



The point over flexibility is heightened by its deletion, not diminished.

It is clear that the strategy of the Plan was to include a notable amount of housing in the Broughton area through Warren Hall. Indeed, it was classed as a "strategic site."

This means that alternative housing delivery is appropriate, either by way of additional site allocations and/or by way of accepting a higher windfall allowance.

MAC 058 – Support

We continue to support Warren Hall for employment purposes given its planning history and strategic location.

However, we believe this continues to lead to the above question still being valid to provide housing in the sustainable location of Broughton to support employment at Warren Hall.

39 ha of employment use in /near to Broughton is approximately 31% of all employment allocations in the Local Plan but there are no housing allocations nearby. This is now a heightened concern with the removal of housing from the Warren Hall allocation.

MAC 061 – support

We would also note the above comment in this context.

MAC 074 – comment


We would note that half of housing allocation figures are still within last 5 years of Plan period, and hence there continues to be a real concern over total delivery within this time period. This links to our observations above about the flexibility allowance.

We would note that the date of the information is still as at 1.4. 2020. We would query if this should be the case and that it will need updating to align with the adoption process of the Plan as per the required under the Development Plans Manual (pages 125 and 126) as follows:

However, during the plan preparation process it may be that the phasing and delivery assumptions of sites over the remaining plan period changes. Sites could be delivered earlier, or later, or in greater or fewer quantities in future years. In addition, actual completions from the start of the plan period may not have matched those initially considered appropriate. The combination of these factors will result in a subtly different Housing Development Trajectory (relating to provision) for the remaining plan period, a subtly different shaped curve. (p125).....

This process of amending the AABR can be undertaken throughout the plan preparation process. It will be critical to undertake a final, amended AABR prior to adoption of the LDP. This AABR is key as it is the annual housing delivery requirement against which the plan will be monitored (see paragraphs 8.14 to 8.17). (p126) (NJL underlining).

MAC 113 – comment



As with the above comments we believe the data now needs updating to reflect the Development Plans Manual.

MAC 115 – Appendices – Housing Trajectory Appendix 3a, b,c, d, - comment

As with the above comments we believe the data now need amending to reflect the Development Plans Manual.

IMAC 001 – support

We support the amendment and deletion of the housing element of Warren Hall and consequent policy amendments elsewhere in the plan. As with our general comments, we believe that there should now be consequential changes to maintain the original flexibility level of the Plan.

IMAC 01.26 - comment

We note the change to Housing Balance sheet – but consider a substitute site or sites would make some sense to now consider. The alternative would be a greater reliance on windfall sites for the Plan period.

Given the removal of Warren Hall for housing but retained in part for employment then it makes most sense to consider a sustainable location (s) at Broughton in the first instance.

We would be grateful for confirmation that the above comments to the Matters arising Changes have been received and will be considered accordingly.

We will welcome the opportunity to take part in further Hearing Sessions if the Inspectors deem this to be appropriate.

Yours faithfully

