		Object	Not Stated
727	1233579		Object	Not Stated
730	1233591		Object	Not Stated
731	1233601		Object	Not Stated
732	1233595		Object	Not Stated
733	1233599		Object	Not Stated
737	1233613		Object	Not Stated
739	1233615		Object	Not Stated
741	1233621		Object	Not Stated
745	1233645		Object	Not Stated
746	1233651		Object	Not Stated
747	1233656		Object	Not Stated
749	1233663		Object	Not Stated
750	1233673		Object	Not Stated
751	1233676		Object	Not Stated
752	1233682		Object	Not Stated
754	1233687		Object	Not Stated
789	1234238		Object	Not Stated
791	1234281		Object	Not Stated
792	1232468		Object	Not Stated
793	1234299		Object	Not Stated
793	1234299		Object	Not Stated
	1234299		,	
797			Object	Not Stated
798	1234339		Object	Not Stated
799	1234346		Object	Not Stated
805	1234399		Object	Not Stated
809	1234430		Object	Not Stated
811	1234438		Object	Not Stated
812	1234447		Object	Not Stated
814	1234464		Object	Not Stated
816	1234469		Object	Not Stated
818	1234483		Object	Not Stated
822	1234490		Object	Not Stated
825	1234492		Object	Not Stated
828	1234496		Object	Not Stated
829	1234519		Object	Not Stated
842	1234525		Object	Not Stated
844	1234530		Object	Not Stated

1117	1236754			Object	Not Stated
1113	1236706			Support	Not Stated
1097	1236067			 Object	Not Stated
1085	1231416			 Object	Not Stated
1084	1231486			 Object	Not Stated
1083	1232438			Object	Not Stated
1082	1233250			Object	Not Stated
1081	1235802			Object	Not Stated
1069	1235765			Object	Not Stated
1039	1235653		+	Object	Not Stated
1038	1235646			Object	Not Stated
1036	1235633		+	Object	Not Stated
1035	1235619		+	Object	Not Stated
1034	1235618			Object	Not Stated
1033	1235590			 Object	Not Stated
1032	1235584		+	Object	Not Stated
1020	1231088			 Object	Not Stated
909	1234941			Object	Not Stated
907	1234940			 Object	Not Stated
906	1234937			 Object	Not Stated
904	1230877			Object	Not Stated
-	1234928			Object Object	Not Stated
902					
900	1234920			Object Object	Not Stated
898 900	1233116			Object Object	Not Stated
-					Not Stated
892 895	1234895 1234905		+	Object Object	Not Stated Not Stated
891	1234891			Object	Not Stated
885	1234856			 Object	Not Stated
884	1234850			 Object	Not Stated
882	1234843			Object	Not Stated
881	1234835			Object	Not Stated
878	1234822			Object	Not Stated
877	1234797			 Object	Not Stated
874	1234634			 Object	Not Stated
873	1234631			Object	Not Stated
872	1234627		-	 Object	Not Stated
871	1234625			 Object	Not Stated
868	1234596		-	 Object	Not Stated
867	1234592			Object	Not Stated
866	1234589			Object	Not Stated
865	1234587			Object	Not Stated
863	1234566			Object	Not Stated
853	1234556			Object	Not Stated
850	1234546			Object	Not Stated
847	1234542			Object	Not Stated
	1234536			Object	Not Stated

Summary of Council Response	The Council considers the site to be sustainable, viable and deliverable as evidence by the large suite of supporting background evidence commissioned by the two landowners.			
Minor Change proposed	No			
Policy / page / Para / Map	HN1.8 New Housing Development Proposals – Ash Lane Hawarden P150 Deposit Plan written statement Proposals Map 02 Front			
Relevant Content of Plan	The policy allocates land at Ash Lane, Mancot for housing development.			
Representations	Total 203 representations: 201 Objections 2 support Schedule of individual reps;			

Comment ID	Consultee ID	Consultee Full Name	Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing attendance
2	1227739				Object	Not Stated
8	1228763				Object	Not Stated
9	1228785				Object	Not Stated
10	1228808				Object	Not Stated
11	1228801				Object	Not Stated
16	1228768				Object	Not Stated
26	1229483				Object	Not Stated
34	1229209				Object	Not Stated
36	1229990				Object	Not Stated
38	1229993				Object	Not Stated
49	1230056				Object	Not Stated
50	1230074				Object	Not Stated
51	1230095				Object	Not Stated
52	1230137				Object	Not Stated
53	1228768				Object	Not Stated
58	1232277				Object	Not Stated
87	1230329				Object	Not Stated
97	1230398				Object	Not Stated
98	1230401				Object	Not Stated
99	1230403				Object	Not Stated
100	1230410				Object	Not Stated
105	1230463				Object	Not Stated
106	1230465				Object	Not Stated
107	1230466				Object	Not Stated
108	1230469				Object	Not Stated
109	1230475				Object	Not Stated
111	1230482				Object	Not Stated
112	1230494				Object	Not Stated
113	1230517				Object	Not Stated

116	1230512	1230509	Object	Not Stated
118	1230577		Object	Not Stated
127	1230599		Object	Not Stated
128	1230607		Object	Not Stated
129	1230613		Object	Not Stated
135	1230683		Object	Not Stated
137	1230693		Object	Not Stated
138	1230695		Object	Not Stated
139	1230703		Object	Not Stated
140	1230705		Object	Not Stated
140	1230706		Object	Not Stated
142	1230710		Object	Not Stated
153	1230363		Object	Not Stated
156	1230724		Object	Not Stated
157	1230724		Object	Not Stated
158	1230729		Object	Not Stated
161	1230740		Object	Not Stated
162	1230740			Not Stated
	1230812		Object	
165			Object	Not Stated
171	1230827		Object	Not Stated
173	1230832		Object	Not Stated
176	1230838		Object	Not Stated
199	1230911		Object	Not Stated
200	1230912		Object	Not Stated
202	1230919		Object	Not Stated
203	1230920		Object	Not Stated
204	1230921		Object	Not Stated
206	1230923		Object	Not Stated
216	1230946		Object	Not Stated
218	1230951		Object	Not Stated
219	1230924		Object	Not Stated
226	1230955		Object	Not Stated
227	1230955		Object	Not Stated
248	1230980		Object	Not Stated
254	1230982		Object	Not Stated
255	1230984		Object	Not Stated
259	1230987		Object	Not Stated
288	1231069		Object	Not Stated
294	1231085		Object	Not Stated
295	1231084		Object	Not Stated
352	1231216		Object	Not Stated
363	1231226		Object	Not Stated
366	1231236		Object	Not Stated
375	1231247		Object	Not Stated
377	1231252		Object	Not Stated
378	1231257		Object	Not Stated
382	1231261		Object	Not Stated
390	1231268		Object	Not Stated
397	1231277		Object	Not Stated
403	1231280		Object	Not Stated
407	1231288		Object	Not Stated
420	1231285		Object	Not Stated
421	1231286		Object	Not Stated
422	1231309		Object	Not Stated
425	1231314		Object	Not Stated
429 1221217	Object Not Stated			
-------------	-------------------			
428 1231317	Object Not Stated			
430 1231321	Object Not Stated			
436 1231334	Object Not Stated			
437 1231342	Object Not Stated			
439 1231345	Object Not Stated			
440 1231347	Object Not Stated			
442 1231352	Object Not Stated			
443 1231353	Object Not Stated			
448 1231369	Object Not Stated			
452 1231382	Object Not Stated			
455 1231393	Object Not Stated			
456 1231395	Object Not Stated			
457 1231398	Object Not Stated			
458 1228734	Object Not Stated			
459 1231031	Object Not Stated			
461 1231402	Object Not Stated			
463 1231404	Object Not Stated			
464 1230483	Object Not Stated			
465 1231414	Object Not Stated			
467 1231418	Object Not Stated			
468 1231420	Object Not Stated			
470 1231424	Object Not Stated			
471 1231426	Object Not Stated			
472 1231430	Object Not Stated			
473 1231431	Object Not Stated			
474 1231447	Object Not Stated			
476 1231484	Object Not Stated			
478 1231489	Object Not Stated			
480 1231495	Object Not Stated			
482 1231504	Object Not Stated			
483 1231512	Object Not Stated			
487 1231635	Object Not Stated			
488 1231651	Object Not Stated			
489 1231659	Object Not Stated			
490 1231661	Object Not Stated			
491 1231662	Object Not Stated			
492 1231663	Object Not Stated			
493 1231669	Object Not Stated			
494 1231688	Object Not Stated			
495 1231691	Object Not Stated			
496 1231695	Object Not Stated			
497 1231697	Object Not Stated			
498 1231699	Object Not Stated			
499 1231700	Object Not Stated			
500 1231705	Object Not Stated			
501 1231711	Object Not Stated			
502 1231715	Object Not Stated			
503 1231722	Object Not Stated			
504 1231725	Object Not Stated			
505 1231757	Object Not Stated			
506 1231825	Object Not Stated			
507 1231831	Object Not Stated			
508 1231841	Object Not Stated			
509 1231871	Object Not Stated			

511	1231966			Object	Not Stated
512	1231970			Object	Not Stated
513	1231978			Object	Not Stated
514	1231978			Object	Not Stated
515	1231980			Object	Not Stated
515	1231982			Object	Not Stated
517					Not Stated
517	1231993			Object	
518	1231998			Object	Not Stated Not Stated
519	1231999			Object	
	1232116			Object	Not Stated
525 526	1232147			Object	Not Stated
	1232153			Object	Not Stated
527	1232157			Object	Not Stated
528	1232168			 Object	Not Stated
529	1232172			 Object	Not Stated
531	1232211			 Object	Not Stated
532	1232218			Object	Not Stated
535	1232246			Object	Not Stated
536	1232251			Object	Not Stated
538	1232257			Object	Not Stated
542	1232273			Object	Not Stated
543	1232287			 Object	Not Stated
545	1232308			 Object	Not Stated
556	1232381			 Object	Not Stated
573	1232475			 Object	Not Stated
576	1232489			 Object	Not Stated
578	1232496			Object	Not Stated
585	1232533			Object	Not Stated
592	1232558			 Object	Not Stated
609	1232744			Object	Not Stated
610	1232746			 Object	Not Stated
612	1232749			Object	Not Stated
615	1232805			Object	Not Stated
617	1232831			 Object	Not Stated
630	1233054			 Object	Not Stated
634	1233060			 Object	Not Stated
635	1233066			 Object	Not Stated
643	1233100			Object	Not Stated
651	1233116			Object	Not Stated
652	1233128			Object	Not Stated
654	1233141			 Object	Not Stated
655	1233146		873545	 Support	Not Stated
657	1233150			Object	Not Stated
672	1233230	_		 Object	Not Stated
673	1233217	_		 Object	Not Stated
676	1233171			Object	Not Stated
677	1233179	_		 Object	Not Stated
700	1233411			Object	Not Stated
703	1233422			Object	Not Stated
709	1233447			Object	Not Stated
710	1233448			Object	Not Stated
711	1233449			Object	Not Stated
723	1233548			Object	Not Stated
724	1233550			Object	Not Stated
729	1233586			Object	Not Stated

905	1230877	Object Not Stated					
1092	1235878	Object Not Stated					
1096	1236048	Object Not Stated					
1098	1236076	Object Not Stated					
1099	1236095	Object Not Stated					
1109	1236572	Object Not Stated					
1110	1236639	Object Not Stated					
1244	1146891	Support Not Stated					
1295	1248768	Object Not Stated					
Summary	of representations	Objections are made to the allocation on the basis of a wide variety of matters and these are all set out in the Council's summary response on this site.					
Changes s	sought	Objections seek the deletion					
Summary Response	of Council	The Council considers the site to be sustainable, viable and deliverable as evidence by the large suite of supporting background evidence commissioned by the landowners and the identification of a preferred developer.					
Minor Cha	inge proposed	No					
Policy / page / Para / Map		HN1.9 New Housing Development Proposals – Wrexham Road, HCAC P150 Deposit Plan written statement Proposals Map 03 Front					
Relevant (Content of Plan	The policy allocates land at Wrexham Road, Abermorddu (HCAC) for housing development.					
Represent	ations	Total 25 representations: 24 Objections 1 support					

Comment ID	Consultee ID	Consultee Full Name	Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing attendance
94	1230319				Object	Not Stated
95	1230375				Object	Not Stated
185	1148998				Object	Not Stated
274	1231053				Object	Not Stated
277	1231056				Object	Not Stated
302	1231058				Object	Not Stated
304	1231102				Object	Not Stated
305	1231057				Object	Not Stated
308	1231058				Object	Not Stated
309	1231058				Object	Not Stated
310	1231102				Object	Not Stated
313	1231102				Object	Not Stated
314	1231104				Object	Not Stated
376	1231243				Object	No
380	1231254				Object	Not Stated
438	1231343				Object	Not Stated

				1			<u>г</u> г	
548	1232322					Object	Not Stated	
708	1233445					Object	No	
1086	1150110					Object	No	
1093	1235915					Object	No	
1111	1236682					Object	No	
1191	1229108			1227685		Object	Not Stated	
1245	1146891					Support	Not Stated	
1259	1149350			1232395		Object	Not Stated	
1283	1232396			1232395		Object	Not Stated	
Summary o Changes so		ations	wide v Counc objecto as beir Condit allocat	ariety of ma il's summa ors have su ng preferab ions are als ion. ions seek o	atters and th ry response iggested oth le to replace so suggeste deletion or re	llocation on the sese are all second this site. Second this site. Second the sites in the end the allocated d to be attach	et out in the Some e settlement d site, ned to the	
Summonyo	fCouncil		allocation or propose conditions to be attached to the allocation.					
Summary o Response	Council		The Council considers the site to be sustainable, viable and deliverable as evidenced by the large suite of supporting background evidence commissioned by the landowners as part of a previous planning application.					
Minor Chan	nde propose	d	No					
Policy / pag	je / Para / M	lap	HN1.10 New Housing Development Proposals – Cae Isa, New Brighton P150 Deposit Plan written statement Proposals Map 02 Front					
Relevant C		an	The po at Cae	olicy allocat Isa, New I	es land at fo Brighton	or housing dev	velopment	
Representations			Total 1 9 Obje 2 supp		itations:			

Comment ID	Consultee ID	Consultee Full Name	Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing attendance
183	1230860				Object	Not Stated
321	1228898				Object	Not Stated
353	1231206				Object	No
544	1230681				Object	Yes
596	1232541		1232537		Support	Not Stated
1118	1236809				Object	No
1121	1236829				Object	No
1122	1236844				Object	Yes
1247	1146891				Support	Not Stated
1257	1149350		1232395		Object	Not Stated

1281	1232396			1232395		Object	Not Stated
Summary o	f representat	tions					the basis of a
			wide v	ariety of m	natters and th	ese are all s	set out in the
					ary response		
Changes so			Object	ions seek	the deletion of	of the alloca	tions
Summary o	f Council		The Co	ouncil con	siders the site	e to be susta	ainable,
Response			viable	and delive	erable as evid	enced by th	e large suite
					ckground evid		
					as part of a cu	urrent undet	ermined
				ng applica	tion.		
Minor Chan	ge proposed	ł	No				
Delieveen				law and D	e e ule maitte el	0:400	
Policy / pag	e / Para / Ma	чh			esubmitted		
			P1501	Jeposit Pla	an written sta	lement	
Relevant Co	ontent of Pla	n	The pr	licy alloca	ites land for h	ousing devi	elopment at
			11 site				orophioni ai
Representa	tions			36 represe	ntations:		
Roproconta				jections	mationo.		
			1 supp				
			3 not s				
0							
Comment	Consultee		ultee	Agent	Agent Full	Do you	Hearing
Comment ID	Consultee ID		sultee Name	Agent ID	Agent Full Name	support	Hearing attendance
				-	-	support or object	
				-	-	support	
				-	-	support or object to this	
ID	ID			-	-	support or object to this policy?	attendance
ID 481	ID 1231500			ID	-	support or object to this policy? Support	attendance Not Stated
ID 481 18 41 88	ID 1231500 1228969 1229995 1229108			ID 1228968 1227685	-	support or object to this policy? Support Object Object	attendanceNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedNot Stated
ID 481 18 41 88 89	ID 1231500 1228969 1229995 1229108 1229109			ID 1228968 1227685 1227685	-	supportor objectto thispolicy?SupportObjectObjectObjectObjectObject	attendanceNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedNot Stated
ID 481 18 41 88 89 90	ID 1231500 1228969 1229995 1229108 1229109 1229681			ID 1228968 1227685	-	support or object to this policy? Support Object Object Object Object Object	attendanceNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedNot Stated
ID 481 18 41 88 89 90 166	ID 1231500 1228969 1229995 1229108 1229109 1229681 1230816			ID 1228968 1227685 1227685	-	support or object to this policy? Support Object Object Object Object Object Object	attendanceNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedNot Stated
ID 481 18 41 88 89 90 166 192	ID 1231500 1228969 1229995 1229108 1229109 1229681 1230816 1230888			ID 1228968 1227685 1227685 1227685 1227685	-	support or object to this policy? Support Object Object Object Object Object Object Object	attendanceNot StatedNot Stated
ID 481 18 41 88 89 90 166 192 193	ID 1231500 1228969 1229995 1229108 1229109 1229681 1230816 1230888 1226342			ID 1228968 1227685 1227685 1227685 1227685 1227685 1227685 1227685 1227685	-	support or object to this policy? Support Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object	attendanceNot StatedNot Stated
ID 481 18 41 88 89 90 166 192 193 221	ID 1231500 1228969 1229995 1229108 1229109 1229681 1230816 1230888 1226342 1230953			ID 1228968 1227685 1227685 1227685 1227685	-	support or object to this policy? Support Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object	attendanceNot StatedNot Stated
ID 481 18 41 88 89 90 166 192 193 221 222	ID 1231500 1228969 1229995 1229108 1229109 1229681 1230816 1230888 1226342 1230953 1230954			ID 1228968 1227685 1226341 1229964	-	support or object to this policy? Support Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object	attendanceNot StatedNot Stated
ID 481 18 41 88 89 90 166 192 193 221 222 223	ID 1231500 1228969 1229995 1229108 1229109 1229681 1230816 1230888 1226342 1230953 1230954 1230957			ID 1228968 1227685 1227685 1227685 1227685 1227685 1227685 1227685 1227685	-	support or object to this policy? Support Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object	attendanceNot StatedNot Stated
ID 481 18 41 88 89 90 166 192 193 221 222 223 244	ID 1231500 1228969 1229995 1229108 1229109 1229681 1230816 1230888 1226342 1230953 1230954 1230957 1230976			ID 1228968 1227685	-	support or object to this policy? Support Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object	attendanceNot StatedNot Stated
ID 481 18 41 88 89 90 166 192 193 221 222 223 244 328	ID 1231500 1228969 1229995 1229108 1229108 1229681 1230816 1230816 1230848 1226342 1230953 1230954 1230957 1230976 1231134			ID 1228968 1227685	-	support or object to this policy? Support Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object	attendanceNot StatedNot Stated
ID 481 18 41 88 89 90 166 192 193 221 222 223 244	ID 1231500 1228969 1229995 1229108 1229109 1229681 1230816 1230888 1226342 1230953 1230954 1230957 1230976			ID 1228968 1227685	-	support or object to this policy? Support Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object	attendanceNot StatedNot Stated
ID 481 18 41 88 89 90 166 192 193 221 222 223 244 328 329	ID 1231500 1228969 1229995 1229108 1229109 1229681 1230816 1230888 1226342 1230953 1230954 1230954 1230957 1230976 1231134			ID 1228968 1227685	-	support or object to this policy? Support Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object	attendanceNot StatedNot Stated
ID 481 18 41 88 89 90 166 192 193 221 222 223 244 328 329 383	ID 1231500 1228969 1229995 1229108 1229109 1229681 1230816 1230888 1226342 1230953 1230954 1230957 1230976 1231134 1231134			ID 1228968 1227685 1228964 1228964 12281130 1231130 1231126	-	support or object to this policy? Support Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object	attendanceNot StatedNot Stated
ID 481 18 41 88 89 90 166 192 193 221 222 223 244 328 329 383 454	ID 1231500 1228969 1229995 1229108 1229108 1229109 1229681 1230816 1230816 1230853 1230954 1230954 1230957 1230957 1230976 1231134 1231134 1231128 1231391			ID 1228968 1227685	-	support or object to this policy? Support Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object	attendanceNot StatedNot Stated
ID 481 18 41 88 89 90 166 192 193 221 222 223 244 328 329 383 454 551	ID 1231500 1228969 1229995 1229108 1229109 1229681 1230816 1230816 1230853 1230953 1230954 1230957 1230957 1230976 1231134 1231134 1231128 1231391 1232359			ID 1228968 1227685	-	support or object to this policy? Support Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object	attendanceNot StatedNot Stated
ID 481 18 41 88 89 90 166 192 193 221 222 223 244 328 329 383 454 551 559	ID 1231500 1228969 1229995 1229108 1229109 1229681 1230816 1230816 1230953 1230954 1230954 1230957 1230954 1230957 1230976 1231134 1231134 1231134 1231391 1232359 1232396			ID 1228968 1227685	-	support or object to this policy? Support Object	attendanceNot StatedNot Stated
ID 481 18 41 88 89 90 166 192 193 221 222 223 244 328 329 383 454 551 559 561	ID 1231500 1228969 1229995 1229108 1229108 1229109 1229681 1230816 1230848 1226342 1230953 1230954 1230954 1230957 1230957 1230976 1231134 1231134 1231128 1231391 1232396 1232399			ID 1228968 1227685 1228964 1231130 1231126 1231390 1232358 1232395	-	supportor objectto thispolicy?SupportObject	attendanceNot StatedNot Stated

579	1232503	1232502	Object	Not Stated
581	1232303	1148845	Object	Not Stated
586	1230730	1148845	Object	Not Stated
602	1232660	1232659	Object	Not Stated
618	1226342	1226341	Object	Not Stated
626	1233028	1232939	Object	Not Stated
636		1224982		Not Stated
653	1224983		Object	
658	1233134 1233157	1232501	Object Object	Not Stated
			· · ·	Not Stated
675	1233184	1110100	Object	Not Stated
689	1233248	1149190	Object	Not Stated
693	1231164	1148845	Object	Not Stated
698	1233184		Object	Not Stated
699	1233184		Object	Not Stated
701	1233184		Object	Not Stated
702	1233184		Object	Not Stated
736	1233611		Object	Not Stated
755	1231128	1231126	Object	Not Stated
756	1231151	1231150	Object	Not Stated
783	1234093	1233580	Object	Not Stated
786	1148956	1148947	Object	Not Stated
788	1148956	1148947	Object	Not Stated
813	1234453		Object	Not Stated
815	1234466	1234465	Object	Not Stated
817	1234480	788759	Object	Not Stated
831	1231124	788759	Object	Not Stated
870	1149350	1232395	Object	Not Stated
876	1234645	1234643	Object	Not Stated
914	1235010	1234870	Object	Not Stated
915	1141895	1234985	Object	Not Stated
926	1230461		Object	Not Stated
929	1230461		Object	Not Stated
959	1149828	1149800	Object	Not Stated
974	1235343	1149800	Object	Not Stated
991	1149823	1149800	Object	Not Stated
1013	1235341	1149800	Object	Not Stated
1108	1232660	1232659	Object	Not Stated
1124	1236877		Object	Not Stated
1183	1232503	1233580	Object	Not Stated
1194	1230953	1229964	Object	Not Stated
1195	1230953	1229964	Object	Not Stated
1196	1230953	1229964	Object	Not Stated
1202	1233454	1232502	Object	Not Stated
1203	1229108	1227685	Object	Not Stated
1207	1229110	1227685	Object	Not Stated
1210	1233157		Object	Not Stated
1211	1233157		Object	Not Stated
1212	1233157		Object	Not Stated
1213	1233157		Object	Not Stated
1214	1233157		Object	Not Stated
1218	1229111	1227685	Object	Yes
1251	1231151	1231150	Object	Not Stated
1265	1247627	1229404	Object	Not Stated
1266	1247627	1229404	Object	Not Stated
1267	1233684	1232502	Object	Not Stated

1268	1233684	1232502	Object	Not Stated
1269	1149198	1148968	Object	Not Stated
1286	1233213	1233212	Object	Not Stated
1287	1233213	1233212	Object	Not Stated
1296	1234645	1234643	Object	Not Stated
1193	1236741			Not Stated
1200	1235343	1149800		Not Stated
1208	1233454	1232502		Not Stated

Summary of representations Objections seek the allocation of land in the form of new sites (submitted at Deposit Consultation stage) and previous sites (submitted as Candidate Sites or Alternative Sites).

List of new sites / resubmitted sites:

Site type	New or resubmitted site
New site	Bryn Y Pys, Well Street, Buckley
New site	Bryn Glas, Flint
New site	Leeswod SE site County Road, Leeswood
New site	Land NE of Holywell Road, Ewloe
New site	Chester Road, Penyffordd
New site	Land adj Brook Farm, Kinnerton Lane, Higher Kinnerton
Re-submitted site	TLD001(or part) on land opposite Erw Wen, London Rd, Trelawnyd
Re-submitted site	Candidate Site HCAC029 for inclusion as a housing allocation.
Re-submitted site	land at Wood Green, Mold. Candidate site MOL004
Re-submitted site	NAN001
Re-submitted site	NEW002, in New Brighton
Re-submitted site	BUC047-AS Megs Lane, Buckley
Re-submitted site	Candidate sites HK007
Re-submitted site	BRYN003
Re-submitted site	GYM003 Llys Newydd Gwernymynydd
Re-submitted site	FLI005 Manor Estate, Flint
Re-submitted site	CAR001 Carmel, Land at Halfway Field
Re-submitted site	CAR001 Carmel, Land at Halfway Field
Re-submitted site	SAL002
Re-submitted site	BUC018
Re-submitted site	Candidate Site MOL040
Re-submitted site	FLI018 Land West of Leadbrook Drive, Flint
Re-submitted site	MOL004 Land North of Wood Lane, Mold
Re-submitted site	Candidate Site MOL006 Land South of Haven, Off Upper Bryn Coch, Mold
Re-submitted site	Candiate Site MOL05, Land North of Junction of A494 and Ruthin Road, Mold
Re-submitted site	Green Space EN2.89 GRO001
Re-submitted site	PEN050-AS Land East of Vounog Hill, Penyffordd
Re-submitted site	Land to the north east of Issa Farm, MYN015-AS
Re-submitted site	PEN037
Re-submitted site	Land off Church Road, Northop
Re-submitted site	BUC047 (AS) Megs Lane, Buckley
Re-submitted site	land adjacent to Maes Celyn, Holywell Road, Northop NOR037
Re-submitted site	MYN018AS Ffordd Fer, Mynydd Isa
Re-submitted site	MOL056 Pen Y Bont Farm

Re-submitted site	HCAC021 Land west of Gwalia / Bryn Yorkin
Re-submitted site	ALLT 006 Land adj Tavern Public House, Alltami
Re-submitted site	NH024 Llys Ben Northop Hall
Re-submitted site	MOL004 Land at Wood Lane Mold
Re-submitted site	DRU011 Land North of Holmleigh/ Lower Farm
Re-submitted site	BUC006 Land north of A 549
Re-submitted site	NEW010 Land Adj Argoed View
Re-submitted site	BROU001 Bretton Road, Bretton
Re-submitted site	BRYN002 Land North of Hiraethog Brynford
Re-submitted site	SAL002
Re-submitted site	MOL024, MOL046 Gwerrnaffield Road Mold
Re-submitted site	CAR001 Land North of Holway Road Carmel
Re-submitted site	BUC026 Adj Viandra Bannel Lane Buckley
Re-submitted site	PEN047AS
Re-submitted site	NH003 Land off Bryn Gwyn Lane, Northop Hall
Re-submitted site	HK003 Land Adi/ S of Kinnerton Lane
Re-submitted site	BROU001 Bretton Road, Bretton
Re-submitted site	BUC 30 Land between Chester Road and Bannel Lane, Buckley
Re-submitted site	DRU001 Bank Lane Drury
Re-submitted site	DEE009(AS) Land North of Shotwick Road
Re-submitted site	BUC22 Land at Liverpool Road Buckley
Re-submitted site	HWN001 Land at Lower Aston Hall Farm, Hawarden
Re-submitted site	Mancot Lane, Mancot, Queensferry MAN0012
Re-submitted site	Warren Bank Lane, Broughton BROU10
Re-submitted site	Plas Aney, Ruthin Road, Mold MOL002/MOL051
Re-submitted site	Bistre, Well Street, Buckley BUC023, BUC036, BUC055AS
Re-submitted site	land off Church Road, Northop
Re-submitted site	Candidate Site Ref HOL015 Land at wood Lane Pen Y Maes, Holywell
Re-submitted site	PEN050AS Land east of Vounog Hill, Penyffordd
Re-submitted site	Candidate sites HK008
Re-submitted site	Candidate sites HK011
Re-submitted site	Candidate sites HK012
Re-submitted site	HOL018 North of Moor Lane, Holywell
Re-submitted site	HCAC029
Re-submitted site	FLI008
Re-submitted site	HCAC 025 Land off Huxleys Lane Hope
Re-submitted site	HCAC 021 Land West of Gwalia, Bryn Yorkin
Re-submitted site	HCAC 026 Gresford Road Hope
Re-submitted site	Gresford Rd, Hope HCAC028
Re-submitted site	HCAC023 Land rear of 17 Plas Yn Bwl
Re-submitted site	FL1008
Re-submitted site	NEW001/3/9/11 Bryn Y Baal, New Brighton
Re-submitted site	PEN005 Land South of Rhos Road, Penyffordd, Nr Chester
	TREU001 Land adjacent to Bryn Tirion, Ffordd Y Rhos, Treuddyn,
Re-submitted site	Flintshire
Re-submitted site	NH008/020 Wellfield Farm, Northop Hall
Re-submitted site	DRU009 Woodside Cottages, Drury
Re-submitted site	CON 096AS Kelsterton Farm
Re-submitted site	LEE001 Land adj Queens Farm Dingle Rd Leeswood
Re-submitted site	GFD001 Coppy Farm Gwernaffield Road
Re-submitted site	BUC021
Re-submitted site	BROU010 Land to the S of Old Waren Broughton

Changes so	ought		Object	tions seek t	he allocation	of sites			
Summary c			The Council considers that the Plan has identified an						
Response			appropriate housing requirement and that this can be						
•				delivered through the various sources of supply and through a 14.4% flexibility allowance. It is not considered necessary or appropriate for further					
							or in addition		
					ions. The Co				
				site separate					
					ory.				
Minor Char	nge proposec		No						
Policy / pag	je / Para / Ma	ар	HN2 C	Density and	l Mix				
			P151 I	Deposit Pla	n written stat	ement			
Relevant C	ontent of Pla	n	The po	olicy seeks	to ensure that	at new hous	sing		
					ieves a densi				
							lower density		
					articular cha				
					policy also se				
					y type and s		5		
Representa	ations			13 represen					
•			5 obje						
			8 supp						
			0.000						
Comment	Consultee	Cons	sultee	Agent ID	Agent Full	Do vou	Hearing		
Comment ID	Consultee ID		sultee Name	Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support	Hearing attendance		
				Agent ID		support or object			
				Agent ID		support or object to this			
ID	ID					support or object to this policy?	attendance		
ID 429	ID 1230991			1230989		support or object to this policy? Support	attendance Not Stated		
ID 429 598	ID 1230991 1232541			1230989 1232537		support or object to this policy? Support Support	attendance Not Stated Not Stated		
ID 429 598 647	ID 1230991 1232541 1224983			1230989 1232537 1224982		support or object to this policy? Support Support Support	attendance Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated		
ID 429 598 647 714	ID 1230991 1232541 1224983 1233454			1230989 1232537 1224982 1232502		support or object to this policy? Support Support Support Support	attendanceNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedNot Stated		
ID 429 598 647 714 767	ID 1230991 1232541 1224983 1233454 1234011			1230989 1232537 1224982		support or object to this policy? Support Support Support Support Support	attendance Not Stated		
ID 429 598 647 714 767 810	ID 1230991 1232541 1224983 1233454 1234011 1234431			1230989 1232537 1224982 1232502		support or object to this policy? Support Support Support Support Support Object	attendanceNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedYes		
ID 429 598 647 714 767 810 869	ID 1230991 1232541 1224983 1233454 1234011 1234431 1234608			1230989 1232537 1224982 1232502 1233580		support or object to this policy? Support Support Support Support Object Support	attendanceNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedYesYes		
ID 429 598 647 714 767 810 869 930	ID 1230991 1232541 1224983 1233454 1234011 1234431 1234608 1235201			1230989 1232537 1224982 1232502 1233580 1233580 1234870		support or object to this policy? Support Support Support Support Object Support Support Support	attendanceNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedYesYesNot Stated		
ID 429 598 647 714 767 810 869 930 960	ID 1230991 1232541 1224983 1233454 1234011 1234431 1234608 1235201 1149828			1230989 1232537 1224982 1232502 1233580 1233580 1234870 1149800		support or object to this policy? Support Support Support Support Object Support Support Object	attendanceNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedYesYesNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedNot Stated		
ID 429 598 647 714 767 810 869 930 960 975	ID 1230991 1232541 1224983 1233454 1234011 1234431 1234608 1235201 1149828 1235343			1230989 1232537 1224982 1232502 1233580 1233580 1234870 1149800 1149800		support or object to this policy? Support Support Support Support Object Support Object Object	attendanceNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedYesYesNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedNot Stated		
ID 429 598 647 714 767 810 869 930 960 975 992	ID 1230991 1232541 1224983 1233454 1234011 1234431 1234608 1235201 1149828 1235343 1149823			1230989 1232537 1224982 1232502 1233580 1233580 1234870 1149800 1149800 1149800		support or object to this policy? Support Support Support Support Object Support Support Object Object Object	attendanceNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedYesYesNot StatedNot Stated		
ID 429 598 647 714 767 810 869 930 960 975 992 1023	ID 1230991 1232541 1224983 1233454 1234011 1234431 1234608 1235201 1149828 1235343 1149823 1235341			1230989 1232537 1224982 1232502 1233580 1233580 1234870 1149800 1149800 1149800 1149800		support or object to this policy? Support Support Support Support Object Object Object Object Object	attendanceNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedYesYesNot StatedNot Stated		
ID 429 598 647 714 767 810 869 930 960 975 992	ID 1230991 1232541 1224983 1233454 1234011 1234431 1234608 1235201 1149828 1235343 1149823			1230989 1232537 1224982 1232502 1233580 1233580 1234870 1149800 1149800 1149800		support or object to this policy? Support Support Support Support Object Support Support Object Object Object	attendanceNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedYesYesNot StatedNot Stated		
ID 429 598 647 714 767 810 869 930 960 975 992 1023	ID 1230991 1232541 1224983 1233454 1234011 1234431 1234608 1235201 1149828 1235343 1149823 1235341			1230989 1232537 1224982 1232502 1233580 1233580 1234870 1149800 1149800 1149800 1149800		support or object to this policy? Support Support Support Support Object Object Object Object Object	attendanceNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedYesYesNot StatedNot Stated		
ID 429 598 647 714 767 810 869 930 960 975 992 1023 1180	ID 1230991 1232541 1224983 1233454 1234011 1234431 1234608 1235201 1149828 1235343 1149823 1235341 1232503	Full I	Name	1230989 1232537 1224982 1232502 1233580 1233580 1149800 1149800 1149800 1149800 1149800 1149800 1149800	Name	support or object to this policy? Support Support Support Support Object Object Object Object Object Object Support	attendanceNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedYesYesNot StatedNot Stated		
ID 429 598 647 714 767 810 869 930 960 975 992 1023 1180	ID 1230991 1232541 1224983 1233454 1234011 1234431 1234608 1235201 1149828 1235343 1149823 1235341	Full I	Name	1230989 1232537 1224982 1232502 1233580 1233580 1149800 1149800 1149800 1149800 1149800 1149800 1149800 1149800 1233580	Name Name	support or object to this policy? Support Support Support Support Support Object Object Object Object Object Support	attendanceNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedYesYesYesNot StatedNot StatedSsed		
ID 429 598 647 714 767 810 869 930 960 975 992 1023 1180	ID 1230991 1232541 1224983 1233454 1234011 1234431 1234608 1235201 1149828 1235343 1149823 1235341 1232503	Full I	Severa	1230989 1232537 1224982 1232502 1233580 1233580 1234870 1149800 1149800 1149800 1149800 1149800 1149800 1149800 1149800 1233580	s from one ap	support or object to this policy? Support Support Support Support Support Object Object Object Object Object Object Support	attendanceNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedYesYesYesNot StatedNot StatedSsed		
ID 429 598 647 714 767 810 869 930 960 975 992 1023 1180	ID 1230991 1232541 1224983 1233454 1234011 1234431 1234608 1235201 1149828 1235343 1149823 1235341 1232503	Full I	Severa	1230989 1232537 1224982 1232502 1233580 1233580 1234870 1149800 1149800 1149800 1149800 1149800 1149800 1149800 1149800 1233580	Name Name	support or object to this policy? Support Support Support Support Support Object Object Object Object Object Object Support	attendanceNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedYesYesYesNot StatedNot StatedSsed		
ID 429 598 647 714 767 810 869 930 960 975 992 1023 1180	ID 1230991 1232541 1224983 1233454 1234011 1234431 1234608 1235201 1149828 1235343 1149823 1235341 1232503	Full I	Severa	1230989 1232537 1224982 1232502 1233580 1233580 1234870 1149800 1149800 1149800 1149800 1149800 1149800 1149800 1233580 al objections rned that 30 vable on all	s from one and housing alloc	support or object to this policy? Support Support Support Support Support Object Object Object Object Object Object Support	attendanceNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedYesYesNot StatedNot Stated		
ID 429 598 647 714 767 810 869 930 960 975 992 1023 1180	ID 1230991 1232541 1224983 1233454 1234011 1234431 1234608 1235201 1149828 1235343 1149823 1235341 1232503	Full I	Name Severa conce achiev One o	1230989 1232537 1224982 1232502 1233580 1233580 1234870 1149800 1149800 1149800 1149800 1149800 1149800 1149800 1233580 al objections rned that 30 vable on all	s from one and housing alloc	support or object to this policy? Support Support Support Support Support Object Object Object Object Object Object Support	attendanceNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedNot StatedYesYesNot StatedNot StatedSsed		

Changes cought	Two objections expressed the need for a good mix of housing on new sites to be achieved and for this to be controlled. General support for the Plans requirement to strive to achieve 30 dwellings per hectare with one representation explaining that higher densities may be appropriate and achievable.
Changes sought	Not specified
Summary of Council Response	 The Council considers that the policy is robust in seeking to make the best use of land, to create a mix of housing units, at an appropriate density which bring about quality places and living environments. The density figure applies to the net site area. The objector puts forward no evidence that the density cannot be achieved on the Plan's allocated site.
Minor Change proposed	No
Policy / page / Para / Map	HN3 Affordable Housing P152 Deposit Plan written statement
Relevant Content of Plan	The policy sets out the requirements for affordable housing on sites of 10 or more units, based on different sub market areas.
Representations	Total 28 representations: 21 objections 7 support

Comment ID	Consultee ID	Consultee Full Name	Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing attendance
72	1144556				Object	Not Stated
324	1231151		1231150		Object	Yes
350	1231145				Support	Yes
409	1144556				Object	Yes
432	1230991		1230989		Support	Not Stated
599	1232541		1232537		Object	Yes
601	1232541		1232537		Object	Not Stated
613	1232770				Object	Not Stated
649	1224983		1224982		Object	Yes
716	1233454		1232502		Object	Not Stated
753	1233684		1233580		Support	Not Stated
768	1234018		1233580		Object	Not Stated
777	1144593				Support	Not Stated
858	1150807				Object	Not Stated
864	1148956		1148947		Object	Yes
897	1148889		1234839		Object	Yes
923	1230461				Support	Not Stated

931	1235203	1234870	Object	Yes
976			Object Object	Yes
993	1235343	<u>1149800</u> 1149800	Object	
1025	1149823 1235341	1149800	Object	Not Stated Not Stated
		1149800		Yes
1139	1149498		Object	
1145	1234608		Support	Yes
1158	1234431	4000500	Support	Yes
1173	1232503	1233580	Object	Not Stated
1181	1232503	1233580	Object	Not Stated
1264	1149350	1232395	Object	Not Stated
1279	1232396	1232395	Object	Not Stated
Summary	y of representations	Two objections seeks reast requirements will be proper seeks reassurance that the provided is genuinely afford greater clarity on affordable concern that development order to avoid the threshold affordable housing will be r Welsh Government seek cl between the policy and the tenure split of affordable ho The issues arising from the summarized below: Concern about high level n Concern that the level of ne delivered by the Plan The % requirements should and not as a starting point The lack of boundaries on sub market areas Concern the increase in the with the 30% in the UDP is Affordable housing can be condition rather than plann Concerns about the sound Viability Study in terms of Relevance and accuracy of boundaries Assumptions relating to der Assumptions relating to der	rly enforced. Or e affordable hou dable. One object is housing 'contri- sites cannot be d number of uni- required. larity and consi- tequired. ature of viability eed in the terms ousing. bulk of objecti- ature of viability eed in the LHM d be referenced for negotiation the proposals n e % requirement challenging an secured by pla- ing agreement ness and robus f the sub market veloper profit x of units ner policy require d values nstruction costs onormals	he objection using to be ection seeks ributions' and split into its at which stency s of the ons are y study A will not be d as a 'target' haps for the hts compared id unviable nning stness of the et area
		requirements should be rec considered that the policy r than the 51% in the draft N	duced but one o equirements w	objector

Changes sought	Objections seek lower % requirements that are expressed as targets and the inclusion of the market areas on the proposals map. The affordable housing target should also be added to STR1 within the written statement.
Summary of Council Response	Affordable Housing will be delivered at 70% market value for low cost home ownership dwellings to eligible applicants from the Tai Teg register. Intermediate rental dwellings will be let at no more than 80% of private rental values. Applicants must meet specific eligibility criteria in terms of their income and any local connection criteria. This will ensure that only applicants who cannot afford to buy or rent on the open market are offered a property, and that they can afford to live in the property.
	Policy HN3 resists applications to deliberately phase sites to avoid meeting the threshold of 10 for affordable housing contributions. The LHMA has been clarified to show the tenure split between social and intermediate rental. This has shown that the tenure split used within the viability assessment is in line with the findings of the LHMA.
	If the Inspector considers that the affordable housing target should be added to Policy STR1 then the Council would have no objection to this. The target for affordable housing delivery can also be seen in the affordable housing background paper LDP07.
	The viability assessment has been carried out using robust and up to date evidence complied by the District Valuer Services who are part of the Valuation Office Agency who operate on an independent basis. The policy wording does not mean that the Council will be looking for a higher percentage than those specified within Policy HN3, these are simply the starting position for negotiation where the levels are a maximum and which may reduce downwards subject to detailed viability considerations supported by clear and robust evidence.
	The LHMA Sub Market areas are mapped within the LHMA Update 2018. If the Inspector is minded that the implementation of the policy would be improved by adding the LHMA sub-areas to the LDP proposals map then the Council would have no objection to this.
	The viability assessment does not make an allowance for abnormal costs as this would be highly speculative,

	1
	and not appropriate for the majority of sites. Abnormal costs are not the 'norm' therefore if would be unreasonable to apply an element of abnormal costs to all sites.
	Land Value – The DVS have used land values that in their opinion reflect the level required for the land to be released onto the market for residential development. This may well be lower than transactions in the recent past, but the DVS appraisals are based on current market conditions, with the affordable housing requirements as expected at the time and assuming the land is acquired at the date of valuation.
	Housing Mix – The DVS looked at the housing mix on recent developments within Flintshire to reach the mix within the viability assessment.
	Construction Costs –The DVS have used information from their internal quantity surveyors and the BCIS data to establish a current base price per square metre for residential development within the Flintshire area. The DVS reduced the build cost for larger schemes with more than 150 dwellings to reflect the economies of scale achieved by developments of this size. This is based upon the DVS evidence and experience of using build costs when carrying out viability assessments.
	Developer Profit - The DVS have used a profit level of 17.5% which is considered reasonable based upon the nature of the developments and their perceived associated risks.
	It is assumed that the NDF has not carried out bespoke viability assessments within Flintshire therefore the Council cannot apply this percentage and ignore its own viability assessment results.
Minor Change proposed	No
Policy / page / Para / Map	HN4 Housing in the Countryside P154 Deposit Plan written statement
Relevant Content of Plan	The policy provides guidance on proposals for new housing in the open countryside. The policy references TAN6 in respect of rural enterprise dwellings and one planet developments and other more detailed policies.
Representations	Total 4 representations: 2 objection 2 support

Comment ID	Consultee ID	Cons Full N		Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing attendance	
6	1228075					Object	No	
114	1230508					Support	No	
355	1231217					Support	No	
433	1230991			1230989		Object	Not Stated	
Summary c	of representa	tions	hous coun objec the p	ing to be tryside s ction is co olicy and of settle	permitted or uch as forme oncerned with I how sustain	sion within the brownfield sit r mineral sites the restrictive able developm be capable o	es in open . The other e approach of nent on the	
Changes so	ought		Refe	rence in		g to brownfield on the edge of		
Summary of Council Response			make mine refer deve on th The case perm hous with be ap for he (othe sche	e provision rals sites ences no lopment. eir individ policy ref s where n itted. Giv ing requi a 14% fle propriate pusing de er than fo	on for new ho in open count all brownfie Any such pro- dual merits. lects PPW10 new housing ven that the F rement throu exibility, it is n e for provisio evelopment o	appropriate fo using on brow htryside as PP Id land is suita oposal should in setting out in open counti Plan seeks to n gh a varied ho ot understood n to be made i ousing except	nfuield or W clearly ble for be considere the specific ryside may be neet its using supply, why it would n the policy settlements	
Minor Char	nge proposed		No					
Policy / pag	ge / Para / M	ар	HN4B Residential Conversions of Rural Buildings P156 Deposit Plan written statement					
Relevant Content of Plan			The policy provides criteria based guidance in respect of development proposals involving the conversion of rural buildings to housing.					
Representa	ations		Tota	2 repres	entations:			
Comment ID	Consultee ID	Cons Full N		Agent ID	Agent Ful Name	I Do you support or object to	Hearing attendance	

						this		
						policy?		
63	1230290					Support	Not Stated	
289	1229111		1227685 Object Yes					
Summary of representations			The objection considers that the requirements to consider an employment re-use before residential conversion is unreasonable and unnecessary.					
Changes sought			Seeks	deletion of	of criterion a b	and c.		
Summary of Council Response			seekin				roach of le, is justified	
Minor Chan	ge proposed		No					
Policy / page / Para / Map					elopment in		Houses	
Deleverat Operators of Disa					an written sta		on in roomant	
Relevant Content of Plan			of infill		des criteria ba proposals with yside.			
Representa	tions		Total 1	represer	tation – objec	tion		
			Sched	ule of indi	vidual reps;			
Comment	Consultee	Cons	ultee	Agent	Agent Full	Do you	Hearing	
ID	ID	Full	Name	ID	Name	support or object to this policy?	attendance	
275	1229111			1227685		Object	Yes	
Summary of	f representat	ions	afforda	ble housi	aims that the ng and should mix with marl	d be amend	ed to include	
Changes so	ought		Seeks amendment to deliver market or a mix of market / affordable.					
Summary of Council Response			The Council considers the policy is reasonable in seeking to provide for opportunities for dwellings in rural areas where there is a local need for housing which cannot be met due to prevailing house prices.					
Minor Chan	ge proposed		No					
	e / Para / Ma	·	P159 [Deposit P	le Housing E an written sta	tement		
	ontent of Plar	ר	housin settlerr	g exception	ons schemes daries.		ale affordable e of	
Representa	tions		Total 4 3 objec 1 supp		itations:			

Comment ID	Consultee ID	Consultee Full Name		Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing attendance		
273	1229111			1227685		Object	Yes		
623	1230050					Support	No		
1132	1234608					Object	Yes		
1185	1149498					Object	Yes		
Summary o	ought	itions	excep settler object and lo housir subsid the fac develo	tions scher ments and ion conside cally) and ng as part of dise the afford that the popments ar ion of mark	mes are only not in Tier 1 ers the polic that the polic of such deve ordable eler policy does nd that the p	hy affordable y permitted in settlements. y has failed (cy should allo elopments to nent. One ob not quantify s policy is open	n Tier 2-5 One nationally ow for marke cross jection is to such to abuse.		
			afford includ	affordable housing. Amendment of policy wording to include Tier 1 settlements.					
Summary of Council Response			nation marke settler should not po numbe policy	The Council considers that the policy fully reflects national guidance which presently does not allow market housing on exceptions schemes outside settlement boundaries. It is accepted that the policy should also apply to Tier 1 settlements. However, it is not possible for the policy to prescribe in advance the number of such developments coming forward but the policy does provide controls over the size and configuration of such developments.					
Minor Cha	nge propose	d	Inclusion of Tier 1 settlements in the opening part of policy wording and a consequential change to STR2a) in respect of Tier 1 settlements.						
Policy / pa	ge / Para / M	ар	HN6 Annex Accommodation P161 Deposit Plan Written Statement						
Relevant Content of Plan			The policy provides criteria based guidance in respect of development proposals for annex accommodation.						
Representations		Total	2 represen	tations – ob	jections				
Comment ID	Consultee ID	Consu Full N		Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing attendance		
				-					
122	1230593					Object	No		

Summary of representations			Objection to third sentence of para 11.27 which is considered to be factually incorrect in the context of previous court decisions. Objection considers the policy to be prescription in seeking to control annex accommodation.					
Changes sought						27 of explanatic f i) and iii)	on.	
Summary of Council Response			The Cou explanati creation	ncil cons ion is app of accom	iders the propriate i modatior	policy wording in seeking to pr which in effect open countrysid	event the t is a free	
Minor Change proposed			No			• •		
	ge / Para / Ma	P162 De	posit Pla	n Written	Occupation Statement			
Relevant C	Content of Pla	n				based approa		
Represent	ations				ation – ob		•	
Comment ID	Consultee ID	Cons Name	sultee Full e	Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing attendance	
1144	1149498					Object	Not Stated	
Changes s Summary o Response	of Council		demonst concentra determin Revision The Cou register of concentra of further to addres	rate whe ations of ing what s to polic ncil does of HMO's ations of ' HMO's	re areas a HMO's'. <u>cumulativ</u> y wording not prese with whic HMO's w can be pr	additional evide are located whi This would assive impacts wou impacts wou impacts wou impacts wou impacts would thereby cumula evented. Work ments concerns	ch have 'over ist in Id be. curate eographical tive impacts is continuing	
Minor Cha	nge proposec		No					
Policy / pag	ge / Para / Ma	ар	HN8 Gypsy and Traveller Sites (general representation)					
Relevant C	Content of Pla	n	The policy identifies three site allocations which to meet the need for residential pitches identified in the GTAA as well as the identification of a transit site.					
Represent	ations				ation – ob			
Comment	Consultee		ultee Full	Agent		Do you	Hearing	

						object to this policy?		
1140 *	1149498					Object	Not Stated	
Summary of r	ions	 Welsh Government seeks clarity on the position regarding the two GTAA's in ordere to ensure that need is evidenced in an approved Study. The Council needs to demonstrate that the 5 year and Plan period need can be met through suitable and deliverable site allocations. Identifies that the Magazine Lane is within a green barrier and needs to be justified in terms of PPW10 and also overlaps with a landfill buffer zone – EN20. Identifies that the Riverside site is within C1 and will require a FCA in line with TAN15. 						
Changes sou	aht		allocatio	-		to address cor	cerns	
Summary of 0 Response	Council		 Seeks additional evidence to address concerns. Welsh Government Equalities Division have confirment that the earlier GTAA is still valid in terms of establishing need over the Plan period. In responses to other objections on the Magazine I allocation the Council has confirmed that the Inspecies requested to consider the appropriateness of an alternative remodeling of the existing authorized signature than a physical extension. In response to other objections on the Riverside allocation th4e Council has explained that a FCA b undertaken and further work arising from this. The Castle Park transit site allocation does not over with the solar allocation (which now has planning) 				ve confirmed of agazine Lane the Inspector ess of an norized site verside t a FCA been this.	
Minor Change	e proposed		permissi	/				
Policy / page	/ Para / Ma	p	Ewloe	eposit P	lan written	er Sites – Mag statement	jazine Lane,	
Relevant Con	itent of Plar	1				it Magazine Lar g residential sit		
Representations			Total 6 r 4 objecti 2 suppor	epreser on				

Comment ID	Consultee ID			Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing attendance		
568	1232435					Object	Not Stated		
665	1146891					Support	Not Stated		
1028	1235357					Support	Not Stated		
1126	1236754					Object	Not Stated		
1192	1230433					Object	Not Stated		
1215	1227700			1227685		Object	Not Stated		
Summary of Changes s	of representa	are set		e Council's	riety of issues summary of	and these			
Summary of	of Council		The Co	ouncil has	s explained	in the summa	v of		
Response			conside extensi Inspect of the r physica	ered to re on to the or is requ emodelin al extensi ly under o	present a s existing au uested to co g of the exist on to the sit	ses that the s ustainable and thorized site. I onsider the app sting site, rath re. A planning on for an addit	d reasonable However, the propriateness er than a application is		
Minor Char	nge propose	d							
Policy / pa	ge / Para / M	ар	Estyn,	Норе	nd Travelle an written s		rn Lane, Cae		
Relevant C	ontent of Pla	an	The policy allocates land at Gwern Lane, Hope for an extension to the existing residential site.						
Representa	ations			7 represe	entations:				
0	Consultee	Consu	ultee Full	Agent I	D Agent Fu	III Do you	Hearing		
Comment ID	ID	Name			Name	support or object to this policy?	attendance		
	ID 1229657	Name			-	support or object to this	attendance Not Stated		
ID		Name			-	support or object to this policy? Object	Not Stated		
ID 30	1229657	Name			-	support or object to this policy?	Not Stated Not Stated		
ID 30 241	1229657 1229189	Name			-	support or object to this policy? Object Object Object	Not Stated		
ID 30 241 251	1229657 1229189 1230990 1231109	Name			-	supportor objectto thispolicy?ObjectObjectObjectObjectObject	Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated		
ID 30 241 251 315 371	1229657 1229189 1230990 1231109 1231214	Name			-	support or object to this policy? Object Object Object Object Object	Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated		
ID 30 241 251 315 371 379	1229657 1229189 1230990 1231109 1231214 1231242	Name			-	support or object to this policy? Object Object Object Object Object Object	Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated		
ID 30 241 251 315 371 379 431	1229657 1229189 1230990 1231109 1231214 1231242 1231323	Name			-	support or object to this policy? Object Object Object Object Object Object Object	Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated		
ID 30 241 251 315 371 379 431 460	1229657 1229189 1230990 1231109 1231214 1231242 1231323 1231401	Name			-	support or object to this policy? Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object Object	Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated		
ID 30 241 251 315 371 379 431	1229657 1229189 1230990 1231109 1231214 1231242 1231323	Name			-	support or object to this policy? Object Object Object Object Object Object Object	Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated		

669	1233157					Object	Not Stated			
1040	1231177					Object	Not Stated			
1041	1235707					Object	Not Stated			
1216	1227700			1227685		Object	Not Stated			
1220	1235357					Support	Not Stated			
1231	1146891					Support	Not Stated			
Summary o	f representat	ions	are se	Objections have raised a variety of issues and these are set out in the Council's summary of representations.						
Changes so	ought		Seek o	deletion of	site or red	luction in scale	Э.			
Summary o Response	f Council				in the summanses that the s	•				
Response						sustainable an				
						uthorized site.				
					•	ote that the nu				
						be less. A pla				
						ler consideration				
				onal 4 pitch						
Minor Change proposed			No							
	<u> </u>									
Deliny / neg	o / Doro / Mr			Cupou on	d Trovall		araida			
Policy / pag	e / Para / Ma	ιp		isferry	u Travell	er Sites – Riv	erside,			
				Deposit Pla	n writton	statomont				
Relevant C	ontent of Pla	n					uponsforry for			
Relevant C		11	The policy allocates land at Riverside, Queensferry for an extension to the existing residential site.							
Representa	tions		Total 5 representations:							
•			2 objection							
			3 support							
Comment	Consultee		ultee	Agent ID	Agent	Do you	Hearing			
ID	ID	Full r	Name		Full Name	support or object to this policy?	attendance			
69	1230290					Support	Not Stated			
1057	1229839					Object	Not Stated			
1217	1227700			1227685		Object	Not Stated			
1222	1235357					Support	Not Stated			
1233	1146891					Support	Not Stated			
Summonyo	frontacontal	ione	Object	ione have	raicod a v	arioty of issue	c and those			
Summary 0	f representat	10112	-			ariety of issue summary of	s and these			
				entations.	Council S	Summary Of				
					allocation		t with a aita in			
Changes of	waht	Changes sought			Seek Deletion of allocation / replacement with a site in					
Changes so	bught		Mynydd Isa							
•				ld Isa	ovolainad	in the summe	inv of			
Summary o			The C	ld Isa ouncil has	•	in the summa	•			
•			The C repres	ld Isa ouncil has entations a	and respo	nses that the s	site is			
Summary o			The C repres consid	ld Isa ouncil has entations a lered to rep	and respon present a s		site is d reasonable			

	comments on a FCA by NRW further work is progressing in terms of establishing compensatory flood water storage in order to address the raising of site levels, given the sites location in C1 flood risk zone.
Minor Change proposed	No
Policy / page / Para / Map	HN8.4 Gypsy and Traveller Sites – Castle Park Industrial Estate, Flint P164 Deposit Plan written statement
	F 104 Deposit Flan whiten statement
Relevant Content of Plan	The policy allocates land at Castle Park Industrial Estate, Flint for a new transit site.

Comment ID	Consultee ID	Consultee Full Name	Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing attendance
21	1229203				Object	Not Stated
33	1229826				Object	Not Stated
101	1230419				Object	Not Stated
110	1230477				Object	Not Stated
168	1230678				Object	Not Stated
278	1231054				Object	Not Stated
287	1231068				Object	Not Stated
567	1232356		1232358		Object	Not Stated
606	1232722				Object	Not Stated
1223	1235357				Support	Not Stated
1234	1146891				Support	Not Stated

Summary of representations	Objections have raised a variety of issues and these
	are set out in the Council's summary of
	representations.
Changes sought	Seeks deletion of allocation
Summary of Council	The Council has explained in the summary of
Response	representations and responses that the site is
	considered to represent a sustainable brownfield site
	with which to accommodate a small transit site.
Minor Change proposed	No
Policy / page / Para / Map	HN9 Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation
	P166 Deposit Plan written statement
Relevant Content of Plan	The policy provides a criteria based approach to the
	consideration of any gypsy and traveler development
	proposals arising over the Plan period.
Representations	Total 3 representations – objections
-	

Comment ID	Consultee ID	Consu Full N		Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing attendance
240	1147889			1230687		Support	Not Stated
840	1234513			1234487		Object	Not Stated
1141	1149498					Object	Not Stated
Summary of Changes se Summary of Response		ations	seek is cc guid One restr seek Mind The polic 008/ follo Dele Ren Ame subr to m crite shou polic dem be n pern It is refer on th	c amendme onsidered ance in A objection ictions on <u>cs minor v</u> or change Council a cy and exp 15, subject ws: ete criteria umber criteria ete criteria umber criteria umber criteria di para 1 e in the P elopment nitted dur eet a spe ria based uld be rea cies. It will onstrate t net on exit nission.' not considerence the suggeste	b)	.37 as the p strictive in the lar 005/19. Delicy should NB. Another to the police explanation of for revision of for revision of the police to the police to the police of the provision policy takes using such p Plan's frame or each app pecific need es with plan of for the police to the police to the police of the police	e light of l place r objection y. s to the ircular spector, as ovision being re may be aveller sites arly in order the form of a roposals and ework of licant to which cannot ning cy to les guidance d as a whole.
Minor Char	nge propose	d	No				

Appendix 21f Main Issues – Development management Policies – Valuing the Environment

Policy / page / Para / Map Relevant Content of Plan Representations			opment M onment - C		Policies –	Valuing the	
		n/a Total 6 representations: 2 objections 4 support					
Comment ID	Consultee ID	Consul Name	tee Full	Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing Attendance
949	1149828			1149800			Not Stated
539	1232265						Not Stated
686	1233338			1233325		Object	Not Stated
995	1235341			1149800			Not Stated
932	1235344			1149800		Object	Not Stated
964	1235343			1149800			Not Stated
<u>Changes s</u> Summary c			Transr Storag develo areas existin Deesic Para 9 mentic land; y signific policy how th Refere	nission Co le Facilities pments at where ther g transmis de Power S 5 is almos on is made ret despite cant weigh and makes is might has ences Seal	Station, Con st the only pl of protecting this and the t on BMV the s no mentior	ergy Genera support for ations in em blished ener ucture. Sugg nah's Quay. lace in the L g high qualit fact PPW1(e LDP is dev of any asse ed housing so ment site?	ation and such ployment rgy use or gests former DP where y agricultural D places void of any essment of site selection.
Response			propos the Co unspec suitabl develo such d of the project propos develo	sals and te nnah's Qu cified sites e for the p pment. Th levelopme LPA as the ts. The Pla sals where pment is v	chnical evid ay Power Si throughout roposed (un e objector a nt proposals ey constitute n should on there is evid iable, delive	ence to dem tation (and c the County) specified) us lready recog may fall out major infras ly include sit dence that th rable and su	onstrate that other might be se or gnises that tside the scop structure

	the Plan to include the policy wording proposed by the objector.
	The objector recognises that the protection of Best and Most Versatile Agricultural Land is clearly and fully set out in paras 3.54-3.55 of PPW10. It is not necessary or desirable for LDP's to slavishly repeat national guidance from PPW10. Indeed, para 3.11 of Development Plan Manual 3 states 'An LDP should not repeat national policy. Plans should not be a compendium of policies to cover every eventuality'. The adopted LDP will clearly need to be read in the context of LDP. There is no objection from Welsh Government in their representations on the Plan regarding the lack of a policy on agricultural land. The Deposit Plan is also supported by Background Paper.
Minor Change proposed	No
Policy / page / Para / Map	EN1 Sports Recreation and Cultural Facilities p168 Deposit Plan written statement
Relevant Content of Plan	The policy sets out a criteria based approach to considering development proposals which would result in a loss of facilities. The policy also requires new residential development to provide open space.
Representations	Total 4 representations: 3 objection 1 support

Comment ID	Consultee ID	Consultee Full Name	Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing Attendance
322	1231153		1231150		Object	Yes
323	1231151		1231150		Object	Yes
785	1144593				Object	Not Stated
648	1224983		1224982		Support	Not Stated

Summary of representations	Two objections consider that the policy lacks clarity on the requirements for open space, in the absence of an up to date SPG.
	One objection seeks assurances that the lesire facilities and walking and cycling routes at the Warren Hall development will be available to benefit the wider community.
Changes sought	Seeks amendment to policy / production of SPG
Summary of Council Response	The Council is presently undertaking an Open Space Survey in order to inform open space standards and requirements. The Could will include requirements

	within policy wording if considered necessary and appropriate.
Minor Change proposed	No
Policy / page / Para / Map	EN2 Green Infrastructure
	p169 Deposit Plan written statement
Relevant Content of Plan	The policy seeks to protect green infrastructure and seek the establishment of green infrastructure as part of new development. The policy also seeks to protect 173 green spaces throughout the County.
Representations	Total 12 representations: 9 objections 3 support

Comment ID	Consultee ID	Consultee Full Name	Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing Attendance
56	1228731		1228728		Object	Not Stated
229	1147889		1230687		Support	Not Stated
149	1230363				Object	No
210	1230905				Support	Not Stated
415	1144556				Object	No
391	1231156				Support	Not Stated
859	1150807				Object	Not Stated
843	1234528		1234487		Object	Not Stated
1155	1234431				Object	Not Stated
1160	1234431				Object	Yes
1148	1234608				Object	Yes
1136	1234608				Object	Yes

Cummon of non-no-ontations	An objection considers that the nation is mare exercise
Summary of representations	An objection considers that the policy is more onerous
	than PPW and seeks amended policy wording. Another
	objection seeks clarity on whether the policy applies to
	the site and immediate surroundings or the anywhere in
	the County.
	One objector considers that 'Country parks' should be
	identified on the proposals map.
	Other objections are site specific referring to:
	a recent planning permission on Mold Business Park
	and seeking the relocation of the greenspace from the
	front of the site to land at the rear of the site in order to
	reflect the planning permission.
	The housing allocation at Ash Lane, Hawarden
	resulting in the loss of green infrastructure
	various land in Mold which is or isn't designated as
	green space and also seeks reference to the Mold
	'Green band' in the Plan.

	Several parcels of land in and adjacent to Penyffordd / Penymynydd to be designated as green space
Changes sought	Seek amendments to policy and designations / allocations
Summary of Council Response	The Council considers the policy reasonable and justified in the context of PPW10 which attaches great importance to green infrastructure as part of placemaking. The policy seeks to protect green infrastructure County wide but in connection with new development proposals must be reasonably related to the development concerned.
	It is not appropriate or necessary to safeguard Country parks as greenspace as these are already protected by virtue of their location in open countryside and that they are managed by public bodies.
	In terms of the site specific objections: the greenspace at Mold Business can be reviewed based on progress in implementing the planning application. The Ash Lane housing development will not result in the loss of green space as existing green infrastructure features can be retained and incorporated as part of a green infrastructure network. It is not considered necessary to protect every piece of land as green space in Mold as policy EN1 provides general protection to such land. It is unclear why the Plan needs to reference the Mold Green Band as this is a project that can progress outside of the Plan making process. The Inspector may consider that there is benefit in extending greenspace EN1.127 to include all of the green space and ensure that this whole block of open land is treated in a similar manner. The sites referenced in Penyffordd / Penyffordd are not considered to be necessary or appropriate to be designated as greenspaces.
Minor Change proposed	No
Policy / page / Para / Map	EN4 Landscape Character P175 Deposit Plan written statement
Relevant Content of Plan	Policy requires new development to have regard to landscape character.
Representations	Total 8 representations: 5 objections 3 support

Comment ID	Consultee ID		Consultee Full Name		ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing Attendance
230	1147889				87		Support	Not Stated
393	1231156						Support	Not Stated
962	1149828			11498	00		Object	Not Stated
977	1235343			11498			Object	Not Stated
834	1234500			12344			Support	Not Stated
947	1235344			11498			Object	Not Stated
994	1149823			11498			Object	Not Stated
1026	1235341			11498			Object	Not Stated
Summary o	f representa	ations	not j	• •	y any	v evidence	olicy cannot of special la	t stand as it is andscape
Changes so	puaht		Not	specified				
Summary of					es no	ot seek to i	dentify any	specific
Response			land new cons deve	scape are developr sidered. T	eas b nent 'he a	out simply r on landsca pplication c		t the impact of er is to specific
Minor Chan	ge propose	d	No					
Policy / pag	e / Para / M	ap	EN5 Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty P176 Deposit Plan written statement					
Relevant Co	ontent of Pla	an	The policy seeks to ensure that new development conserves and enhances the natural beauty of the AONB. The policy sets out a criteria based approach to assessing development proposals.					
Representa	Representations		Tota 1 ob	I 2 repres jection pport				
Comment ID	Consultee ID	Consul Full Na		Agent ID	Age Nam	nt Full ne	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing Attendance
231	1147889			1230687			Support	Not Stated
1077	1229839						Object	Not Stated
Summary o	The	-			erence to 's n also raise	etting' s the following		

148 394 1078	1230363 1231156 1229839					Object Object Object	No Not Stated Not Stated	
				1	1	Object		
Comment ID	Consultee ID	Consulto Name	ee Full	Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing Attendance	
Representations			Total 3	3 represe	entations – ot	ojections		
Dennesset			and local nature conservation designations and offers guidance on each in terms of considering development proposals.					
Relevant C	Content of P	lan	P177 Deposit Plan written statement The policy sets out a hierarchy of international, national					
Policy / pag	ge / Para / I	Иар	EN6 Sites of Biodiversity Importance					
	.30 010000							
Minor Cha	nge propos	ed	No					
			wordin Refere and th The be and na shown object	ng as a w ence has le recentioundary oundary ature con n on the c ion in prim	hole. already been y adopted jo of all nationa servation de constraints m nciple to inclu		gement Plan, ra 12.21. al landscape ve been there is no NB on the	
response	Response		openir consic The a	ng part of lered app dditional	ⁱ policy is cor propriate by t wording at th	he Inspector. he end of crite e context of th	onable, if ria c is not	
Summary	-		propo: Broad	sals map support	s. is noted and		e points made	
Changes s	ouaht		maps	and no th	ne constraint			
			Seeks reference in para 12.17 that the 'special qualities' of the AONB are referenced in the AONB Management Plan. Seeks inclusion of AONB boundary on the proposals					
			Seeks	eeks to e	nd of criterior	n c the additio character and		
						e to 'setting' ir	n the opening	

Summary of representations	One objection raises concerns about the biodiversity value of the Ash Lane, Hawarden housing allocation.
	NRW seek reference to 'geodiversity' in the policy title. NRW also seek in the third section of the policy wording

				•	riority hab	oitats' after	'priority	
<u></u>	nges sought		species'.					
			Seeks deletion of Ash lane housing allocation / The key features of biodiversity value at the Ash Lane					
Summary of Council Response								
					d hedgerow			
			-	•	-	en infrastru		
		ecologic	al framewo	rk for the	developme	ent of the site.		
		explanat would ha the Inspe consider referring wording	tion is adeq ave no obje ector consi is the policy	uate in co ction to a ders appr and its e bitats and	mending the opriate. The private of the optimate of the optimate of the optimation o	odiversity, but ne policy title i ne Council		
Minor Char	nge proposed	ג	No					
Policy / pag	ge / Para / M	ар	EN7 Dev	velopment	Affectin	g Trees, W	oodlands an	
			Hedgero					
				eposit Plan				
Relevant C	ontent of Pla	in				hat new de		
							vs. However,	
							s where loss	
						ets out miti	gation	
			measures in addressing this.					
Representations								
Representa	ations		Total 8 r	epresentat				
Representa	ations		6 objecti	epresentat ons				
Representa	ations			epresentat ons				
Representa	ations		6 objecti	epresentat ons				
		Cons	6 objecti 2 suppor	epresentat ons rt	ions:		Hearing	
Representa Comment ID	Consultee	Cons	6 objecti 2 suppor	epresentat ons		Do you support	Hearing Attendance	
Comment	Consultee		6 objecti 2 suppor	epresentat ons rt	Agent	Do you		
Comment	Consultee		6 objecti 2 suppor	epresentat ons rt	Agent Full	Do you support or object to		
Comment	Consultee		6 objecti 2 suppor	epresentat ons rt	Agent Full	Do you support or object to this		
Comment ID	Consultee ID		6 objecti 2 suppor	epresentat ons rt	Agent Full	Do you support or object to this policy?	Attendance	
Comment ID 177	Consultee ID 1230328		6 objecti 2 suppor	epresentat ons rt	Agent Full	Do you support or object to this policy? Object	Attendance Not Stated	
Comment ID 177 416	Consultee ID 1230328 1144556		6 objecti 2 suppor	epresentat ons rt	Agent Full	Do you support or object to this policy? Object	Attendance Not Stated No	
Comment ID 177 416 279	Consultee ID 1230328 1144556 1230328		6 objecti 2 suppor	epresentat ons rt	Agent Full	Do you support or object to this policy? Object Object	Attendance Not Stated No Not Stated	
Comment ID 177 416 279 396	Consultee ID 1230328 1144556 1230328 1231156		6 objecti 2 suppor	epresentat ons rt	Agent Full	Do you support or object to this policy? Object Object Object Support	Attendance Not Stated No Not Stated Not Stated	
Comment ID 177 416 279 396 395	Consultee ID 1230328 1144556 1230328 1231156 1231156		6 objecti 2 suppor	epresentations rt Agent ID	Agent Full	Do you support or object to this policy? Object Object Object Support	Attendance Not Stated No Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated	
Comment ID 177 416 279 396 395 600	Consultee ID 1230328 1144556 1230328 1231156 1231156 1232541		6 objecti 2 suppor	epresentations rt Agent ID	Agent Full	Do you support or object to this policy? Object Object Object Support Support Object	Attendance Not Stated No Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated	
Comment ID 177 416 279 396 395 600 781	Consultee ID 1230328 1144556 1230328 1231156 1231156 1232541 1234088		6 objecti 2 suppor	epresentations rt Agent ID Agent ID	Agent Full	Do you support or object to this policy? Object Object Object Support Support Object	Attendance Attendance Not Stated	
Comment ID	Consultee ID		6 objecti 2 suppor	epresentat ons rt	Agent Full	Do you support or object to this policy?	Attendand	
Comment ID 177 416 279 396 395 600	Consultee ID 1230328 1144556 1230328 1231156 1231156 1232541		6 objecti 2 suppor	epresentations rt Agent ID	Agent Full	Do you support or object to this policy? Object Object Object Support Support Object	Attendance Not Stated No Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated	
Comment ID 177 416 279 396 395 600 781	Consultee ID 1230328 1144556 1230328 1231156 1231156 1232541 1234088		6 objecti 2 suppor	epresentations rt Agent ID Agent ID	Agent Full	Do you support or object to this policy? Object Object Object Support Support Object	Attendance Attendance Not Stated	
Comment ID 177 416 279 396 395 600	Consultee ID 1230328 1144556 1230328 1231156 1231156 1232541		6 objecti 2 suppor	epresentations rt Agent ID	Agent Full	Do you support or object to this policy? Object Object Object Support Support Object	Attendance Not Stated No Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated	
Comment ID 177 416 279 396 395 600 781 721	Consultee ID 1230328 1144556 1230328 1231156 1231156 1232541 1234088 1233454	Name	6 objecti 2 suppor	epresentations rt Agent ID Agent ID I1232537 1233580 1232502	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy? Object Object Object Support Support Object Object Object Object	Attendance Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated	
Comment ID 177 416 279 396 395 600 781 721	Consultee ID 1230328 1144556 1230328 1231156 1231156 1232541 1234088	Name	6 objecti 2 suppor	epresentations rt Agent ID I 1232537 I 233580 I 232502 ection is sit	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy? Object Object Object Support Support Object Object Object Object	Attendance Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated the designation	
Comment ID 177 416 279 396 395 600 781 721	Consultee ID 1230328 1144556 1230328 1231156 1231156 1232541 1234088 1233454	Name	6 objecti 2 suppor	epresentations rt Agent ID 1232537 1233580 1232502 ection is sit on the edge	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy? Object Object Object Support Support Object Object Object Object	Attendance Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated	
Comment ID 177 416 279 396 395 600 781 721	Consultee ID 1230328 1144556 1230328 1231156 1231156 1232541 1234088 1233454	Name	6 objecti 2 suppor	epresentations rt Agent ID 1232537 1233580 1232502 ection is sit on the edge	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy? Object Object Object Support Support Object Object Object Object	Attendance Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated the designation	
Comment ID 177 416 279 396 395 600 781 721	Consultee ID 1230328 1144556 1230328 1231156 1231156 1232541 1234088 1233454	Name	6 objecti 2 suppor	epresentations rt Agent ID 1232537 1233580 1232502 ection is sit on the edge	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy? Object Object Object Support Support Object Object Object Object	Attendance Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated the designation	
Comment ID 177 416 279 396 395 600 781 721	Consultee ID 1230328 1144556 1230328 1231156 1231156 1232541 1234088 1233454	Name	6 objecti 2 support	epresentations rt Agent ID Agent ID I232537 I233580 I232502 ection is sit on the edge d'.	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy? Object Object Object Support Object Object Object Object Object object	Attendance Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated the designation	
Comment ID 177 416 279 396 395 600 781 721	Consultee ID 1230328 1144556 1230328 1231156 1231156 1232541 1234088 1233454	Name	6 objecti 2 suppor	epresentations rt Agent ID 1232537 1233580 1232502 ection is sit on the edge d'. ection cons	Agent Full Name e specific of Holyw	Do you support or object to this policy? Object Object Object Support Support Object Object Object Object object relating to relating to relating to	Attendance Attendance Not Stated Stated Not Stated Not Stated Stated Not Stated Stated Stated Not Stated Stated Stated Not Stated Stated Not Stated Stated Not Stated Stated Not Stated Not Stated Stored ancien is too firm and	
Comment ID 177 416 279 396 395 600 781 721	Consultee ID 1230328 1144556 1230328 1231156 1231156 1232541 1234088 1233454	Name	6 objecti 2 support ultee Full One obje of land c woodlan One obje should a	epresentations rt Agent ID Agent ID I232537 I233580 I232502 Ection is sit on the edge d'. Ection cons illow some	Agent Full Name e specific of Holyw	Do you support or object to this policy? Object Object Object Support Support Object Object Object Object Object erelating to rell as a 'res	Attendance Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated the designation	

	the word 'significant' in the policy wording and seek a rewording of the policy. The remaining objection is to
	the concept of a 'net gain in biodiversity' which is
Changes sought	claimed to be not supported by national policy.
Changes sought	Seek policy amendments
Summary of Council Response	The designation of land as 'restored ancient woodland' is not a matter for the development as this is shown only on the constraints map. The objector can pursue this outside of the LDP.
	The policy is essentially split into two parts. The first part seeks to prevent the 'significant' loss of or harm to trees, woodland or hedgerows. However the second part of the policy recognizes that it some cases an impact on trees, woodland or hedgerows may be acceptable, provided that it satisfies the three criteria. This second part of the policy would appear to meet the concerns of the objector. The concept of a net gain in biodiversity is considered appropriate in the context of para 6.4.5 of PPW10 which references biodiversity enhancements and benefits.
Minor Change proposed	No
Policy / page / Para / Map	EN8 Built Historic Environment and Listed Buildings P180 Deposit Plan written statement
Relevant Content of Plan	The policy provides guidance in respect of listed buildings, Scheduled ancient Monuments, other archaeological remains and historic parks, gardens and landscapes.
Representations	Total 3 representations: 1 objection 2 support

Comment ID	Consultee ID	Consultee Full Name	Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing Attendance
64	1230290				Support	No
152	1230363				Object	No
398	1231156				Support	Not Stated
Summary o	Ash La		arden alloo	e context of thi cation in terms		

The two supporting representations highlight that historic structures are often of importance for protected

				s and not emains'.	e that 'asse	ts' is a more ι	up to date term		
Changes so	ought			Deletion of Ash Lane, Hawarden housing allocation					
Summary of			The Council's summary representations and responses						
Response						al details on t			
						isted farmhou			
Minor Chan	ge proposed		No						
Policy / pag	e / Para / Ma	ıр	EN9 D	evelopm	ent in or Ac	djacent to Co	onservation		
			Areas			1 - 1			
Delevent	antant of Dia				an written s		mont within or		
Relevant Co	ontent of Plai	1				areas preser	ment within or		
				•	acter and ap		Vilig Ol		
Representa	tions				itation – obj				
Comment	Consultee	Con	sultee	Agent	Agent Full	Do you	Hearing		
ID	ID		Name	ID	Name	support or	Attendance		
						object to			
151	1230363					this policy? Object	No		
101	1230303					Object	NO		
Summary o	f representat	ions					s policy to the		
			Ash Lane, Hawarden allocation in terms of the site being next to a conservation area.						
			peing i	iext to a t	conservation	i area.			
Changes so	ouaht		Seeks deletion of housing allocation						
Summary o			The Ash Lane site does not sit adjacent to the						
Response			Hawarden conservation area.						
Minor Chan	ge proposed		No						
Policy / pag	e / Para / Ma	n	EN10	Ruilding	of Local I	nterest			
r olloy / pag		Υ	EN10 Buildings of Local Interest P182 Deposit Plan written statement						
Relevant Co	ontent of Pla	า	The policy sets out the circumstances where the						
			demolition or alteration of a Building of Local Interest						
			will be permitted.						
Representa	Representations				Total 1 representation – objection				
Comment	Consultee	Cons	ultee	Agent	Agent Full	Do you	Hearing		
ID	ID	Full N		ID	Name	support or	Attendance		
						object to			
298	1229111			1227685		this policy? Object	Yes		
230	1223111			1221000			163		
Summary o	f representat	ions	The ob	jection is	not to the p	olicy itself but	t the		
-	-			•		re designated			
			pioces		ILLI DLI 5 di	ic ucsignated	•		

Changes sought	Seeks reference in the explanatory test to the process of identification and inclusion of properties on the list.
Summary of Council	The Council considers that this is a procedural matter
Response	that can be progressed outside of the development
	plan.
Minor Change proposed	No
Policy / page / Para / Map	EN11Green Barriers
	P184 Deposit Plan written statement
	Background Paper 01 Green Barrier
Relevant Content of Plan	The policy identifies 16 green barriers across the
	County and provides guidance on development which
	is permissible within a green barrier.
Representations	Total 35 representations:
	25 objections
	7 support
	3 not specified

Comment ID	Consultee ID	Consultee Full Name	Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing Attendance
92	1227700		1227685		Object	Not Stated
93	1229110		1227685			Not Stated
125	1150437				Support	Not Stated
195	1226342		1226341		Object	Not Stated
150	1230363				Object	Not Stated
232	1147889		1230687		Support	Not Stated
155	1228141				Support	Not Stated
417	1144556				Support	Not Stated
326	1229111		1227685		Object	Not Stated
246	1230977				Object	Not Stated
434	1230991		1230989			Not Stated
400	1231156				Support	Not Stated
916	1141895		1234985		Object	Not Stated
555	1149198		1148968		Object	Not Stated
963	1149828		1149800		Object	Not Stated
650	1224983		1224982		Object	Not Stated
901	1230826				Support	Not Stated
603	1232680		1232659		Object	Not Stated
625	1233028		1232939		Object	Not Stated
660	1233248		1149190		Object	Not Stated
620	1232940		1232939		Object	Not Stated
819	1234480		788759			Not Stated
948	1235344		1149800		Object	Not Stated
1163	1149498				Object	Not Stated
1159	1234431				Object	Not Stated
1147	1234608				Object	Not Stated
1027	1235341		1149800		Object	Not Stated
851	1234550		1234487		Object	Not Stated
875	1234645		1234643		Object	Not Stated

978	1235343			1149800		Object	Not Stated	
1263	1149350			1232395		Support	Not Stated	
996	1149823			1149800		Object	Not Stated	
1285	1232506			1232501		Object	Not Stated	
1154	1234431					Object	Not Stated	
1134	1234608					Object	Not Stated	
Summary of representations			Welsh Government consider that green barriers should be renamed green wedges in line with PPW10. The remaining objections are all site specific whether: Seeking the deletion of green barriers in order to promote housing development Objecting to particular green barriers Seeking the designation of green barriers Objecting to the drawing back of green barriers to facilitate housing allocations in the Plan.					
Changes sought Summary of Council Response			The Co other lo in line Inspec not obj The su	ocal design with nationa tor conside ect to this. mmary rep	iders that PP ations and th al guidance.	at the gree Nevertheles ng then the and respor	n barriers are ss, if the Council would nses are all	
Minor Cha	nge proposed	b	No		•			
	5 1 1							
Policy / pa	ge / Para / M	ар	EN12 New Development and Renewable and Low Carbon Energy Technology P186 Deposit Plan written statement					
Relevant C	Content of Pla	n			to ensure that		lopment	
_	-						d low carbon	
					for larger sca			
Represent	ations				ations – objec			
Comment	Consultee	Cons	ultee	Agent ID	Agent Full	Do you	Hearing	
ID	ID	Full N	ame		Name	support or object to this policy?	Attendance	
899	1148889			1234839		Object	Not Stated	
803	1234331			1234330		Object	Not Stated	
Summary	of representa	tions	be rew	orded to 'e olds are co		'promote'. e too onerc	s and should The floorspce ous and	

			Seeks amendments to policy wording The Council considers the policy to be reasonable in							
Summary of Council			The Council considers the policy to be reasonable in							
Response			the context of PPW10 which promotes renewable and low carbon energy. In the context of other examples the							
			floor	space thre	sholds are co	nsidered to be	e reasonable			
Minor Change proposed			No							
Policy / pag	ge / Para / M	ар			ble and Low	Carbon Ener	зy			
				elopment						
					LDP written s					
						Renewable En	nergy			
Relevant C	ontent of Pla	an			ains a numbe					
			Iden	itifying Sola	r Indicative S	earch Areas				
				•	for two solar					
						consideration				
						nergy develop				
			Two	additional	criteria to be	applied to win	id energy			
			prop	osals.						
Representa	ations		Tota	al 22 repres	entations:					
				jections	Total 22 representations:					
				upport						
Comment	Consultee	Consu	14 s ultee		Agent Full	Do you	Hearing			
Comment ID	Consultee ID	Consu Full N	14 s ultee	upport	Agent Full Name	support or	Hearing Attendance			
			14 s ultee	upport		support or object to				
ID	ID		14 s ultee	upport		support or object to this policy?	Attendance			
ID 68	ID 1230290		14 s ultee	upport		support or object to this policy? Object	Attendance Not Stated			
ID 68 70	ID 1230290 1230290		14 s ultee	upport		support or object to this policy? Object Support	Attendance Not Stated Not Stated			
ID 68 70 74	ID 1230290 1230290 1230290		14 s ultee	upport		support or object to this policy? Object Support Support	Attendance Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated			
ID 68 70 74 76	ID 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290		14 s ultee	upport		support or object to this policy? Object Support Support Support	Attendance Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated			
ID 68 70 74 76 78	ID 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290		14 s ultee	upport		support or object to this policy? Object Support Support Support Support	Attendance Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated			
ID 68 70 74 76 78 80	ID 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290		14 s ultee	upport		support or object to this policy? Object Support Support Support Support Support	Attendance Not Stated			
ID 68 70 74 76 78 80 82	ID 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290		14 s ultee	upport		support or object to this policy? Object Support Support Support Support Support Support	Attendance Not Stated			
ID 68 70 74 76 78 80 82 71	ID 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290		14 s ultee	upport		support or object to this policy? Object Support Support Support Support Support Support Support Support	Attendance Not Stated			
ID 68 70 74 76 78 80 82 71 73	ID 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290		14 s ultee	upport		support or object to this policy? Object Support Support Support Support Support Support Support Support Support	Attendance Not Stated			
ID 68 70 74 76 78 80 82 71 73 75	ID 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290		14 s ultee	upport		support or object to this policy? Object Support Support Support Support Support Support Support Support Support Support	Attendance Not Stated			
ID 68 70 74 76 78 80 82 71 73 75 77	ID 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290		14 s ultee	upport		support or object to this policy? Object Support Support Support Support Support Support Support Support Support Support Support Support	Attendance Not Stated			
ID 68 70 74 76 78 80 82 71 73 75 77 79	ID 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290		14 s ultee	upport		support or object to this policy? Object Support Support Support Support Support Support Support Support Support Support Support Support Support	AttendanceNot StatedNot Stated			
ID 68 70 74 76 78 80 82 71 73 75 77 79 81	ID 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290		14 s ultee	upport		support or object to this policy?ObjectSupport	AttendanceNot StatedNot Stated			
ID 68 70 74 76 78 80 82 71 73 75 77 79 81 83	ID 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290 1230290		14 s ultee	Agent ID		support or object to this policy? Object Support Support Support Support Support Support Support Support Support Support Support Support Support Support Support Support	AttendanceNot StatedNot Stated			
ID 68 70 74 76 78 80 82 71 73 75 77 79 81 83 233	ID 1230290		14 s ultee	Agent ID		support or object to this policy? Object Support Support Support Support Support Support Support Support Support Support Support Support Support Support Support Support Support Support	AttendanceNot StatedNot Stated			
ID 68 70 74 76 78 80 82 71 73 75 77 79 81 83 233 345	ID 1230290		14 s ultee	Agent ID		support or object to this policy? Object Support Support Support Support Support Support Support Support Support Support Support Support Support Support Support Support Support Object	AttendanceNot StatedNot Stated			
ID 68 70 74 76 78 80 82 71 73 75 77 79 81 83 233 345 860	ID 1230290		14 s ultee	Agent ID		support or object to this policy?ObjectSupportObjectObject	AttendanceNot StatedNot Stated			
ID 68 70 74 76 78 80 82 71 73 75 77 79 81 83 233 345 860 1161	ID 1230290 1147889 1231151 1150807 1149498		14 s ultee	Agent ID		support or object to this policy?ObjectSupportObjectObjectObject	AttendanceNot StatedNot Stated			
ID 68 70 74 76 78 80 82 71 73 75 77 79 81 83 233 345 860 1161 1058	ID 1230290 1147889 1231151 1149498 1229839		14 s ultee	Agent ID		support or object to this policy?ObjectSupportObjectObjectObject	AttendanceNot StatedNot Stated			
ID 68 70 74 76 78 80 82 71 73 75 77 79 81 83 233 345 860 1161 1058 1189	ID 1230290 1230981		14 s ultee	Agent ID Age		support or object to this policy?ObjectSupportObjectObjectObjectObject	AttendanceNot StatedNot Stated			
ID 68 70 74 76 78 80 82 71 73 75 77 79 81 83 233 345 860 1161 1058	ID 1230290 1147889 1231151 1149498 1229839		14 s ultee	Agent ID		support or object to this policy?ObjectSupportObjectObjectObject	AttendanceNot StatedNot Stated			

Summary of representations	A large number of representations pointed out that
	there were potential heritage assets within ILSAs which

would need assessment if a proposal were to come forward, whilst others interpreted the areas as allocations that were all to be developed to the extent of the areas indicated.
Welsh Government made a number of points: Conformity with draft NDF Priority Area for solar The Solar Indicative Local Search Areas should be listed within the policy The actual allocations should be separate within the policy from the search areas The need to include target energy contributions The solar allocation at castle Park Flint overlaps with Gypsy allocation
NRW identify that Crumps Yard allocation lies within flood zone C1. Also highlight the need for landscape assessment of the Solar Indicative Local Search Areas.
One objector sought reference to sensitive receptors such as holiday accommodation.
One objection expressed concern about the Solar Indicative Local Search Area adjacent Waen y Llyn Country Park at Hope Mountain given the intervisibility with the AONB. An objection highlighted that land on the edge of New Brighton was not available for solar development. A further objection considered that the Solar Indicative Local Search Area at Holway (Holyweell) was on a SSSI.
In response to NRW a FCA has been undertaken for the Crumps Yard allocated site and a planning application for a solar farm is presently under consideration. A landscape assessment of the SILSA is presently being undertaken with a view to further refining each search area.
It is not considered necessary to refer to holiday accommodation with the policy.
The site specific objections are all responded to separately.
Seeks amendments to policy.
In response to the objections by Welsh Government:
The draft NDF is a higher level Plan than the LDP and there is little evidence available about the mapping work and assumptions which underpinned the solar area referenced.
the inclusion of the SILSA as a list within the policy wording is acceptable, subject to the agreement of the Inspector.

	It is unclear why the allocations need to be separated out of the policy, particularly as one already has planning permission and an applications is under consideration on the other. The Council is continuing to refine the Renewable Energy Assessment and will identify a target energy figure. The Solar Allocation at Castle Park does not overlap with the Gypsy Transit site.
Minor Change proposed	No
Policy / page / Para / Map	EN14 Flood Risk P189 Deposit Plan written statement
Relevant Content of Plan	The policy provides guidance on new development and flood risk.
Representations	Total 4 representations: 2 objections 1 support 1 not specified

Comment ID	Consultee ID	Consultee Full Name	Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing Attendance
401	1231156				Support	Not Stated
1049	1229839					Not Stated
1050	1229839				Object	Not Stated
1162	1149498				Object	Not Stated

Summary of representations	WG note that the SFCA alludes to some allocations having flooding issues and the Council should ensure no highly vulnerable development in C2 and only in C1 if informed by FCA.
	NRW have expressed concerns that PE1 employment allocations have not been subject to FCA to establish suitability and deliverability given flood risk locations. Also considers the IIA has understates flood risk for some of the allocations and notes that allocations in PE2 have not been assessed.
Changes sought	
Summary of Council Response	The objection by Welsh Government is noted and the Council is working closely with its flood risk consultants and NRW. The issues raised by NRW are commented on in more detail in relation to the specific policies PE1 and PE2.
Minor Change proposed	No
Policy / page / Para / Map	EN18 Pollution and Nuisance
	P194 Deposit Plan written statement
--------------------------	---
Relevant Content of Plan	The policy looks at firstly new development which is sensitive to such effects and secondly, new development which would create an increased risk of such effects.
Representations	Total 2 representations – objections

Comment ID	Consultee ID	Consultee Full Name	Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing Attendance
234	1147889		1230687		Object	Not Stated
804	1234331		1234330		Object	Not Stated

Summary of representations	One objection seeks additional wording to the policy in respect of the AONB. The other objections considers that the policy should refer to the 'Agent of Change' principle in PPW.
Changes sought	Seeks policy amendments
Summary of Council Response	The Council considers that this policy in junction with other policies clearly recognises the issue of light pollution affecting the AONB. The policy is considered to clearly reference the scenario referenced by the objector without the need to reference guidance which already exists in PPW10.
Minor Change proposed	No
Policy / page / Para / Map	EN21 Locations for Waste Management Facilities P197 Deposit Plan written statement
Relevant Content of Plan	The policy identifies potential locations for waste management facilities and sets out a criteria based approach to the consideration of development proposals.
Representations	Total representations 4: 3 objections 1 support

Comment ID	Consultee ID	Consultee Full Name	Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing Attendance
235	1147889		1230687		Support	Not Stated
119	1230530				Object	Not Stated
1080	1229839				Object	Not Stated
848	1234545		1234487		Object	Not Stated

Summary of representations	One objection seeks amendment to the policy wording to refer to the amenity of residents and other users of
	land, in the context of tourism development. An

Changes sought Summary of Council Response			objection seeks confirmation that waste development does not override employment allocations for general employment development. The remaining objection seeks additional policy wording to the effect that the sites are outside of the AONB or its setting. Seeks policy amendments The Council considers that the policy, in conjunction with other Plan policies provides sufficient safeguards in terms of the amenity or living conditions of all users of land. The policy merely seeks to identify the broader principle of waste development in the identified locations. The policy, in conjunction with policy EN4 provides sufficient protection for the AONB and its setting.				
Minor Chan	ge propose	ed	No				
Policy / pag			EN23	Minerals Sa	afeguardin	g	
		-		Deposit Plar	-	-	
Relevant C	ontent of PI	an	propos	sals maps a	nd sets out		areas on the ased approach ising within
Representa	tions		Total 3	3 representa	itions – obj	ections	
Comment ID	Consultee ID	Consul Name	itee Full	Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing Attendance
ID	ID		Itee Full	Agent ID	Full	support or object to this policy?	Attendance
			Itee Full	Agent ID	Full	support or object to this policy? Object	
ID 5	ID 1228075		Itee Full	Agent ID 1231130	Full	support or object to this policy?	Attendance No
ID 5 188	ID 1228075 1230851 1231134 f representa	Name	One of robustic consid can be sugges used for The Co Geolog at a stricthe Co Map. T presen	bjection que ness of the ers that are used for ot st areas on or extraction ouncil stress gical Survey rategic level ount, and the The MSA's c nce of miner	Full Name Pries the evareas safe as should r her purpos the edges of the edges of the distribute GGS Agg on the prop als and mu	support or object to this policy? Object Object Object Object Object Object A's are base esource ma ution of mine regates Safe osals maps ist be read a	Attendance No Not Stated Yes Attendance No Not Stated Yes Ad and other objection uarded if they the maps t could be ad on British p which show erals across eguarding reflect the longside the
ID 5 188 330 Summary o Changes so Summary o	ID 1228075 1230851 1231134 f representa	Name	One of robusti consid can be sugges used fo The Co Geolog at a stri the Co Map. T presen criteria Minera	bjection que ness of the ers that are used for ot st areas on or extraction ouncil stress gical Survey rategic level ount, and the The MSA's c nce of miner	Full Name Pries the eva areas safe as should r her purpos the edges on the distribute GGS Agg on the prop als and mu policy and t	support or object to this policy? Object Object Object Object idence behir guarded. An not be safeg es, and that of settlemen A's are base esource ma ution of mine regates Safe osals maps	Attendance No Not Stated Yes Attendance No Not Stated Yes Ad and other objection uarded if they the maps t could be ad on British p which show erals across eguarding reflect the longside the
ID 5 188 330 Summary o Changes so Summary o	ID 1228075 1230851 1231134 f representa pught f Council	Name	One of robustic consid can be sugges used for The Co Geolog at a stit the Co Map. T presen criteria	bjection que ness of the ers that are used for ot st areas on or extraction ouncil stress gical Survey rategic level ount, and the The MSA's conce of miner a within the p	Full Name Pries the eva areas safe as should r her purpos the edges on the distribute GGS Agg on the prop als and mu policy and t	support or object to this policy? Object Object Object Object Object Object A's are base esource ma ution of mine regates Safe osals maps ist be read a	Attendance No Not Stated Yes Attendance No Not Stated Yes Ad and other objection uarded if they the maps t could be ad on British p which show erals across eguarding reflect the longside the

Policy / page / Para / Map			EN24 Minerals Buffer Zones						
			P201 Deposit Plan written statement						
Relevant C	ontent of Pla	n	The policy defines minerals buffer zones on the						
					and provides	•			
					operations wh		tecting living		
					sitive develop				
Representa	ntions		Total 1	represen	itation – obje	ction			
Comment	Consultee	Cons		Agent	Agent Full	Do you	Hearing		
ID	ID	Full N	lame	ID	Name	support or object to this policy?	Attendance		
4	1228075					Object	No		
				1 1			-		
Summany a	f representat	lione	Tho by	iffor zono	should be m	occured from	n the outer		
Summary 0	riepiesenia	10115							
			0		om the site b		ocessing take		
Changes so	aught				olicy wording		sale mane		
							es zones hav		
Summary o									
Response							nded to be a		
Minor Char		1	No		evelopment.				
wind Char	ige proposed	l	INU						
Policy / pag	je / Para / Ma	ар	EN25 Sustainable Minerals Development						
		•	P204 Deposit Plan written statement						
Relevant C	ontent of Pla	n	The policy allocated four sites which will contribute to						
				meeting the need for minerals.					
Representa	tions		Total 6 representations:						
			2 objections						
			3 support						
			1 not specified						
	-	Cons	ultee	Agent ID		Do you	Hearing		
Comment	Consultee	Cons		Agentin	Agent Full				
Comment ID	Consultee ID	Full N		Agent ib	Agent Full Name	support or object to this	Attendance		
				1230687		support or object to			
ID	ID					support or object to this policy?	Attendance		
ID 236	ID 1147889					support or object to this policy? Support	Attendance Not Stated		
ID 236 228	ID 1147889 1149006					support or object to this policy? Support	Attendance Not Stated No		
ID 236 228 668	ID 1147889 1149006 1146891					support or object to this policy? Support Support	Attendance Not Stated No Not Stated		
ID 236 228 668 913 861	ID 1147889 1149006 1146891 1148344 1150807					support or object to this policy? Support Support Support Object	Attendance Attendance Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated		
ID 236 228 668 913	ID 1147889 1149006 1146891 1148344					support or object to this policy? Support Support Support	Attendance Not Stated No Not Stated Not Stated		
ID 236 228 668 913 861 1165	ID 1147889 1149006 1146891 1148344 1150807	Full N	lame	1230687		support or object to this policy? Support Support Support Object Object	Attendance Not Stated No Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated Not Stated		

1030	1235357			1207701		Object	Not Stated	
Comment ID 1252	Consultee ID 1234528	Consul Full Na		Agent ID 1234487	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy? Object	Hearing Attendance Yes	
Representa	ITIONS		i otal	ı ∠ represei	ntations – ol			
		dli	The policy sets out criteria to the applied when considering proposals for minerals development. Total 2 representations – objections					
Relevant C	, 	•	EN26 Criteria for Minerals Development P206 Deposit Plan written statement					
Policy / pag	ie / Para / N	lan	FN2	6 Criteria f	or Minerals	Developme	nt	
Minor Char	ige propose	ed	No					
			The progression of the Hendre Quarry site will be accompanied by a Transport Statement to consider routing and traffic generation information. The Council does not consider it necessary to repeat national guidance and that each development should be considered on its individual merits.					
			The progression of the Ddol Ucaf site will be accompanied by a Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment to inform how the site is to be developed.					
Changes sought Summary of Council Response		and I and o abou	Denbighshi crushed roo it suitable s	ire with resp ck and is in o	discussions w boration agre	th Wrexham and and gravel vith operators ement will be		
Changes so	ouaht		traffic Dent	c generatio ogh Rd, Mc	n in additior Id. The obje	on of Hendre n to the 246 d ection also con stance regarc	wellings on nsiders the	
			AON whicl highe	B, in partic h has the p er ground c	ular the Ddo otential to ir of the AONB		ry near Afon vs from the	
				ned rock.		sand and gra	iver and	

Summary of representations	The policy should be amended to inclusion provision for sensitive receptors and other users of land such as holiday accommodation to be protected.
	Clarification should be provided as to what represents satisfactory restoration and after uses.

Changes sought	Seeks policy / explanation amendments					
Summary of Council	The Council considers that the policy, when read in					
Response	conjunction with other Plan policies provides sufficient					
	protection to living standards.					
	It is difficult to prescribe exactly what would represent					
	satisfactory after case and uses might be as this will be					
	different for ech type of minerals extracted and the					
	specifics of the site. Proposals would need to be					
	considered on their merits against other Plan policies.					
Minor Change proposed	No					
Policy / page / Para / Map	EN27 Secondary and Recycled Aggregates					
	P207 Deposit Plan written statement					
Relevant Content of Plan	The policy provides guidance on development					
	proposals involving secondary and recycled					
	aggregates.					
Representations	Total 2 representations – objections					
	onsultee Agent ID Agent Full Do you Hearing					

Comment ID	Consultee ID	Consultee Full Name		Agent ID	Agent Full Name	Do you support or object to this policy?	Hearing Attendance		
1031	1235357					Object	Not Stated		
1253	1234528			1234487		Object	Yes		
			1						
Summary o	Summary of representations			One objection seeks clarification on the type of development that the policy provides guidance on. The other objection considers that the policy should include reference to protecting the amenity of sensitive receptors such as holiday accommodation.					
Changes so	ought		Seeks policy amendments						
Summary c	of Council		The policy is concerned with The management of						
Response			those storag policy, sufficie	listed; crus e and trans in conjunc ent safegua	hing, sorting sfer. The Co tion with oth	regate does ir g, screening, s uncil conside ner Plan polici s of the amen l.	stockpiling, rs that the es provides		

Appendix 22 Flintshire Notice of Deposit Final

No

Minor Change proposed



Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 The Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes (Wales) Regulations 2004 The Town and Country Planning (Local Development Plan) (Wales) Regulations 2005 and (Amendment) Regulations 2015 (Regulation 17) **NOTICE OF DEPOSIT OF PROPOSALS FOR A LOCAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN PUBLIC CONSULTATION**

Flintshire Local Development Plan 2015-2030

Flintshire County Council has prepared the **Local Development Plan (LDP)** documents for the above plan. The LDP will, upon adoption, replace the current *Unitary Development Plan (2000-2015)* and form the basis for decisions on land use planning for the County of Flintshire.

The LDP documents include the '**Deposit LDP'**, the Integrated Impact Assessment (Incorporating Sustainability Appraisal / Strategic Environmental Assessment/ Habitats Regulations Assessment) and **Initial Consultation Report** together with a list of other **supporting documents** as shown on the Council's website.

Consultation on the Deposit LDP will run from **30th September until 5pm Monday 11th November 2019.** The consultation will allow the public the opportunity to view the plan and make representations.

The LDP documents, as well as all the supporting documents, are available to view on the Councils website <u>www.flintshire.gov.uk/ldp</u> by clicking on the consultation portal.

The LDP documents will be available to view at the County Council offices at Ty Dewi Sant, Ewloe and County Hall, Mold and the Connects Offices for 6 weeks from 30th Sept to 11th Nov 2019.

Copies of the LDP documents accompanied by an information board will also be available for public inspection free of charge at:

Main Libraries	Date	Time
Broughton Library	30 th September - 11 th	During normal library
Buckley Library	November 2019	opening hours.
Deeside Library		
Flint Jade Jones Pavilion (Flint		
Library is closed due to		
refurbishment)		
Holywell Library		
Mancot Community Library		

	Mold Library		
--	--------------	--	--

A series of drop-in sessions will be held at the following venues on the dates and times shown where officers will be on hand during the sessions to guide the public and to advise on how comments on the documents can be made.

Location	Date and Time
Broughton & Bretton Community Centre, Brookes Avenue, Broughton	4pm – 8pm Tuesday 1 st October 2019
Buckley (Bistre) Youth & Community Centre, Nant Mawr Road	4pm – 8pm Wednesday 2 nd October 2019
Mold Parkfields Community Centre, Ash Grove	4pm – 8pm Thursday 3 rd October 2019
Mancot and Moor Village Hall, Mancot Lane	4pm – 8pm Friday 4 th October 2019
Connah's Quay, the Quay Building, Fron Road	4pm – 8pm Monday 7 th October 2019
Hope, Caergwrle Abermorddu Cefn Y Bedd – Heulwen Close Community Centre, Hope	4pm – 8pm Tuesday 8 th October 2019
Ewloe Woodside Close Community Centre	4pm – 8pm Wednesday 9 th October 2019
Flint Town Hall, Market Square	4pm – 8pm Thursday 10 th October 2019
Caerwys Memorial Institute - South Street, Caerwys, Caerwys to focus on minerals proposals	4pm – 8pm Tuesday 15 th October 2019
New Brighton Community Centre, Moel Fammau Road	5pm – 8pm Friday 18th October 2019

Representations on the deposit LDP should preferably be made online via the LDP Consultation Portal:

https://consult.flintshire.gov.uk/portal/

Alternatively, representations can be made using the Representation Form, available to download from the Council's website at:

www.flintshire.gov.uk/ldp

Or representations can also be emailed to the Council at: developmentplans@flintshire.gov.uk

Finally, representations can be made in writing and sent to the Council at: Andrew Farrow, Chief Officer – Planning, Environment and Economy Flintshire County Council, County Hall, Mold, Flintshire, CH7 6NF. Representations should clearly express **support** or **objection**. Representations (including those taking the form of objections) should identify which part of the plan the comment relates to and specify what changes are to be made.

Objections should be accompanied by reasons and where the respondent wishes to do so, specify which of the **Tests of Soundness** that the Plan fails (*the Tests of Soundness are set out in para 3.2 of the Written Statement*).

The Council has published a Background Paper Assessment of Candidate / Alternative Sites. None of the alternative sites, which were submitted as part of the consultation on the Preferred Strategy (and published in a Register of Alternative Sites), have been included in the Deposit LDP. Nevertheless, as part of the examination into the LDP, it may be that such Alternative Sites are included in the Plan. As such, the opportunity exists for representations to be made on the alternative sites now. Any new sites submitted as part of representations to the Plan must be accompanied by a site plan clearly identifying the location and boundary of the site.

All representations must be received by 5.00pm on Monday 11th November 2019 any received after that will not be considered.

All representations made about the Plan will be available for the public to view as soon as possible following the consultation.

The Local Planning Authority cannot change the plan at this stage but it can decide whether it is in favour of any changes proposed as a result of the representations received during consultation.

Representations made in accordance with this notice (i.e. 'duly made') will be considered by an independent Inspector appointed to examine the soundness of the plan in due course.

Only those making representations seeking to change the deposit LDP (i.e. objectors) whose representations were 'duly made' have the right to appear before and be heard by the Inspector at the Examination (Section 64 (6) of the 2004 Act).

Andrew Farrow Chief Officer (Planning, Environment and Economy)

September 2019 The supporting documents include the following:

Supporting Documents
Flintshire LDP Revised Delivery Agreement May 2019
Deposit Local Development Plan Written Statement 2019
Integrated Impact Assessment (Incorporating Sustainability Appraisal / Strategic Environmental Assessment) 2019
Habitats Regulations Assessment 2019

Initial Consultation Report
Public Notice
Representation Form
Summary Leaflet
Flintshire Proposal Map 1
Flintshire Proposal Map 2
Flintshire Proposal Map 3
Aston/Shotton Inset Plan
Buckley Inset Plan
Connah's Quay Inset Plan
Flint Inset Plan
Holywell Inset Plan
Mold Inset Plan
Queensferry Inset Plan Saltney Inset Plan
Urban Capacity Study 2019
Housing Land Monitoring Statement 2018
Background Paper 1 Green Barrier Review 2019
Background Paper 2 Green Infrastructure Assessment 2019
Background Paper 3 Infrastructure Plan 2019
Background Paper 4 Minerals 2019
Background Paper 5 Waste 2019
Background paper 6 Gypsy and Traveller Site Search
Background Paper 7 Affordable Housing 2019
Background Paper 8 Assessment of Candidate / Alternative Sites
Background Paper 9 Minimising the Loss of Best and Most Versatile Agricultural Land 2019
Background Paper 10 Housing Supply and Delivery
Background Paper 11 Soundness Self-Assessment
Background Paper 12 Welsh Language
Background Paper 13 Renewable Energy
Flintshire Retail Study 2019
Flintshire Viability Study 2019 (Study concerning the economic viability of providing affordable housing
across Flintshire)
Employment Land Review 2015
Flintshire Further Employment growth Scenarios Assessment 2015
Employment and Housing Advice April 2019
Gypsy Traveller Accommodation Assessment 2016 and update 2019
Local Housing Market Assessment 2015 and Contextual Report
Planning Strategy Group Minutes
Local Housing Market Assessment Update 2018
Strategic Flood Consequence Assessment 2018
Flintshire LDP Renewable Energy Assessment 2019
Warren Hall Masterplan and Delivery Statement
Northern Gateway Masterplan and Delivery Statement – Pochin Goodman

Northern Gateway Masterplan and Delivery Statement - Ancer Spa (Praxis / Crag Hill Estates) Commentary on Anticipated 2017 Based Household Projections

Appendix 23 LDP Consultation Letter Final for emails

Andrew Farrow

Chief Officer (Planning,Environment & Economy) Prif Swyddog (Cynllunio, Amgylchedd ac Economi)



 Your Ref/Eich Cyf
 LDP Consultation

 Our Ref/Ein Cyf
 19 September 2019

 Date/Dyddiad
 19 September 2019

 Ask for/Gofynner am
 Uirect Dial/Rhif Union U1352 703213

 Fax/Ffacs
 developmentplans@flintshire.gov.uk

Annwyl Syr /Fadam,

Ymgynghoriad ar Gynllun Datblygu Lleol Sir y Fflint i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd

Fel rhywun sydd ar restr e-bost CDLI y Cyngor, rwy'n ysgrifennu atoch i'ch hysbysu y bydd Cynllun Datblygu Lleol Sir y Fflint i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd yn destun ymarfer ymgynghori cyhoeddus 6 wythnos o hyd, gan ddechrau dydd Llun 30 Medi ac yn gorffen am 5.00pm dydd Llun, 11 Tachwedd 2019.

Mae gwybodaeth yn ymwneud â threfniadau ymgynghori'r Cynllun i'w Archwilio Gan y Cyhoedd yn cael ei chynnwys yn y copi o'r hysbysiad cyhoeddus ynghlwm.

Os oes gennych unrhyw ymholiadau ynghylch â'r Cynllun neu'r ymgynghoriad, gallwch anfon neges e-bost at <u>developmentplans@flintshire.gov.uk</u> neu fel arall, ffoniwch linell gymorth y CDLI ar 01352 703213.

Yn gywir/ Yours faithfully

Andrew Roberts Rheolwr Gwasanaeth Strategaeth Service Manager Strategy

Dear Sir/Madam,

Deposit Flintshire Local Development Plan (LDP) Consultation

As someone who is on the Council's LDP mailing list, I am writing to inform you that the Deposit Flintshire Local Development Plan will be the subject of a 6 week public consultation exercise commencing on Monday 30th September and ending at 5.00pm on Monday 11th November 2019.

Information relating to the Deposit Plan consultation arrangements is contained in the enclosed copy of the public notice.

If you have any queries relating to the Plan or the consultation you can email <u>developmentplans@flintshire.gov.uk</u> or alternatively call the LDP helpline on 01352 703213.

Appendix 24 All site Notices Site Notices for the Flintshire LDP Deposit Consultation 2019

Ash Lane, Hawarden Broad Oak Holding, Connah's Quay Cae Isa, New Brighton Chester Road, Penymynydd Denbigh Road /Gwernaffield Road, Mold Gypsy /Traveller site Castle Park Industrial Estate, Flint Gypsy /Traveller site Gwern Lane, Cae Estyn, Hope Gypsy /Traveller site Magazine Lane, Ewloe Gypsy /Traveller site Riverside, Queensferry Highmere Drive, Connah's Quay Holywell Road/Green Lane, Ewloe Maes Gwern, Mold Northern Gateway, Deeside Northop Hall, Flint Warren Hall, Broughton Well Street ,Buckley Wrexham Road, HCAC

DEDDF CYNLLUNIO GWLAD A THREF 1990 TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT 1990

Mae Cyngor Sir y Fflint wedi lansio ymgynghoriad ar y Cynllun Datblygu Lleol (CDLI) i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd. Fel rhan o'r Cynllun Datblygu Lleol i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd mae'r safle isod wedi cael ei glustnodi fel safle datblygu arfaethedig. Mae gwahoddiad i chi daro golwg ar fapiau'r cynnig, y CDLI i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd ynghyd â rhestr o ddogfennau ategol sydd ar gael ar wefan y Cyngor: www.siryfflint.gov.uk/cdll Bydd dogfennau'r CDLI ar gael i'w gweld yn swyddfeydd y Cyngor Sir yn Nhŷ Dewi Sant, Ewlo a Neuadd y Sir, yr Wyddgrug a Swyddfeydd Sir y Fflint yn Cysylltu a'r prif lyfrgelloedd am 6 wythnos rhwng **30 Medi ac 11 Tachwedd 2019.**

Os oes gennych unrhyw sylwadau am y Cynllun Datblygu Lleol i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd, rhaid cyflwyno'r rhain ar-lein rhwng **30 Medi a 11 Tachwedd 2019 drwy'r Porth Ymgynghori CDLI:** <u>https://consult.flintshire.gov.uk/portal/</u>.=Fel arall, defnyddiwch y Ffurflen Sylwadau sydd ar gael i'w lawrlwytho o wefan y Cyngor: <u>www.siryfflint.gov.uk/cdll.</u> Neu gellir e-bostio sylwadau i'r Cyngor: <u>developmentplans@flintshire.gov.uk</u> Yn olaf, gallwch anfon sylwadau yn ysgrifenedig i'r Cyngor: Andrew Farrow - Prif Swyddog – Cynllunio, yr Amgylchedd a'r Economi, Cyngor Sir y Fflint, Neuadd y Sir, yr Wyddgrug, Sir y Fflint, CH7 6NF.

Flintshire County Council has published the Deposit Local Development Plan (LDP). As part of the Deposit Local Development Plan the site below has been allocated as a proposed development site. You are invited to view the proposal maps, 'Deposit LDP' with a list of other supporting documents located on the Councils website: <u>www.flintshire.gov.uk/ldp</u> The LDP documents will be available to view at the County Council offices at Ty Dewi Sant, Ewloe and County Hall, Mold and the Connects Offices and main libraries for 6 weeks from **30**th **Sept to 11**th **Nov 2019**.

If you have any comments to make on the Deposit Local Development Plan, these must be submitted **online from 30th Sept to 11th Nov 2019 via the LDP Consultation Portal:** <u>https://consult.flintshire.gov.uk/portal/</u>. Alternatively, using the Representation Form, available to download from the Council's website at: <u>www.flintshire.gov.uk/ldp.</u> Or representations can also be emailed to the Council at: <u>developmentplans@flintshire.gov.uk</u> Finally, representations can be made in writing and sent to the Council at: Andrew Farrow, Chief Officer – Planning, Environment and Economy Flintshire County Council, County Hall, Mold, Flintshire, CH7 6NF.

Site Number/Y Cyfeirnod:	HWN005
Proposal/Y Gwaith Arfaethedig:	Potential Residential Use.
Site Location/Y Lleoliad:	Ash Lane Hawarden/Mancot.

Signed / Arwyddwyd:

Chief Officer (Planning, Environment & Economy) Prif Swyddog (Cynllunio, Amgylchedd ac Economi) On behalf of FLINTSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL / Arran CYNGOR SIR Y FFLINT Date/Dyddiad: 30th September 2019

DEDDF CYNLLUNIO GWLAD A THREF 1990 TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT 1990

Mae Cyngor Sir y Fflint wedi lansio ymgynghoriad ar y Cynllun Datblygu Lleol (CDLI) i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd. Fel rhan o'r Cynllun Datblygu Lleol i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd mae'r safle isod wedi cael ei glustnodi fel safle datblygu arfaethedig. Mae gwahoddiad i chi daro golwg ar fapiau'r cynnig, y CDLI i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd ynghyd â rhestr o ddogfennau ategol sydd ar gael ar wefan y Cyngor: <u>www.siryfflint.gov.uk/cdll</u> Bydd dogfennau'r CDLI ar gael i'w gweld yn swyddfeydd y Cyngor Sir yn Nhŷ Dewi Sant, Ewlo a Neuadd y Sir, yr Wyddgrug a Swyddfeydd Sir y Fflint yn Cysylltu a'r prif lyfrgelloedd am 6 wythnos rhwng **30 Medi ac 11 Tachwedd 2019.**

Os oes gennych unrhyw sylwadau am y Cynllun Datblygu Lleol i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd, rhaid cyflwyno'r rhain ar-lein rhwng **30 Medi a 11 Tachwedd 2019 drwy'r Porth Ymgynghori CDLI:** <u>https://consult.flintshire.gov.uk/portal/</u>.=Fel arall, defnyddiwch y Ffurflen Sylwadau sydd ar gael i'w lawrlwytho o wefan y Cyngor: <u>www.siryfflint.gov.uk/cdll.</u> Neu gellir e-bostio sylwadau i'r Cyngor: <u>developmentplans@flintshire.gov.uk</u> Yn olaf, gallwch anfon sylwadau yn ysgrifenedig i'r Cyngor: Andrew Farrow - Prif Swyddog – Cynllunio, yr Amgylchedd a'r Economi, Cyngor Sir y Fflint, Neuadd y Sir, yr Wyddgrug, Sir y Fflint, CH7 6NF.

Flintshire County Council has published the Deposit Local Development Plan (LDP). As part of the Deposit Local Development Plan the site below has been allocated as a proposed development site. You are invited to view the proposal maps, 'Deposit LDP' with a list of other supporting documents located on the Councils website: <u>www.flintshire.gov.uk/ldp</u> The LDP documents will be available to view at the County Council offices at Ty Dewi Sant, Ewloe and County Hall, Mold and the Connects Offices and main libraries for 6 weeks from **30**th **Sept to 11**th **Nov 2019**.

If you have any comments to make on the Deposit Local Development Plan, these must be submitted **online from 30th Sept to 11th Nov 2019 via the LDP Consultation**

Portal: <u>https://consult.flintshire.gov.uk/portal/</u>. Alternatively, using the Representation Form, available to download from the Council's website at: <u>www.flintshire.gov.uk/ldp.</u> Or representations can also be emailed to the Council at: <u>developmentplans@flintshire.gov.uk</u> Finally, representations can be made in writing and sent to the Council at: Andrew Farrow, Chief Officer – Planning, Environment and Economy Flintshire County Council, County Hall, Mold, Flintshire, CH7 6NF.

Site Number/Y Cyfeirnod: CON002

Proposal/Y Gwaith Arfaethedig: Potential Residential Use.

Site Location/Y Lleoliad:

Broad Oak Holding, Mold Road, Connahs Quay

Signed / Arwyddwyd:

Chief Officer (Planning, Environment & Economy) Prif Swyddog (Cynllunio, Amgylchedd ac Economi) On behalf of FLINTSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL / Arran CYNGOR SIR Y FFLINT Date/Dyddiad: 30th September 2019

DEDDF CYNLLUNIO GWLAD A THREF 1990 TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT 1990

Mae Cyngor Sir y Fflint wedi lansio ymgynghoriad ar y Cynllun Datblygu Lleol (CDLI) i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd. Fel rhan o'r Cynllun Datblygu Lleol i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd mae'r safle isod wedi cael ei glustnodi fel safle datblygu arfaethedig. Mae gwahoddiad i chi daro golwg ar fapiau'r cynnig, y CDLI i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd ynghyd â rhestr o ddogfennau ategol sydd ar gael ar wefan y Cyngor: www.siryfflint.gov.uk/cdll_Bydd dogfennau'r CDLI ar gael i'w gweld yn swyddfeydd y Cyngor Sir yn Nhŷ Dewi Sant, Ewlo a Neuadd y Sir, yr Wyddgrug a Swyddfeydd Sir y Fflint yn Cysylltu a'r prif lyfrgelloedd am 6 wythnos rhwng **30 Medi ac 11 Tachwedd 2019.**

Os oes gennych unrhyw sylwadau am y Cynllun Datblygu Lleol i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd, rhaid cyflwyno'r rhain ar-lein rhwng **30 Medi a 11 Tachwedd 2019 drwy'r Porth Ymgynghori CDLI:** <u>https://consult.flintshire.gov.uk/portal/</u>.=Fel arall, defnyddiwch y Ffurflen Sylwadau sydd ar gael i'w lawrlwytho o wefan y Cyngor: <u>www.siryfflint.gov.uk/cdll.</u> Neu gellir e-bostio sylwadau i'r Cyngor: <u>developmentplans@flintshire.gov.uk</u> Yn olaf, gallwch anfon sylwadau yn ysgrifenedig i'r Cyngor: Andrew Farrow - Prif Swyddog – Cynllunio, yr Amgylchedd a'r Economi, Cyngor Sir y Fflint, Neuadd y Sir, yr Wyddgrug, Sir y Fflint, CH7 6NF. Flintshire County Council has published the Deposit Local Development Plan (LDP). As part of the Deposit Local Development Plan the site below has been allocated as a proposed development site. You are invited to view the proposal maps, 'Deposit LDP' with a list of other supporting documents located on the Councils website: <u>www.flintshire.gov.uk/ldp</u> The LDP documents will be available to view at the County Council offices at Ty Dewi Sant, Ewloe and County Hall, Mold and the Connects Offices and main libraries for 6 weeks from **30**th **Sept to 11**th **Nov 2019**.

If you have any comments to make on the Deposit Local Development Plan, these must be submitted **online from 30th Sept to 11th Nov 2019 via the LDP Consultation Portal:** <u>https://consult.flintshire.gov.uk/portal/</u>. Alternatively, using the Representation Form, available to download from the Council's website at: <u>www.flintshire.gov.uk/ldp.</u> Or representations can also be emailed to the Council at: <u>developmentplans@flintshire.gov.uk</u>Finally, representations can be made in writing and sent to the Council at: Andrew Farrow, Chief Officer – Planning, Environment and Economy Flintshire County Council, County Hall, Mold, Flintshire, CH7 6NF.

Site Number/Y Cyfeirnod:	NEW004/013
Proposal/Y Gwaith Arfaethedig:	Potential Residential Use.
Site Location/Y Lleoliad:	Cae Isa, New Brighton.

Signed / Arwyddwyd:

Chief Officer (Planning, Environment & Economy) Prif Swyddog (Cynllunio, Amgylchedd ac Economi) On behalf of FLINTSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL / Arran CYNGOR SIR Y FFLINT Date/Dyddiad: 30th September 2019

DEDDF CYNLLUNIO GWLAD A THREF 1990 TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT 1990

Mae Cyngor Sir y Fflint wedi lansio ymgynghoriad ar y Cynllun Datblygu Lleol (CDLI) i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd. Fel rhan o'r Cynllun Datblygu Lleol i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd mae'r safle isod wedi cael ei glustnodi fel safle datblygu arfaethedig. Mae gwahoddiad i chi daro golwg ar fapiau'r cynnig, y CDLI i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd ynghyd â rhestr o ddogfennau ategol sydd ar gael ar wefan y Cyngor: <u>www.siryfflint.gov.uk/cdll</u> Bydd dogfennau'r CDLI ar gael i'w gweld yn swyddfeydd y Cyngor Sir yn Nhŷ Dewi Sant, Ewlo a Neuadd y Sir, yr Wyddgrug a Swyddfeydd Sir y Fflint yn Cysylltu a'r prif lyfrgelloedd am 6 wythnos rhwng **30 Medi ac 11 Tachwedd 2019.**

Os oes gennych unrhyw sylwadau am y Cynllun Datblygu Lleol i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd, rhaid cyflwyno'r rhain ar-lein rhwng **30 Medi a 11 Tachwedd 2019 drwy'r Porth Ymgynghori CDLI:** <u>https://consult.flintshire.gov.uk/portal/</u>.=Fel arall, defnyddiwch y Ffurflen Sylwadau sydd ar gael i'w lawrlwytho o wefan y Cyngor: <u>www.siryfflint.gov.uk/cdll.</u> Neu gellir e-bostio sylwadau i'r Cyngor: <u>developmentplans@flintshire.gov.uk</u> Yn olaf, gallwch anfon sylwadau yn ysgrifenedig i'r Cyngor: Andrew Farrow - Prif Swyddog – Cynllunio, yr Amgylchedd a'r Economi, Cyngor Sir y Fflint, Neuadd y Sir, yr Wyddgrug, Sir y Fflint, CH7 6NF.

Flintshire County Council has published the Deposit Local Development Plan (LDP). As part of the Deposit Local Development Plan the site below has been allocated as a proposed development site. You are invited to view the proposal maps, 'Deposit LDP' with a list of other supporting documents located on the Councils website: <u>www.flintshire.gov.uk/ldp</u> The LDP documents will be available to view at the County Council offices at Ty Dewi Sant, Ewloe and County Hall, Mold and the Connects Offices and main libraries for 6 weeks from **30**th **Sept to 11**th **Nov 2019**.

If you have any comments to make on the Deposit Local Development Plan, these must be submitted **online from 30th Sept to 11th Nov 2019 via the LDP Consultation Portal:** <u>https://consult.flintshire.gov.uk/portal/</u>. Alternatively, using the Representation Form, available to download from the Council's website at: <u>www.flintshire.gov.uk/ldp.</u> Or representations can also be emailed to the Council at: <u>developmentplans@flintshire.gov.uk</u> Finally, representations can be made in writing and sent to the Council at: Andrew Farrow, Chief Officer – Planning, Environment and Economy Flintshire County Council, County Hall, Mold, Flintshire, CH7 6NF.

Site Number/Y Cyfeirnod:	PEN038
Proposal/Y Gwaith Arfaethedig:	Potential Residential Use.
Site Location/Y Lleoliad:	Chester Road, Penymynydd.

Signed / Arwyddwyd:

Chief Officer (Planning, Environment & Economy) Prif Swyddog (Cynllunio, Amgylchedd ac Economi) On behalf of FLINTSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL / Arran CYNGOR SIR Y FFLINT Date/Dyddiad: 30th September 2019

DEDDF CYNLLUNIO GWLAD A THREF 1990 TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT 1990

Mae Cyngor Sir y Fflint wedi lansio ymgynghoriad ar y Cynllun Datblygu Lleol (CDLI) i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd. Fel rhan o'r Cynllun Datblygu Lleol i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd mae'r safle isod wedi cael ei glustnodi fel safle datblygu arfaethedig. Mae gwahoddiad i chi daro golwg ar fapiau'r cynnig, y CDLI i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd ynghyd â rhestr o ddogfennau ategol sydd ar gael ar wefan y Cyngor: www.siryfflint.gov.uk/cdll_Bydd dogfennau'r CDLI ar gael i'w gweld yn swyddfeydd y Cyngor Sir yn Nhŷ Dewi Sant, Ewlo a Neuadd y Sir, yr Wyddgrug a Swyddfeydd Sir y Fflint yn Cysylltu a'r prif lyfrgelloedd am 6 wythnos rhwng **30 Medi ac 11 Tachwedd 2019.**

Os oes gennych unrhyw sylwadau am y Cynllun Datblygu Lleol i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd, rhaid cyflwyno'r rhain ar-lein rhwng **30 Medi a 11 Tachwedd 2019 drwy'r Porth Ymgynghori CDLI:** <u>https://consult.flintshire.gov.uk/portal/</u>.=Fel arall, defnyddiwch y Ffurflen Sylwadau sydd ar gael i'w lawrlwytho o wefan y Cyngor: <u>www.siryfflint.gov.uk/cdll.</u> Neu gellir e-bostio sylwadau i'r Cyngor: <u>developmentplans@flintshire.gov.uk</u> Yn olaf, gallwch anfon sylwadau yn ysgrifenedig i'r Cyngor: Andrew Farrow - Prif Swyddog – Cynllunio, yr Amgylchedd a'r Economi, Cyngor Sir y Fflint, Neuadd y Sir, yr Wyddgrug, Sir y Fflint, CH7 6NF.

Flintshire County Council has published the Deposit Local Development Plan (LDP). As part of the Deposit Local Development Plan the site below has been allocated as a proposed development site. You are invited to view the proposal maps, 'Deposit LDP' with a list of other supporting documents located on the Councils website: <u>www.flintshire.gov.uk/ldp</u> The LDP documents will be available to view at the County Council offices at Ty Dewi Sant, Ewloe and County Hall, Mold and the Connects Offices and main libraries for 6 weeks from **30**th **Sept to 11**th **Nov 2019**.

If you have any comments to make on the Deposit Local Development Plan, these must be submitted **online from 30th Sept to 11th Nov 2019 via the LDP Consultation Portal:** <u>https://consult.flintshire.gov.uk/portal/</u>. Alternatively, using the Representation Form, available to download from the Council's website at: <u>www.flintshire.gov.uk/ldp.</u> Or representations can also be emailed to the Council at: <u>developmentplans@flintshire.gov.uk</u> Finally, representations can be made in writing and sent to the Council at: Andrew Farrow, Chief Officer – Planning, Environment and Economy Flintshire County Council, County Hall, Mold, Flintshire, CH7 6NF.

Site Number/Y Cyfeirnod: MOL044/045

Proposal/Y Gwaith Arfaethedig: Potential Residential Use.

Site Location/Y Lleoliad:

Road Mold.

Signed / Arwyddwyd:

Chief Officer (Planning, Environment & Economy) Prif Swyddog (Cynllunio, Amgylchedd ac Economi) On behalf of FLINTSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL / Arran CYNGOR SIR Y FFLINT Date/Dyddiad: 30th September 2019

DEDDF CYNLLUNIO GWLAD A THREF 1990 TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT 1990

Mae Cyngor Sir y Fflint wedi lansio ymgynghoriad ar y Cynllun Datblygu Lleol (CDLI) i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd. Fel rhan o'r Cynllun Datblygu Lleol i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd mae'r safle isod wedi cael ei glustnodi fel safle datblygu arfaethedig. Mae gwahoddiad i chi daro golwg ar fapiau'r cynnig, y CDLI i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd ynghyd â rhestr o ddogfennau ategol sydd ar gael ar wefan y Cyngor: www.siryfflint.gov.uk/cdll Bydd dogfennau'r CDLI ar gael i'w gweld yn swyddfeydd y Cyngor Sir yn Nhŷ Dewi Sant, Ewlo a Neuadd y Sir, yr Wyddgrug a Swyddfeydd Sir y Fflint yn Cysylltu a'r prif lyfrgelloedd am 6 wythnos rhwng **30 Medi ac 11 Tachwedd 2019.**

Os oes gennych unrhyw sylwadau am y Cynllun Datblygu Lleol i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd, rhaid cyflwyno'r rhain ar-lein rhwng **30 Medi a 11 Tachwedd 2019 drwy'r Porth Ymgynghori CDLI:** <u>https://consult.flintshire.gov.uk/portal/</u>.-Fel arall, defnyddiwch y Ffurflen Sylwadau sydd ar gael i'w lawrlwytho o wefan y Cyngor: <u>www.siryfflint.gov.uk/cdll.</u> Neu gellir e-bostio sylwadau i'r Cyngor: <u>developmentplans@flintshire.gov.uk</u> Yn olaf, gallwch anfon sylwadau yn ysgrifenedig i'r Cyngor: Andrew Farrow - Prif Swyddog – Cynllunio, yr Amgylchedd a'r Economi, Cyngor Sir y Fflint, Neuadd y Sir, yr Wyddgrug, Sir y Fflint, CH7 6NF.

Flintshire County Council has published the Deposit Local Development Plan (LDP). As part of the Deposit Local Development Plan the site below has been allocated as a proposed development site. You are invited to view the proposal maps, 'Deposit LDP' with a list of other supporting documents located on the Councils website: <u>www.flintshire.gov.uk/ldp</u> The LDP documents will be available to view at the County Council offices at Ty Dewi Sant, Ewloe and County Hall, Mold and the Connects Offices and main libraries for 6 weeks from **30**th **Sept to 11**th **Nov 2019**. If you have any comments to make on the Deposit Local Development Plan, these must be submitted **online from 30th Sept to 11th Nov 2019 via the LDP Consultation Portal:** <u>https://consult.flintshire.gov.uk/portal/</u>. Alternatively, using the Representation Form, available to download from the Council's website at: <u>www.flintshire.gov.uk/ldp.</u> Or representations can also be emailed to the Council at: <u>developmentplans@flintshire.gov.uk</u> Finally, representations can be made in writing and sent to the Council at: Andrew Farrow, Chief Officer – Planning, Environment and Economy Flintshire County Council, County Hall, Mold, Flintshire, CH7 6NF.

Site Number/Y Cyfeirnod:	HN8-4
Proposal/Y Gwaith Arfaethedig:	Transit Gypsy and Travellers Site.
Site Location/Y Lleoliad:	Castle Park Industrial Estate

Signed / Arwyddwyd:

Chief Officer (Planning, Environment & Economy) Prif Swyddog (Cynllunio, Amgylchedd ac Economi) On behalf of FLINTSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL / Arran CYNGOR SIR Y FFLINT Date/Dyddiad: 30th September 2019

DEDDF CYNLLUNIO GWLAD A THREF 1990 TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT 1990

Mae Cyngor Sir y Fflint wedi lansio ymgynghoriad ar y Cynllun Datblygu Lleol (CDLI) i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd. Fel rhan o'r Cynllun Datblygu Lleol i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd mae'r safle isod wedi cael ei glustnodi fel safle datblygu arfaethedig. Mae gwahoddiad i chi daro golwg ar fapiau'r cynnig, y CDLI i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd ynghyd â rhestr o ddogfennau ategol sydd ar gael ar wefan y Cyngor: www.siryfflint.gov.uk/cdll_Bydd dogfennau'r CDLI ar gael i'w gweld yn swyddfeydd y Cyngor Sir yn Nhŷ Dewi Sant, Ewlo a Neuadd y Sir, yr Wyddgrug a Swyddfeydd Sir y Fflint yn Cysylltu a'r prif lyfrgelloedd am 6 wythnos rhwng **30 Medi ac 11 Tachwedd 2019.**

Os oes gennych unrhyw sylwadau am y Cynllun Datblygu Lleol i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd, rhaid cyflwyno'r rhain ar-lein rhwng **30 Medi a 11 Tachwedd 2019 drwy'r Porth Ymgynghori CDLI:** <u>https://consult.flintshire.gov.uk/portal/</u>.=Fel arall, defnyddiwch y Ffurflen Sylwadau sydd ar gael i'w lawrlwytho o wefan y Cyngor: <u>www.siryfflint.gov.uk/cdll.</u> Neu gellir e-bostio sylwadau i'r Cyngor: <u>developmentplans@flintshire.gov.uk</u> Yn olaf, gallwch anfon sylwadau yn ysgrifenedig

i'r Cyngor: Andrew Farrow - Prif Swyddog – Cynllunio, yr Amgylchedd a'r Economi, Cyngor Sir y Fflint, Neuadd y Sir, yr Wyddgrug, Sir y Fflint, CH7 6NF.

Flintshire County Council has published the Deposit Local Development Plan (LDP). As part of the Deposit Local Development Plan the site below has been allocated as a proposed development site. You are invited to view the proposal maps, 'Deposit LDP' with a list of other supporting documents located on the Councils website: <u>www.flintshire.gov.uk/ldp</u> The LDP documents will be available to view at the County Council offices at Ty Dewi Sant, Ewloe and County Hall, Mold and the Connects Offices and main libraries for 6 weeks from **30**th **Sept to 11**th **Nov 2019**.

If you have any comments to make on the Deposit Local Development Plan, these must be submitted **online from 30th Sept to 11th Nov 2019 via the LDP Consultation Portal:** <u>https://consult.flintshire.gov.uk/portal/</u>. Alternatively, using the Representation Form, available to download from the Council's website at: <u>www.flintshire.gov.uk/ldp.</u> Or representations can also be emailed to the Council at: <u>developmentplans@flintshire.gov.uk</u> Finally, representations can be made in writing and sent to the Council at: **Andrew Farrow, Chief Officer – Planning, Environment and Economy Flintshire County Council, County Hall, Mold, Flintshire, CH7 6NF.**

Site Number/Y Cyfeirnod:	HN8-2
Proposal/Y Gwaith Arfaethedig:	Gypsy and Travellers.
Site Location/Y Lleoliad:	Gwern Lane, Cae Estyn, Hope (Extension).

Signed / Arwyddwyd:

Chief Officer (Planning, Environment & Economy) Prif Swyddog (Cynllunio, Amgylchedd ac Economi) On behalf of FLINTSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL / Arran CYNGOR SIR Y FFLINT Date/Dyddiad: 30th September 2019

DEDDF CYNLLUNIO GWLAD A THREF 1990 TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT 1990

Mae Cyngor Sir y Fflint wedi lansio ymgynghoriad ar y Cynllun Datblygu Lleol (CDLI) i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd. Fel rhan o'r Cynllun Datblygu Lleol i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd mae'r safle isod wedi cael ei glustnodi fel safle datblygu arfaethedig. Mae gwahoddiad i chi daro golwg ar fapiau'r cynnig, y CDLI i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd ynghyd â rhestr o ddogfennau ategol sydd ar gael ar wefan y Cyngor: <u>www.siryfflint.gov.uk/cdll</u> Bydd dogfennau'r CDLI ar gael i'w gweld yn swyddfeydd y Cyngor Sir yn Nhŷ Dewi Sant, Ewlo a Neuadd y Sir, yr Wyddgrug a Swyddfeydd Sir y Fflint yn Cysylltu a'r prif lyfrgelloedd am 6 wythnos rhwng **30 Medi ac 11 Tachwedd 2019.**

Os oes gennych unrhyw sylwadau am y Cynllun Datblygu Lleol i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd, rhaid cyflwyno'r rhain ar-lein rhwng **30 Medi a 11 Tachwedd 2019 drwy'r Porth Ymgynghori CDLI:** <u>https://consult.flintshire.gov.uk/portal/</u>.=Fel arall, defnyddiwch y Ffurflen Sylwadau sydd ar gael i'w lawrlwytho o wefan y Cyngor: <u>www.siryfflint.gov.uk/cdll.</u> Neu gellir e-bostio sylwadau i'r Cyngor: <u>developmentplans@flintshire.gov.uk</u> Yn olaf, gallwch anfon sylwadau yn ysgrifenedig i'r Cyngor: Andrew Farrow - Prif Swyddog – Cynllunio, yr Amgylchedd a'r Economi, Cyngor Sir y Fflint, Neuadd y Sir, yr Wyddgrug, Sir y Fflint, CH7 6NF.

Flintshire County Council has published the Deposit Local Development Plan (LDP). As part of the Deposit Local Development Plan the site below has been allocated as a proposed development site. You are invited to view the proposal maps, 'Deposit LDP' with a list of other supporting documents located on the Councils website: <u>www.flintshire.gov.uk/ldp</u> The LDP documents will be available to view at the County Council offices at Ty Dewi Sant, Ewloe and County Hall, Mold and the Connects Offices and main libraries for 6 weeks from **30**th **Sept to 11**th **Nov 2019**.

If you have any comments to make on the Deposit Local Development Plan, these must be submitted **online from 30th Sept to 11th Nov 2019 via the LDP Consultation Portal:** <u>https://consult.flintshire.gov.uk/portal/</u>. Alternatively, using the Representation Form, available to download from the Council's website at: <u>www.flintshire.gov.uk/ldp.</u> Or representations can also be emailed to the Council at: <u>developmentplans@flintshire.gov.uk</u> Finally, representations can be made in writing and sent to the Council at: Andrew Farrow, Chief Officer – Planning, Environment and Economy Flintshire County Council, County Hall, Mold, Flintshire, CH7 6NF.

Site Number/Y Cyfeirnod: HN8-1

Proposal/Y Gwaith Arfaethedig: Gypsy and Travellers.

Site Location/Y Lleoliad: Magazine Lane, Ewloe (Extension).

Signed / Arwyddwyd:

Chief Officer (Planning, Environment & Economy) Prif Swyddog (Cynllunio, Amgylchedd ac Economi) On behalf of FLINTSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL / Arran CYNGOR SIR Y FFLINT Date/Dyddiad: 30th September 2019

DEDDF CYNLLUNIO GWLAD A THREF 1990 TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT 1990

Mae Cyngor Sir y Fflint wedi lansio ymgynghoriad ar y Cynllun Datblygu Lleol (CDLI) i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd. Fel rhan o'r Cynllun Datblygu Lleol i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd mae'r safle isod wedi cael ei glustnodi fel safle datblygu arfaethedig. Mae gwahoddiad i chi daro golwg ar fapiau'r cynnig, y CDLI i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd ynghyd â rhestr o ddogfennau ategol sydd ar gael ar wefan y Cyngor: www.siryfflint.gov.uk/cdll Bydd dogfennau'r CDLI ar gael i'w gweld yn swyddfeydd y Cyngor Sir yn Nhŷ Dewi Sant, Ewlo a Neuadd y Sir, yr Wyddgrug a Swyddfeydd Sir y Fflint yn Cysylltu a'r prif lyfrgelloedd am 6 wythnos rhwng **30 Medi ac 11 Tachwedd 2019.**

Os oes gennych unrhyw sylwadau am y Cynllun Datblygu Lleol i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd, rhaid cyflwyno'r rhain ar-lein rhwng **30 Medi a 11 Tachwedd 2019 drwy'r Porth Ymgynghori CDLI:** <u>https://consult.flintshire.gov.uk/portal/</u>.=Fel arall, defnyddiwch y Ffurflen Sylwadau sydd ar gael i'w lawrlwytho o wefan y Cyngor: <u>www.siryfflint.gov.uk/cdll.</u> Neu gellir e-bostio sylwadau i'r Cyngor: <u>developmentplans@flintshire.gov.uk</u> Yn olaf, gallwch anfon sylwadau yn ysgrifenedig i'r Cyngor: Andrew Farrow - Prif Swyddog – Cynllunio, yr Amgylchedd a'r Economi, Cyngor Sir y Fflint, Neuadd y Sir, yr Wyddgrug, Sir y Fflint, CH7 6NF.

Flintshire County Council has published the Deposit Local Development Plan (LDP). As part of the Deposit Local Development Plan the site below has been allocated as a proposed development site. You are invited to view the proposal maps, 'Deposit LDP' with a list of other supporting documents located on the Councils website: <u>www.flintshire.gov.uk/ldp</u> The LDP documents will be available to view at the County Council offices at Ty Dewi Sant, Ewloe and County Hall, Mold and the Connects Offices and main libraries for 6 weeks from **30**th **Sept to 11**th **Nov 2019**.

If you have any comments to make on the Deposit Local Development Plan, these must be submitted **online from 30th Sept to 11th Nov 2019 via the LDP Consultation Portal:** <u>https://consult.flintshire.gov.uk/portal/</u>. Alternatively, using the Representation Form, available to download from the Council's website at: <u>www.flintshire.gov.uk/ldp.</u> Or representations can also be emailed to the Council at: <u>developmentplans@flintshire.gov.uk</u>Finally, representations can be made in writing and sent to the Council at: Andrew Farrow, Chief Officer – Planning, Environment and Economy Flintshire County Council, County Hall, Mold, Flintshire, CH7 6NF.

Site Number/Y Cyfeirnod: HN8-3

Proposal/Y Gwaith Arfaethedig: Gypsy and Travellers.

Site Location/Y Lleoliad:

Riverside, Queensferry (Extension).

Signed / Arwyddwyd:

Chief Officer (Planning, Environment & Economy) Prif Swyddog (Cynllunio, Amgylchedd ac Economi) On behalf of FLINTSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL / Arran CYNGOR SIR Y FFLINT Date/Dyddiad: 30th September 2019

DEDDF CYNLLUNIO GWLAD A THREF 1990 TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT 1990

Mae Cyngor Sir y Fflint wedi lansio ymgynghoriad ar y Cynllun Datblygu Lleol (CDLI) i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd. Fel rhan o'r Cynllun Datblygu Lleol i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd mae'r safle isod wedi cael ei glustnodi fel safle datblygu arfaethedig. Mae gwahoddiad i chi daro golwg ar fapiau'r cynnig, y CDLI i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd ynghyd â rhestr o ddogfennau ategol sydd ar gael ar wefan y Cyngor: www.siryfflint.gov.uk/cdll Bydd dogfennau'r CDLI ar gael i'w gweld yn swyddfeydd y Cyngor Sir yn Nhŷ Dewi Sant, Ewlo a Neuadd y Sir, yr Wyddgrug a Swyddfeydd Sir y Fflint yn Cysylltu a'r prif lyfrgelloedd am 6 wythnos rhwng **30 Medi ac 11 Tachwedd 2019.**

Os oes gennych unrhyw sylwadau am y Cynllun Datblygu Lleol i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd, rhaid cyflwyno'r rhain ar-lein rhwng **30 Medi a 11 Tachwedd 2019 drwy'r Porth Ymgynghori CDLI:** <u>https://consult.flintshire.gov.uk/portal/</u>.=Fel arall, defnyddiwch y Ffurflen Sylwadau sydd ar gael i'w lawrlwytho o wefan y Cyngor: <u>www.siryfflint.gov.uk/cdll.</u> Neu gellir e-bostio sylwadau i'r Cyngor: <u>developmentplans@flintshire.gov.uk</u> Yn olaf, gallwch anfon sylwadau yn ysgrifenedig i'r Cyngor: Andrew Farrow - Prif Swyddog – Cynllunio, yr Amgylchedd a'r Economi, Cyngor Sir y Fflint, Neuadd y Sir, yr Wyddgrug, Sir y Fflint, CH7 6NF.

Flintshire County Council has published the Deposit Local Development Plan (LDP). As part of the Deposit Local Development Plan the site below has been allocated as a proposed development site. You are invited to view the proposal maps, 'Deposit LDP' with a list of other supporting documents located on the Councils website: <u>www.flintshire.gov.uk/ldp</u> The LDP documents will be available to view at the County Council offices at Ty Dewi Sant, Ewloe and County Hall, Mold and the Connects Offices and main libraries for 6 weeks from **30**th **Sept to 11**th **Nov 2019**. If you have any comments to make on the Deposit Local Development Plan, these must be submitted **online from 30th Sept to 11th Nov 2019 via the LDP Consultation Portal:** <u>https://consult.flintshire.gov.uk/portal/</u>. Alternatively, using the Representation Form, available to download from the Council's website at: <u>www.flintshire.gov.uk/ldp.</u> Or representations can also be emailed to the Council at: <u>developmentplans@flintshire.gov.uk</u> Finally, representations can be made in writing and sent to the Council at: Andrew Farrow, Chief Officer – Planning, Environment and Economy Flintshire County Council, County Hall, Mold, Flintshire, CH7 6NF.

Site Number/Y Cyfeirnod:	CON093
Proposal/Y Gwaith Arfaethedig:	Potential Residential Use.
Site Location/Y Lleoliad:	Highmere Drive, Connah's Quay.

Signed / Arwyddwyd:

Chief Officer (Planning, Environment & Economy) Prif Swyddog (Cynllunio, Amgylchedd ac Economi) On behalf of FLINTSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL / Arran CYNGOR SIR Y FFLINT Date/Dyddiad: 30th September 2019

DEDDF CYNLLUNIO GWLAD A THREF 1990 TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT 1990

Mae Cyngor Sir y Fflint wedi lansio ymgynghoriad ar y Cynllun Datblygu Lleol (CDLI) i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd. Fel rhan o'r Cynllun Datblygu Lleol i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd mae'r safle isod wedi cael ei glustnodi fel safle datblygu arfaethedig. Mae gwahoddiad i chi daro golwg ar fapiau'r cynnig, y CDLI i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd ynghyd â rhestr o ddogfennau ategol sydd ar gael ar wefan y Cyngor: www.siryfflint.gov.uk/cdll_Bydd dogfennau'r CDLI ar gael i'w gweld yn swyddfeydd y Cyngor Sir yn Nhŷ Dewi Sant, Ewlo a Neuadd y Sir, yr Wyddgrug a Swyddfeydd Sir y Fflint yn Cysylltu a'r prif lyfrgelloedd am 6 wythnos rhwng **30 Medi ac 11 Tachwedd 2019.**

Os oes gennych unrhyw sylwadau am y Cynllun Datblygu Lleol i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd, rhaid cyflwyno'r rhain ar-lein rhwng **30 Medi a 11 Tachwedd 2019 drwy'r Porth Ymgynghori CDLI:** <u>https://consult.flintshire.gov.uk/portal/</u>.=Fel arall, defnyddiwch y Ffurflen Sylwadau sydd ar gael i'w lawrlwytho o wefan y Cyngor: <u>www.siryfflint.gov.uk/cdll.</u> Neu gellir e-bostio sylwadau i'r Cyngor: <u>developmentplans@flintshire.gov.uk</u> Yn olaf, gallwch anfon sylwadau yn ysgrifenedig

i'r Cyngor: Andrew Farrow - Prif Swyddog – Cynllunio, yr Amgylchedd a'r Economi, Cyngor Sir y Fflint, Neuadd y Sir, yr Wyddgrug, Sir y Fflint, CH7 6NF.

Flintshire County Council has published the Deposit Local Development Plan (LDP). As part of the Deposit Local Development Plan the site below has been allocated as a proposed development site. You are invited to view the proposal maps, 'Deposit LDP' with a list of other supporting documents located on the Councils website: <u>www.flintshire.gov.uk/ldp</u> The LDP documents will be available to view at the County Council offices at Ty Dewi Sant, Ewloe and County Hall, Mold and the Connects Offices and main libraries for 6 weeks from **30**th **Sept to 11**th **Nov 2019**.

If you have any comments to make on the Deposit Local Development Plan, these must be submitted **online from 30th Sept to 11th Nov 2019 via the LDP Consultation Portal:** <u>https://consult.flintshire.gov.uk/portal/</u>. Alternatively, using the Representation Form, available to download from the Council's website at: <u>www.flintshire.gov.uk/ldp.</u> Or representations can also be emailed to the Council at: <u>developmentplans@flintshire.gov.uk</u> Finally, representations can be made in writing and sent to the Council at: **Andrew Farrow, Chief Officer – Planning, Environment and Economy Flintshire County Council, County Hall, Mold, Flintshire, CH7 6NF.**

Site Number/Y Cyfeirnod:	EWL017/020
Proposal/Y Gwaith Arfaethedig <i>:</i>	Potential Residential Use.
Site Location/Y Lleoliad:	Holywell Road/Green Lane, Ewloe.

Signed / Arwyddwyd:

Chief Officer (Planning, Environment & Economy) Prif Swyddog (Cynllunio, Amgylchedd ac Economi) On behalf of FLINTSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL / Arran CYNGOR SIR Y FFLINT Date/Dyddiad: 30th September 2019

DEDDF CYNLLUNIO GWLAD A THREF 1990 TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT 1990

Mae Cyngor Sir y Fflint wedi lansio ymgynghoriad ar y Cynllun Datblygu Lleol (CDLI) i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd. Fel rhan o'r Cynllun Datblygu Lleol i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd mae'r safle isod wedi cael ei glustnodi fel safle datblygu arfaethedig. Mae gwahoddiad i chi daro golwg ar fapiau'r cynnig, y CDLI i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd ynghyd â rhestr o ddogfennau ategol sydd ar gael ar wefan y Cyngor: <u>www.siryfflint.gov.uk/cdll</u> Bydd dogfennau'r CDLI ar gael i'w gweld yn swyddfeydd y Cyngor Sir yn Nhŷ Dewi Sant, Ewlo a Neuadd y Sir, yr Wyddgrug a Swyddfeydd Sir y Fflint yn Cysylltu a'r prif lyfrgelloedd am 6 wythnos rhwng **30 Medi ac 11 Tachwedd 2019.**

Os oes gennych unrhyw sylwadau am y Cynllun Datblygu Lleol i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd, rhaid cyflwyno'r rhain ar-lein rhwng **30 Medi a 11 Tachwedd 2019 drwy'r Porth Ymgynghori CDLI:** <u>https://consult.flintshire.gov.uk/portal/</u>.-Fel arall, defnyddiwch y Ffurflen Sylwadau sydd ar gael i'w lawrlwytho o wefan y Cyngor: <u>www.siryfflint.gov.uk/cdll.</u> Neu gellir e-bostio sylwadau i'r Cyngor: <u>developmentplans@flintshire.gov.uk</u> Yn olaf, gallwch anfon sylwadau yn ysgrifenedig i'r Cyngor: Andrew Farrow - Prif Swyddog – Cynllunio, yr Amgylchedd a'r Economi, Cyngor Sir y Fflint, Neuadd y Sir, yr Wyddgrug, Sir y Fflint, CH7 6NF.

Flintshire County Council has published the Deposit Local Development Plan (LDP). As part of the Deposit Local Development Plan the site below has been allocated as a proposed development site. You are invited to view the proposal maps, 'Deposit LDP' with a list of other supporting documents located on the Councils website: <u>www.flintshire.gov.uk/ldp</u> The LDP documents will be available to view at the County Council offices at Ty Dewi Sant, Ewloe and County Hall, Mold and the Connects Offices and main libraries for 6 weeks from **30**th **Sept to 11**th **Nov 2019**.

If you have any comments to make on the Deposit Local Development Plan, these must be submitted **online from 30th Sept to 11th Nov 2019 via the LDP Consultation Portal:** <u>https://consult.flintshire.gov.uk/portal/</u>. Alternatively, using the Representation Form, available to download from the Council's website at: <u>www.flintshire.gov.uk/ldp.</u> Or representations can also be emailed to the Council at: <u>developmentplans@flintshire.gov.uk</u> Finally, representations can be made in writing and sent to the Council at: Andrew Farrow, Chief Officer – Planning, Environment and Economy Flintshire County Council, County Hall, Mold, Flintshire, CH7 6NF.

Site Number/Y Cyfeirnod: MOL020

Proposal/Y Gwaith Arfaethedig: Potential Residential Use.

Site Location/Y Lleoliad: Maes Gwern, Mold.

Signed / Arwyddwyd:

Chief Officer (Planning, Environment & Economy) Prif Swyddog (Cynllunio, Amgylchedd ac Economi) On behalf of FLINTSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL / Arran CYNGOR SIR Y FFLINT Date/Dyddiad: 30th September 2019

DEDDF CYNLLUNIO GWLAD A THREF 1990 TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT 1990

Mae Cyngor Sir y Fflint wedi lansio ymgynghoriad ar y Cynllun Datblygu Lleol (CDLI) i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd. Fel rhan o'r Cynllun Datblygu Lleol i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd mae'r safle isod wedi cael ei glustnodi fel safle datblygu arfaethedig. Mae gwahoddiad i chi daro golwg ar fapiau'r cynnig, y CDLI i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd ynghyd â rhestr o ddogfennau ategol sydd ar gael ar wefan y Cyngor: www.siryfflint.gov.uk/cdll Bydd dogfennau'r CDLI ar gael i'w gweld yn swyddfeydd y Cyngor Sir yn Nhŷ Dewi Sant, Ewlo a Neuadd y Sir, yr Wyddgrug a Swyddfeydd Sir y Fflint yn Cysylltu a'r prif lyfrgelloedd am 6 wythnos rhwng **30 Medi ac 11 Tachwedd 2019.**

Os oes gennych unrhyw sylwadau am y Cynllun Datblygu Lleol i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd, rhaid cyflwyno'r rhain ar-lein rhwng **30 Medi a 11 Tachwedd 2019 drwy'r Porth Ymgynghori CDLI:** <u>https://consult.flintshire.gov.uk/portal/</u>.=Fel arall, defnyddiwch y Ffurflen Sylwadau sydd ar gael i'w lawrlwytho o wefan y Cyngor: <u>www.siryfflint.gov.uk/cdll.</u> Neu gellir e-bostio sylwadau i'r Cyngor: <u>developmentplans@flintshire.gov.uk</u> Yn olaf, gallwch anfon sylwadau yn ysgrifenedig i'r Cyngor: Andrew Farrow - Prif Swyddog – Cynllunio, yr Amgylchedd a'r Economi, Cyngor Sir y Fflint, Neuadd y Sir, yr Wyddgrug, Sir y Fflint, CH7 6NF.

Flintshire County Council has published the Deposit Local Development Plan (LDP). As part of the Deposit Local Development Plan the site below has been allocated as a proposed development site. You are invited to view the proposal maps, 'Deposit LDP' with a list of other supporting documents located on the Councils website: <u>www.flintshire.gov.uk/ldp</u> The LDP documents will be available to view at the County Council offices at Ty Dewi Sant, Ewloe and County Hall, Mold and the Connects Offices and main libraries for 6 weeks from **30**th **Sept to 11**th **Nov 2019**.

If you have any comments to make on the Deposit Local Development Plan, these must be submitted **online from 30th Sept to 11th Nov 2019 via the LDP Consultation Portal:** <u>https://consult.flintshire.gov.uk/portal/</u>. Alternatively, using the Representation Form, available to download from the Council's website at: <u>www.flintshire.gov.uk/ldp.</u> Or representations can also be emailed to the Council at: <u>developmentplans@flintshire.gov.uk</u> Finally, representations can be made in writing and sent to the Council at: Andrew Farrow, Chief Officer – Planning, Environment and Economy Flintshire County Council, County Hall, Mold, Flintshire, CH7 6NF.

Site Number/Y Cyfeirnod: STR3A

Proposal/Y Gwaith Arfaethedig: Potential Mixed Use Site: Employment, Housing, Commercial, Community Facilities.

Site Location/Y Lleoliad: Strategic Site Northern Gateway

Signed / Arwyddwyd:

Chief Officer (Planning, Environment & Economy) Prif Swyddog (Cynllunio, Amgylchedd ac Economi) On behalf of FLINTSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL / Arran CYNGOR SIR Y FFLINT Date/Dyddiad: 30th September 2019

DEDDF CYNLLUNIO GWLAD A THREF 1990 TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT 1990

Mae Cyngor Sir y Fflint wedi lansio ymgynghoriad ar y Cynllun Datblygu Lleol (CDLI) i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd. Fel rhan o'r Cynllun Datblygu Lleol i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd mae'r safle isod wedi cael ei glustnodi fel safle datblygu arfaethedig. Mae gwahoddiad i chi daro golwg ar fapiau'r cynnig, y CDLI i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd ynghyd â rhestr o ddogfennau ategol sydd ar gael ar wefan y Cyngor: <u>www.siryfflint.gov.uk/cdll</u> Bydd dogfennau'r CDLI ar gael i'w gweld yn swyddfeydd y Cyngor Sir yn Nhŷ Dewi Sant, Ewlo a Neuadd y Sir, yr Wyddgrug a Swyddfeydd Sir y Fflint yn Cysylltu a'r prif lyfrgelloedd am 6 wythnos rhwng **30 Medi ac 11 Tachwedd 2019.**

Os oes gennych unrhyw sylwadau am y Cynllun Datblygu Lleol i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd, rhaid cyflwyno'r rhain ar-lein rhwng **30 Medi a 11 Tachwedd 2019 drwy'r Porth Ymgynghori CDLI:** <u>https://consult.flintshire.gov.uk/portal/</u>.=Fel arall, defnyddiwch y Ffurflen Sylwadau sydd ar gael i'w lawrlwytho o wefan y Cyngor: <u>www.siryfflint.gov.uk/cdll.</u> Neu gellir e-bostio sylwadau i'r Cyngor: <u>developmentplans@flintshire.gov.uk</u> Yn olaf, gallwch anfon sylwadau yn ysgrifenedig i'r Cyngor: Andrew Farrow - Prif Swyddog – Cynllunio, yr Amgylchedd a'r Economi, Cyngor Sir y Fflint, Neuadd y Sir, yr Wyddgrug, Sir y Fflint, CH7 6NF.

Flintshire County Council has published the Deposit Local Development Plan (LDP). As part of the Deposit Local Development Plan the site below has been allocated as a proposed development site. You are invited to view the proposal maps, 'Deposit LDP' with a list of other supporting documents located on the Councils website: <u>www.flintshire.gov.uk/ldp</u> The LDP documents will be available to view at the County Council offices at Ty Dewi Sant, Ewloe and County Hall, Mold and the Connects Offices and main libraries for 6 weeks from **30th Sept to 11th Nov 2019.**

If you have any comments to make on the Deposit Local Development Plan, these must be submitted **online from 30th Sept to 11th Nov 2019 via the LDP Consultation Portal:** <u>https://consult.flintshire.gov.uk/portal/</u>. Alternatively, using the Representation Form, available to download from the Council's website at: <u>www.flintshire.gov.uk/ldp.</u> Or representations can also be emailed to the Council at: <u>developmentplans@flintshire.gov.uk</u> Finally, representations can be made in writing and sent to the Council at: Andrew Farrow, Chief Officer – Planning, Environment and Economy Flintshire County Council, County Hall, Mold, Flintshire, CH7 6NF.

Site Number/Y Cyfeirnod:	FL1007
Proposal/Y Gwaith Arfaethedig:	Potential Residential Use.
Site Location/Y Lleoliad:	Northop Road, Flint.

Signed / Arwyddwyd:

Chief Officer (Planning, Environment & Economy) Prif Swyddog (Cynllunio, Amgylchedd ac Economi) On behalf of FLINTSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL / Arran CYNGOR SIR Y FFLINT Date/Dyddiad: 30th September 2019

DEDDF CYNLLUNIO GWLAD A THREF 1990 TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT 1990

Mae Cyngor Sir y Fflint wedi lansio ymgynghoriad ar y Cynllun Datblygu Lleol (CDLI) i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd. Fel rhan o'r Cynllun Datblygu Lleol i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd mae'r safle isod wedi cael ei glustnodi fel safle datblygu arfaethedig. Mae gwahoddiad i chi daro golwg ar fapiau'r cynnig, y CDLI i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd ynghyd â rhestr o ddogfennau ategol sydd ar gael ar wefan y Cyngor: www.siryfflint.gov.uk/cdll_Bydd dogfennau'r CDLI ar gael i'w gweld yn swyddfeydd y Cyngor Sir yn Nhŷ Dewi Sant, Ewlo a Neuadd y Sir, yr Wyddgrug a Swyddfeydd Sir y Fflint yn Cysylltu a'r prif lyfrgelloedd am 6 wythnos rhwng **30 Medi ac 11 Tachwedd 2019.**

Os oes gennych unrhyw sylwadau am y Cynllun Datblygu Lleol i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd, rhaid cyflwyno'r rhain ar-lein rhwng **30 Medi a 11 Tachwedd 2019 drwy'r Porth Ymgynghori CDLI:** <u>https://consult.flintshire.gov.uk/portal/</u>.=Fel arall,

defnyddiwch y Ffurflen Sylwadau sydd ar gael i'w lawrlwytho o wefan y Cyngor: <u>www.siryfflint.gov.uk/cdll.</u> Neu gellir e-bostio sylwadau i'r Cyngor: <u>developmentplans@flintshire.gov.uk</u> Yn olaf, gallwch anfon sylwadau yn ysgrifenedig i'r Cyngor: Andrew Farrow - Prif Swyddog – Cynllunio, yr Amgylchedd a'r Economi, Cyngor Sir y Fflint, Neuadd y Sir, yr Wyddgrug, Sir y Fflint, CH7 6NF.

Flintshire County Council has published the Deposit Local Development Plan (LDP). As part of the Deposit Local Development Plan the site below has been allocated as a proposed development site. You are invited to view the proposal maps, 'Deposit LDP' with a list of other supporting documents located on the Councils website: <u>www.flintshire.gov.uk/ldp</u> The LDP documents will be available to view at the County Council offices at Ty Dewi Sant, Ewloe and County Hall, Mold and the Connects Offices and main libraries for 6 weeks from **30**th **Sept to 11**th **Nov 2019**.

If you have any comments to make on the Deposit Local Development Plan, these must be submitted **online from 30th Sept to 11th Nov 2019 via the LDP Consultation Portal:** <u>https://consult.flintshire.gov.uk/portal/</u>. Alternatively, using the Representation Form, available to download from the Council's website at: <u>www.flintshire.gov.uk/ldp.</u> Or representations can also be emailed to the Council at: <u>developmentplans@flintshire.gov.uk</u>Finally, representations can be made in writing and sent to the Council at: Andrew Farrow, Chief Officer – Planning, Environment and Economy Flintshire County Council, County Hall, Mold, Flintshire, CH7 6NF.

Site Number/Y Cyfeirnod: STR3B

Proposal/Y Gwaith Arfaethedig: Potential Mixed Use Site: Employment and Housing.

Site Location/Y Lleoliad: Strategic Site Warren Hall

Signed / Arwyddwyd:

Chief Officer (Planning, Environment & Economy) Prif Swyddog (Cynllunio, Amgylchedd ac Economi) On behalf of FLINTSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL / Arran CYNGOR SIR Y FFLINT Date/Dyddiad: 30th September 2019

DEDDF CYNLLUNIO GWLAD A THREF 1990 TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT 1990

Mae Cyngor Sir y Fflint wedi lansio ymgynghoriad ar y Cynllun Datblygu Lleol (CDLI) i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd. Fel rhan o'r Cynllun Datblygu Lleol i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd mae'r safle isod wedi cael ei glustnodi fel safle datblygu arfaethedig. Mae gwahoddiad i chi daro golwg ar fapiau'r cynnig, y CDLI i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd ynghyd â rhestr o ddogfennau ategol sydd ar gael ar wefan y Cyngor: www.siryfflint.gov.uk/cdll Bydd dogfennau'r CDLI ar gael i'w gweld yn swyddfeydd y Cyngor Sir yn Nhŷ Dewi Sant, Ewlo a Neuadd y Sir, yr Wyddgrug a Swyddfeydd Sir y Fflint yn Cysylltu a'r prif lyfrgelloedd am 6 wythnos rhwng **30 Medi ac 11 Tachwedd 2019.**

Os oes gennych unrhyw sylwadau am y Cynllun Datblygu Lleol i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd, rhaid cyflwyno'r rhain ar-lein rhwng **30 Medi a 11 Tachwedd 2019 drwy'r Porth Ymgynghori CDLI:** <u>https://consult.flintshire.gov.uk/portal/</u>.=Fel arall, defnyddiwch y Ffurflen Sylwadau sydd ar gael i'w lawrlwytho o wefan y Cyngor: <u>www.siryfflint.gov.uk/cdll.</u> Neu gellir e-bostio sylwadau i'r Cyngor: <u>developmentplans@flintshire.gov.uk</u> Yn olaf, gallwch anfon sylwadau yn ysgrifenedig i'r Cyngor: Andrew Farrow - Prif Swyddog – Cynllunio, yr Amgylchedd a'r Economi, Cyngor Sir y Fflint, Neuadd y Sir, yr Wyddgrug, Sir y Fflint, CH7 6NF.

Flintshire County Council has published the Deposit Local Development Plan (LDP). As part of the Deposit Local Development Plan the site below has been allocated as a proposed development site. You are invited to view the proposal maps, 'Deposit LDP' with a list of other supporting documents located on the Councils website: <u>www.flintshire.gov.uk/ldp</u> The LDP documents will be available to view at the County Council offices at Ty Dewi Sant, Ewloe and County Hall, Mold and the Connects Offices and main libraries for 6 weeks from **30**th **Sept to 11**th **Nov 2019**.

If you have any comments to make on the Deposit Local Development Plan, these must be submitted **online from 30th Sept to 11th Nov 2019 via the LDP Consultation Portal:** <u>https://consult.flintshire.gov.uk/portal/</u>. Alternatively, using the Representation Form, available to download from the Council's website at: <u>www.flintshire.gov.uk/ldp.</u> Or representations can also be emailed to the Council at: <u>developmentplans@flintshire.gov.uk</u>Finally, representations can be made in writing and sent to the Council at: Andrew Farrow, Chief Officer – Planning, Environment and Economy Flintshire County Council, County Hall, Mold, Flintshire, CH7 6NF.

Site Number/Y Cyfeirnod:	BUC031
Proposal/Y Gwaith Arfaethedig:	Potential Residential Use.
Site Location/Y Lleoliad:	Well Street, Buckley.

Signed / Arwyddwyd:

Chief Officer (Planning, Environment & Economy)

Prif Swyddog (Cynllunio, Amgylchedd ac Economi)

On behalf of FLINTSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL / Arran CYNGOR SIR Y FFLINT Date/Dyddiad: 30th September 2019

DEDDF CYNLLUNIO GWLAD A THREF 1990 TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT 1990

Mae Cyngor Sir y Fflint wedi lansio ymgynghoriad ar y Cynllun Datblygu Lleol (CDLI) i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd. Fel rhan o'r Cynllun Datblygu Lleol i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd mae'r safle isod wedi cael ei glustnodi fel safle datblygu arfaethedig. Mae gwahoddiad i chi daro golwg ar fapiau'r cynnig, y CDLI i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd ynghyd â rhestr o ddogfennau ategol sydd ar gael ar wefan y Cyngor: www.siryfflint.gov.uk/cdll_Bydd dogfennau'r CDLI ar gael i'w gweld yn swyddfeydd y Cyngor Sir yn Nhŷ Dewi Sant, Ewlo a Neuadd y Sir, yr Wyddgrug a Swyddfeydd Sir y Fflint yn Cysylltu a'r prif lyfrgelloedd am 6 wythnos rhwng **30 Medi ac 11 Tachwedd 2019.**

Os oes gennych unrhyw sylwadau am y Cynllun Datblygu Lleol i'w Archwilio gan y Cyhoedd, rhaid cyflwyno'r rhain ar-lein rhwng **30 Medi a 11 Tachwedd 2019 drwy'r Porth Ymgynghori CDLI:** <u>https://consult.flintshire.gov.uk/portal/</u>.=Fel arall, defnyddiwch y Ffurflen Sylwadau sydd ar gael i'w lawrlwytho o wefan y Cyngor: <u>www.siryfflint.gov.uk/cdll.</u> Neu gellir e-bostio sylwadau i'r Cyngor: <u>developmentplans@flintshire.gov.uk</u> Yn olaf, gallwch anfon sylwadau yn ysgrifenedig i'r Cyngor: Andrew Farrow - Prif Swyddog – Cynllunio, yr Amgylchedd a'r Economi, Cyngor Sir y Fflint, Neuadd y Sir, yr Wyddgrug, Sir y Fflint, CH7 6NF.

Flintshire County Council has published the Deposit Local Development Plan (LDP). As part of the Deposit Local Development Plan the site below has been allocated as a proposed development site. You are invited to view the proposal maps, 'Deposit LDP' with a list of other supporting documents located on the Councils website: <u>www.flintshire.gov.uk/ldp</u> The LDP documents will be available to view at the County Council offices at Ty Dewi Sant, Ewloe and County Hall, Mold and the Connects Offices and main libraries for 6 weeks from **30**th **Sept to 11**th **Nov 2019**.

If you have any comments to make on the Deposit Local Development Plan, these must be submitted **online from 30th Sept to 11th Nov 2019 via the LDP Consultation Portal:** <u>https://consult.flintshire.gov.uk/portal/</u>. Alternatively, using the Representation Form, available to download from the Council's website at: <u>www.flintshire.gov.uk/ldp.</u> Or representations can also be emailed to the Council at: <u>developmentplans@flintshire.gov.uk</u> Finally, representations can be made in writing and sent to the Council at: Andrew Farrow, Chief Officer – Planning, Environment and Economy Flintshire County Council, County Hall, Mold, Flintshire, CH7 6NF. Site Number/Y Cyfeirnod: HCAC004

Proposal/Y Gwaith Arfaethedig: Potential Residential Use.

Site Location/Y Lleoliad: Wrexham Road HCAC.

Signed / Arwyddwyd:

Chief Officer (Planning, Environment & Economy) Prif Swyddog (Cynllunio, Amgylchedd ac Economi) On behalf of FLINTSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL / Arran CYNGOR SIR Y FFLINT Date/Dyddiad: 30th September 2019