TECHNICAL NOTE NO.1 REV B



Proposed Residential Development Comprising Up To 80 Dwellings Wrexham Road, Abermorddu CT/16244/TN01 Rev B – 22 February 2018

BACKGROUND

- 1. This Technical Note (TN) relates to a proposed residential development on land located to the south-west of the A541 Wrexham Road, Abermorddu. The applicant intends to develop a residential scheme comprising up to 80 dwellings and an area of open space at the south-eastern point of the site; the planning application is in outline with all matters reserved except for access.
- SCP were engaged by the applicant to prepare a Transport Assessment (TA), this has been subject to a pre-application consultation as per the requirements of Section 17 of the Planning Wales Act 2015, and The Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (Wales) (Amendment) Order 2016.
- 3. As a specialist consultee, Flintshire County Council (FCC) have recently provided a highwayrelated pre-application consultation response (see **Appendix A**) which states that FCC have "no objection to the principle of the development in this area", however they have requested further information in consideration of the transport requirements of the development and the Transport Implementation Strategy (TIS).
- 4. The comments provided by FCC have been reviewed and responded to in this TN. The responses are set out in broadly the same order as the FCC comments for ease of reference.

RESPONSE - FCC COMMENTS

Active and Sustainable Travel

5. FCC have stated that the TA does not address certain transport considerations outlined in the Planning Statement produced by Fisher German, particularly the following:

Paragraph 8.7.1 states that when determining a planning application for development that has transport implications, local planning authorities should take into account:

- The impacts of the proposed development on travel demand;
- The level and nature of public transport provision;



• Accessibility by a range of different transport modes;

• The opportunities to promote active travel journeys, and secure new and improved active travel routes and related facilities, in accordance with the provisions of the Active Travel (Wales) Act 2013;

• The willingness of a developer to promote travel by walking, cycling or public transport, or to provide infrastructure or measures to manage traffic, to overcome transport objections to the proposed development (payment for such measures will not, however, justify granting planning permission to a development for which it would not otherwise be granted);

The environmental impact of both transport infrastructure and the traffic generated12 (with a particular emphasis on minimising the causes of climate change associated with transport); and
The effects on the safety and convenience of other users of the transport network.

Policy AC4 - Travel Plans for Major Traffic Generating Developments: Developments which are likely to generate a substantial number of trips will only be permitted provided that the proposal is accompanied by a travel plan setting out what measures will be implemented to satisfactorily reduce the level of car based trips in terms of:

- Provision for pedestrians, cyclists and public transport;
- Other arrangements such as formal car sharing and private bus services;
- Implementation programme; and
- Monitoring and review procedures.

In the case of outline or speculative proposals the Council will require the submission of a Travel Plan at reserved matters stage or other appropriate pre-agreed time, through either a planning condition or legal agreement.

Policy AC13 - Access and Traffic Impact: The transport policy states that development proposals will be permitted only if:

• Approach roads to the site are of an adequate standard to accommodate the traffic likely to be generated by the development without compromising public safety, health and amenity; and

• Safe vehicular access can be provided by the developer both to and from the main highway network. Where considered necessary, the Council will require a transport assessment, incorporating a traffic impact assessment.

6. Policy AC4 states that outline proposals can submit a Travel Plan at the reserved matters stage and the proposed development is considered to meet the requirements under policy AC13 including providing a safe vehicular access/egress. Furthermore, paragraph 8.7.1 has largely been considered within the TA and can be considered further within the Travel Plan which can be submitted at the reserved matters stage.

Site Access

- 7. FCC have advised that the separation distance between the two site accesses, shown on the indicative site layout plan in **APPENDIX B**, is considered with regards to the SSD of traffic on the A541 Wrexham Road.
- 8. A separation distance of 120m should therefore be considered which is commensurate with a 40mph design speed as per the robust guidelines in the Design Manual for Roads and Bridges (DMRB) and TAN 18 Table A, including an allowance for motorists traveling above the speed limit.
- 9. The indicative site layout plan demonstrates that the two site accesses are separated by approximately 90m, falling approximately 30m short of FCC's advised separation distance. As detailed in the TA, the site accesses have been designed so that visibility splays of 2.4m x 120m are achievable in both directions from both access. Furthermore, given the geometries of the A541 Wrexham Road and the proximity of the two site accesses, there is no interference between the visibility splays. A vehicle parked in the neighbouring access will not interfere with the visibility splays, as shown on drawing number SCP/16244/F01 REV B presented in APPENDIX C. Therefore, it is not considered necessary to relocate either of the site accesses.
- 10. It should also be noted that two site access allow for a more permeable scheme and better design for internals with regards to topography and access for refuse vehicles etc.

Swept Path Analysis

11. FCC have requested that a swept path analysis of the internal estate road is undertaken. Furthermore, they have indicated that the analysis should take into account the potential for onstreet parking.

- 12. FCC have appropriately pointed out that the level of on-street parking will depend upon the housing layout and parking provision. The application is outline and therefore the plan is only indicative, however, as detailed in the TA, the level of car parking will be provided in line with FCC parking standards which allows for visitor parking requirements. On-street parking is therefore not anticipated at the proposed development.
- 13. Notwithstanding this, FCC's comments have been acknowledged and they will be considered during detailed design of the site layout. The internal road layout will be designed to safely accommodate the refuse vehicle used by FCC and consideration will be given to the potential for on-street parking.

Centre Line

14. FCC have recommended that a minimum road centre line radius of 30m is adopted throughout the site. As mentioned above, the application is only outline and therefore the plan is only indicative, however, this is a reasonable design recommendation which will be considered in the detailed design.

Pedestrian / Cycle Provision

- 15. It has been noted by FCC that the footway fronting the site is limited to a width of 1.0-1.2m and the existing pedestrian and cycle provision should be considered. FCC have suggested that a 3.0m wide provision is likely to be required between the site and Abermorddu County Primary School.
- 16. It is clear from the submitted plan that the scheme provides a traffic-free route from the development site into the primary school via a proposed gate. Therefore there is no requirement for this scheme to provide a continuous footway along the site frontage.

Highway Traffic Management Measures

- 17. FCC have outlined a requirement for off-road turning provision and parking provision for any properties with direct access onto the A541 Wrexham Road as well as the potential for the implementation of parking restrictions along the site frontage. FCC have also stated that it may be necessary to formalise parking bays and re-position the centre line of the road due to the on-street parking that takes place on the A541 Wrexham Road.
- 18. It is clear from the submitted site layout that there are no proposals for direct frontage onto the A541 Wrexham Road and therefore these comments are not valid. However, should this alter the FCC comments have been noted and will be considered at the detailed design stage.

19. FCC's comment relating to on-street parking in the vicinity of the site access seems reasonable and formalised parking bays will be incorporated into the detailed design. This is also considered to be a post-approval matter that can be dealt with via a standard planning condition.

Trip Rates

- 20. FCC have asked that further work be carried out to justify the trip rates within the submitted TA as they "appear uncharacteristically low".
- 21. In terms of the trip generation forecast methodology set out in the submitted TA, this is considered to be an accurate and representative way of forecasting the vehicular traffic that might be associated with the proposed residential development as the TRICS database was reviewed using good practice guidelines.
- 22. Without prejudice to the above, SCP have carried out a further review of the TRICS database, as requested by FCC.
- 23. Other than the selected location category, the alternative methodology is identical to that set out in the submitted TA. The application site is considered to fall within both the 'suburban area' and 'edge of town' location categories, although given that the site boundary meets the countryside, the site certainly falls within the 'edge of town' location category. Therefore, all the 'suburban area' sites selected in the TA have been removed to allow for a more robust assessment.
- 24. For the avoidance of doubt, the criteria for selecting the revised surveys is as follows:-
 - Residential;
 - Privately Owned Houses;
 - Multi Modal Trip Rates;
 - Sites in Greater London and Ireland excluded;
 - Selection by Number of Dwellings (40-160);
 - Weekday surveys only; and
 - Only sites in 'edge of town' locations selected.

25. The revised TRICS outputs are presented in Appendix D with the trip rates summarised in Table1.1 below:-

Table 1.1 – Residential Use Trip Rates (Trip Rates per Dwelling)

Mode	Weekday AN	I Peak Hour	Weekday PM Peak Hour			
	Arrivals	Departures	Arrivals	Departures		
Vehicles	0.154	0.359	0.326	0.131		
Cyclists	0.000	0.000 0.023		0.002		
Pedestrians	0.016	0.047	0.044	0.030		
Public Transport	0.005	0.000	0.002	0.002		

26. The above trip rates have been applied to the proposed 80 dwellings to determine the multi-modal trip generation forecasts for the development, as summarised in **Table 1.2** below.

Table 1.2 – Proposed Residential Use Trip Generation (80 Dwellings)

Mode	Weekday A	M Peak Hour	Weekday P	M Peak Hour
	Arrivals	Departures	Arrivals	Departures
Vehicles	12	29	26	10
Cyclists	0	2	1	0
Pedestrians	1	4	4	2
Public Transport	0	0	0	0

27. In terms of a comparison between the vehicular traffic forecasts contained in the submitted TA and the figures presented above, the differences are as follows:-

Mode	AM Pea	k Hour	PM Peak Hour		
mode	Arrivals		Arrivals	Departures	
Vehicles	+1	+1	-1	-3	

28. The above table demonstrates that there is no material change between the trip generation forecasts contained in the submitted TA and the revised trip generation forecasts, therefore, we stand by our original trip generation forecast.

Traffic Distribution

- 29. FCC have requested that the traffic distribution used in the submitted TA is reconsidered to take the A550 into account.
- 30. Following a review of the traffic distribution, all traffic distributed along Wrexham Road (south) has been re-distributed at the Wrexham Road / A550 / Cymau Lane signalised junction.
- 31. The trip distribution percentages are presented in Table 1.3 below:-

Table 1.3 – Proposed Development-Related Trip Distribution Forecasts

Route Reference	Route Description	Percentage
Α	A541 Wrexham Road (N)	44.5%
В	A541 Wrexham Road (S)	47.0%
С	A550	8.6%
D	Cymau Lane	0.0%

32. The forecast level of traffic generated by the proposed development has been re-assigned pro rata to the routes specified above and the PICADY and LINSIG models have subsequently been amended, as detailed below.

PICADY Model

- 33. The PICADY model for the site access junction has been amended to take into account the above traffic distribution changes as well as the presence of parked vehicles on the A541 Wrexham Road. The PICADY model therefore assumes the road width of the A541 Wrexham Road is 6.0m which is a reduction of 3.5m.
- 34. As detailed in the submitted TA, only the northern access has been assessed, with 100% of development traffic routing through it, as it is assumed the majority of site traffic will utilise this access based on the site layout. This approach is considered to be extremely robust because, although the majority of traffic generated by the development will use the northern access, a proportion of development traffic will use the southern access.
- 35. The PICADY results for the site access junction are presented in **Appendix E** with the results summarised in **Table 1.4** below.

Table 1.4 – Proposed Site Access Junction – 2022 'With Development' PICADY Results

Movement	AM (0745	PEAK to 0845)	PM PEAK (1645 to 1745)		
	RFC	MMQ	RFC	MMQ	
Site Access (Left)	0.03	0.0	0.01	0.0	
Site Access (Right)	0.07	0.1	0.03	0.0	
Wrexham Road North (Ahead/Right)	0.02	0.0	0.04	0.1	

* Note: RFC: Max demand / capacity ratio - Normal max 0.85 MMQ: mean max queue in vehicles

36. The above results clearly show that the proposed site access junction will operate well within its practical capacity in robust future assessment year of 2022, with minimal queuing and delay.

LINSIG Model

- 37. The LINSIG model for the Wrexham Road / A550 / Cymau Lane signalised junction has also been amended to take into account the aforementioned traffic distribution changes.
- 38. The LINSIG results are contained within **Appendix F** and a summary of the LINSIG capacity assessment results are presented in **Table 1.5** below.

Weekd (07:45	lay AM -08:45)	Weekday PM (16:45-17:45)		
DoS (%)	Queue (PCU)	DoS (%)	Queue (PCU)	
enario				
83.9	14.7	64.2	7.9	
80.4	6.9	45.6	2.7	
63.8	15.5	67.8	14.7	
83.6	11.9	66.9	9.8	
7.3	3%	32.7%		
enario				
91.7	18.9	70.2	9.3	
92.1	9.2	48.1	2.9	
68.8	17.3	72.0	16.0	
85.7	12.6	70.9	10.5	
-2.4	4%	25.	0%	
' Scenario				
94.6	22.1	71.9	11.1	
91.4	9.4	47.6	3.1	
68.4	17.4	72.1	16.4	
91.6	14.7	72.8	11.0	
-5.	1%	23.	6%	
	Weekc (07:45 DoS (%) enario 83.9 80.4 63.8 83.6 7.3 enario 91.7 92.1 68.8 85.7 -2.4 Scenario 94.6 91.4 68.4 91.6 -5.	Weekday AM (07:45-08:45) DoS (%) Queue (PCU) enario 33.9 83.9 14.7 80.4 6.9 63.8 15.5 83.6 11.9 $7.3 \lor$ $7.3 \lor$ enario 91.7 18.9 92.1 9.2 68.8 17.3 85.7 12.6 $-2.4 \lor$ Y Scenario 94.6 22.1 94.6 22.1 9.4 68.4 17.4 91.6 14.7 91.6 14.7 91.6 14.7 91.6 14.7	Weekday AM (07:45-08:45)Weekd (16:45)DoS (%)Queue (PCU)DoS (%)enario 33.9 14.7 64.2 80.4 6.9 45.6 63.8 15.5 67.8 83.6 11.9 66.9 7.3% $32.$ enario 91.7 18.9 70.2 92.1 9.2 48.1 68.8 17.3 72.0 85.7 12.6 70.9 -2.4% $25.$ Constant of the second	

Table 1.5 - Wrexham Road / A550 / Cymau Lane Signalised Junction

- 39. The updated LINSIG model does not demonstrate a material change when compared with the results contained in the submitted TA and therefore we stand by the following conclusion which was detailed in the TA:
- 40. The above table demonstrates that in the 2022 without development scenario, two arms are forecast to operate in excess of 90% in the AM peak hour. With the additional traffic generated by the proposed development, the DoS values and queue lengths will not significantly alter, when compared to the without development scenarios. In the PM peak hour, all arms operate within capacity in the 2022 with development scenario.
- 41. Given that the capacity concerns in 2022 without the proposed development in place are not significantly exacerbated by the proposed development, it does not appear reasonable to expect any junction / signal improvements.



Accessibility Review

- 42. FCC have stated that the TIS within the submitted TA is limited to the consideration of a Travel Plan and no consideration has been given to the adequacy or safety of the existing sustainable transport facilities. FCC have therefore assumed that some off-site infrastructure improvements will be required as part of this development.
- 43. Pedestrian access to the primary school, play area and other local facilities have been considered in detail and where appropriate, linkages are being provided from the application site. As such the application site is well located and offers realistic options for modes of travel other than the private car
- 44. Notwithstanding the above FCC can, should they wish to, condition a Travel Plan.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

45. Having regard to the responses provided in this TN, the development proposals are commended to the Council for approval subject to a number of suitably-worded planning conditions.

S|C|P Appendix A



c/o Fisher German Planning Team 4 Vicars Lane Chester CH1 1QU

By email

Your Ref/Eich Cyf	
Our Ref/Ein Cyf	Pre-app
Date/Dyddiad	22 December 2017
Ask for/Gofynner am	Colin Simpson
Direct Dial/Rhif Union	01352 704618
email colin.s	impson@flintshire.gov.uk

Dear Sirs

Pre Application Enquiry – Schedule 1C, Article 2D Consultation

LOCATION: Wrexham Road, Abermorddu

PROPOSAL: Development of up to 80 residential properties

This response has been prepared as comment to details presented on the TNW Architecture web site, primarily a Transport Assessment produced by SCP.

There is no objection to the principle of development in this area however further information is required in consideration of the transport requirements of the development and the Transport Implementation Strategy.

The Planning Statement produced by Fischer German clearly identifies the required transport considerations of development, paragraphs 4.17, 4.37 and 4.39 in particular. The TA however fails to correctly or fully address these requirements, particularly in respect of Active and sustainable Travel.

The indicative plan shows two access roads into the site; consideration should be given to the separation distance between accesses and advice that the minimum separation should be equivalent to the SSD of traffic on the road. It would appear that the site could adequately be served by a single vehicular access. Swept path analysis has been undertaken but this makes no allowance for parked vehicles especially within the turning circle; the likelihood of parked vehicles will depend upon housing layout and off-road parking provision. Minimum road centre line radius of 30m is recommended, anything less may require localized road widening. A swept path analysis of the internal estate road is required. Pedestrian and cycle provision both



County Hall, Mold. CH7 6NF www.flintshire.gov.uk Neuadd y Sir, Yr Wyddgrug. CH7 6NF www.siryfflint.gov.uk around and within the site requires careful consideration. The footway fronting the site is limited to a width of 1.0-1.2m; a 3m wide provision is likely to be required at least up to the nearby school.

As an outline application there is no indication of the proposed layout of properties; this layout will have direct impact on highway requirements. Any property provided with direct access onto the A541 will require off-road turning provision in addition to parking provision. Any frontage development may necessitate the implementation of parking restrictions along the site frontage. Many of the properties opposite the proposed access do not have off-road parking provision and rely on on-street parking; it may be necessary to formalize parking bays and re-position the centre line of the road.

The TRICs generation rates used in the TA appear uncharacteristically low and require further justification. Traffic generation rates appear excessively low and the total 24 hour multi modal generation is indicated to be only slightly greater than 6.0. The TRICs rates have been used not only to assess the generation from the proposed development but also from the nearby development and have been used in the capacity assessment of the signal controlled junction. Any revision to generation rates will impact on both the Picady and Linsig analysis. With the capacity concerns highlighted within the TA, it should be assumed that some improvement to junction or signal operation will be required.

The Picady analysis assumes a road width of 9.5m; the presence of parked vehicles reduces the available effective width below this. The Linsig analysis assumes a traffic distribution from the site to be in the ratio of 45:55 on the A541 but no allocation towards the A550; this distribution should be reconsidered.

Although the TA assumes that the site is considered to be in a suitable location in terms of sustainable travel, the TIS within the TA is limited to consideration of a Travel Plan. No consideration has been given to the adequacy or safety of the existing sustainable transport facility. Many of the assumed pedestrian routes do not have continuous footways, many footways within the area are below the acceptable minimum widths (Crossways is suggested as a pedestrian route but is devoid of effective footways), there are no identified cycle provision and many bus stops are not provided with shelters or raised boarding kerbs. It should be assumed that off-site infrastructure improvements will be required as part of this development.

It is suggested that the content of the TA is reviewed and discussions are undertaken with this department prior to the submission of a formal planning application.

For Highway Development Control Manager

S|C|P APPENDIX B

+91.000 ---ssues +96.000 ED Boy Und Existing Pond Cairr

	ARCHITECTS / UR
ЧI	CHAPEL HOUSE, CITY RC Tel : 01244 310388 Fax
VEED	E-mail : enquiries@tnw-a
	web.www.thw-archited

	SCALEBAR:	20m	40m	60m	80m	100m	REV:	DATE:	BY:	DESCRIPTION:
TS / URBAN DESIGNERS	1:1000						Α	20/12/16	BWL	Flood storage area indicated and housing omitted in area "F"
e, CHY ROAD, CHESTER CHI SAE 0388 Fax : 01244 325643 ies@tnw-architecture.co.uk							В	6/02/17	BWL	Flood storage area indicated and housing altered to avoid flood plain
w-architecture.co.uk	ALL DIMENSIONS TO ALL ERRORS AND O	be checked on siti Missions to be repo	e and not scaled i Drted to the Archi	From this drawing. Tect. © Copyright			С	13/03/17	BWL	Flood storage and surface water area indicated and housing layout altered



Layout of entrance roads and housing layout to north

G 29/08/17



SCALE: 1:1000/250:		DATE:	DRAWN BY:	CHECKED BY:	REVISION:	
					1610):SK05
		NORTH:	JOB/DRAW	NG NUMBER:		

S|C|P Appendix C



REVISIONS	+				
Rev Description A -NEW SITE LAYOUT UNDERLAID 2 B -AMENDMENTS MADE TO VISIBILITY 1 - -	Date By 24.10.17 WD 9.01.18 WD 				
	Client THE CLARK ESTATE	Drawing Title	Scale 1:1000 @ A3	ву WD	Drawing No.
J C P	Project Title WREXHAM ROAD,	VISIBILITY SPLAYS	Date 04.09.2017	Checked CT / PT	SCP/16244/F01
Transportation Planning : Infrastructure Design Colwyn Chambers, 19 York Street, Manchester, M2 3BA, Tel 0161 832 4400, www.scptransport.co.uk, Emall Info@scptransport.co.uk	ABERMORDDU		Approved/ Unapproved	Status	Revision B

© This drawing is the property of SCP and should not be copied, used or its contents divulged without prior written consent

S|C|P APPENDIX D

Calculation Reference: AUDIT-726001-180119-0130

Friday 19/01/18

Licence No: 726001

Page 1

TRIP RATE CALCULATION SELECTION PARAMETERS:

Land Use	:	03 - RESIDENTIAL
Category	:	A - HOUSES PRIVATELY OWNED
MULTI-MC)D	AL VEHICLES

Selec	ted regi	ions and areas:		
02	SOUTI	H EAST		
	SC	SURREY	1	days
	WS	WEST SUSSEX	1	days
06	W EST	MIDLANDS		
	SH	SHROPSHIRE	1	days
07	YORK	SHIRE & NORTH LINCOLNSHIRE		-
	NY	NORTH YORKSHIRE	1	days
09	NORT	H		
	CB	CUMBRIA	1	days

This section displays the number of survey days per TRICS® sub-region in the selected set

Secondary Filtering selection:

This data displays the chosen trip rate parameter and its selected range. Only sites that fall within the parameter range are included in the trip rate calculation.

Parameter:	Number of dwellings
Actual Range:	54 to 151 (units:)
Range Selected by User:	40 to 160 (units:)

Public Transport Provision: Selection by:

Include all surveys

Date Range: 01/01/09 to 27/11/17

This data displays the range of survey dates selected. Only surveys that were conducted within this date range are included in the trip rate calculation.

<u>Selected survey days:</u>	
Tuesday	1 days
Thursday	3 days
Friday	1 days

This data displays the number of selected surveys by day of the week.

Selected survey types:	
Manual count	5 days
Directional ATC Count	0 days

This data displays the number of manual classified surveys and the number of unclassified ATC surveys, the total adding up to the overall number of surveys in the selected set. Manual surveys are undertaken using staff, whilst ATC surveys are undertaking using machines.

<u>Selected Locations:</u> Edge of Town

5

This data displays the number of surveys per main location category within the selected set. The main location categories consist of Free Standing, Edge of Town, Suburban Area, Neighbourhood Centre, Edge of Town Centre, Town Centre and Not Known.

Selected Location Sub Categories	
Residential Zone	-
No Sub Category	

This data displays the number of surveys per location sub-category within the selected set. The location sub-categories consist of Commercial Zone, Industrial Zone, Development Zone, Residential Zone, Retail Zone, Built-Up Zone, Village, Out of Town, High Street and No Sub Category.

3 2

Secondary Filtering selection:

<u>Use Class:</u> C3

5 days

This data displays the number of surveys per Use Class classification within the selected set. The Use Classes Order 2005 has been used for this purpose, which can be found within the Library module of TRICS[®].

Secondary Filtering selection (Cont.):

Population within 1 mile:	
5,001 to 10,000	3 days
10,001 to 15,000	2 days

This data displays the number of selected surveys within stated 1-mile radii of population.

Population within 5 miles:	
25,001 to 50,000	2 days
75,001 to 100,000	2 days
100,001 to 125,000	1 days

This data displays the number of selected surveys within stated 5-mile radii of population.

Car	ownership	within	<u>5 miles</u>	-	
0.6	to 1.0			1	days
1.1	to 1.5			4	days

This data displays the number of selected surveys within stated ranges of average cars owned per residential dwelling, within a radius of 5-miles of selected survey sites.

<u>Travel Plan:</u>	
Yes	1 days
No	4 days

This data displays the number of surveys within the selected set that were undertaken at sites with Travel Plans in place, and the number of surveys that were undertaken at sites without Travel Plans.

PTAL_Rating:	
No PTAL Present	5 days

This data displays the number of selected surveys with PTAL Ratings.

Licence No: 726001

LIST OF SITES relevant to selection parameters

1	CB-03-A-04 SEMI DETACHED MOORCLOSE ROAD SALTERBACK WORKINGTON Edge of Town No Sub Category Total Number of dwellings:	82	CUMBRI A
2	Survey date: FRIDAY NY-03-A-10 HOUSES AND FLATS BOROUGHBRIDGE ROAD	24/04/09	Survey Type: MANUAL NORTH YORKSHIRE
3	RIPON Edge of Town No Sub Category Total Number of dwellings: <i>Survey date: TUESDAY</i> SC-03-A-04 HIGH ROAD	71 17/09/13 CED	Survey Type: MANUAL SURREY
4	BYFLEET Edge of Town Residential Zone Total Number of dwellings: Survey date: THURSDAY SH-03-A-05 SANDCROFT SUTTON HILL TELFORD Edge of Town	71 <i>23/01/14</i> RRACED	Survey Type: MANUAL SHROPSHIRE
5	Residential Zone Total Number of dwellings: Survey date: THURSDAY W S-03-A-04 MIXED HOUSES HILLS FARM LANE BROADBRIDGE HEATH HORSHAM Edge of Town Basidential Zone	54 24/10/13	Survey Type: MANUAL WEST SUSSEX
	Total Number of dwellings: Survey date: THURSDAY	151 <i>11/12/14</i>	Survey Type: MANUAL

This section provides a list of all survey sites and days in the selected set. For each individual survey site, it displays a unique site reference code and site address, the selected trip rate calculation parameter and its value, the day of the week and date of each survey, and whether the survey was a manual classified count or an ATC count.

TRIP RATE for Land Use 03 - RESIDENTIAL/A - HOUSES PRIVATELY OWNED MULTI-MODAL VEHICLES Calculation factor: 1 DW ELLS BOLD print indicates peak (busiest) period

	ARRIVALS		DEPARTURES			TOTALS			
	No.	Ave.	Trip	No.	Ave.	Trip	No.	Ave.	Trip
Time Range	Days	DWELLS	Rate	Days	DWELLS	Rate	Days	DWELLS	Rate
00:00 - 01:00									
01:00 - 02:00									
02:00 - 03:00									
03:00 - 04:00									
04:00 - 05:00									
05:00 - 06:00									
06:00 - 07:00									
07:00 - 08:00	5	86	0.089	5	86	0.294	5	86	0.383
08:00 - 09:00	5	86	0.154	5	86	0.359	5	86	0.513
09:00 - 10:00	5	86	0.138	5	86	0.172	5	86	0.310
10:00 - 11:00	5	86	0.133	5	86	0.179	5	86	0.312
11:00 - 12:00	5	86	0.149	5	86	0.177	5	86	0.326
12:00 - 13:00	5	86	0.152	5	86	0.154	5	86	0.306
13:00 - 14:00	5	86	0.182	5	86	0.159	5	86	0.341
14:00 - 15:00	5	86	0.172	5	86	0.177	5	86	0.349
15:00 - 16:00	5	86	0.254	5	86	0.189	5	86	0.443
16:00 - 17:00	5	86	0.277	5	86	0.170	5	86	0.447
17:00 - 18:00	5	86	0.326	5	86	0.131	5	86	0.457
18:00 - 19:00	5	86	0.214	5	86	0.147	5	86	0.361
19:00 - 20:00									
20:00 - 21:00									
21:00 - 22:00									
22:00 - 23:00									
23:00 - 24:00									
Total Rates: 2						2.308			4.548

This section displays the trip rate results based on the selected set of surveys and the selected count type (shown just above the table). It is split by three main columns, representing arrivals trips, departures trips, and total trips (arrivals plus departures). Within each of these main columns are three sub-columns. These display the number of survey days where count data is included (per time period), the average value of the selected trip rate calculation parameter (per time period), and the trip rate result (per time period). Total trip rates (the sum of the column) are also displayed at the foot of the table.

To obtain a trip rate, the average (mean) trip rate parameter value (TRP) is first calculated for all selected survey days that have count data available for the stated time period. The average (mean) number of arrivals, departures or totals (whichever applies) is also calculated (COUNT) for all selected survey days that have count data available for the stated time period. Then, the average count is divided by the average trip rate parameter value, and multiplied by the stated calculation factor (shown just above the table and abbreviated here as FACT). So, the method is: COUNT/TRP* FACT. Trip rates are then rounded to 3 decimal places.

Licence No: 726001

The survey data, graphs and all associated supporting information, contained within the TRICS Database are published by TRICS Consortium Limited ("the Company") and the Company claims copyright and database rights in this published work. The Company authorises those who possess a current TRICS licence to access the TRICS Database and copy the data contained within the TRICS Database for the licence holders' use only. Any resulting copy must retain all copyrights and other proprietary notices, and any disclaimer contained thereon.

The Company accepts no responsibility for loss which may arise from reliance on data contained in the TRICS Database. [No warranty of any kind, express or implied, is made as to the data contained in the TRICS Database.]

Parameter summary

Trip rate parameter range selected:	54 – 151 (units:)
Survey date date range:	01/01/09 - 27/11/17
Number of weekdays (Monday-Friday):	5
Number of Saturdays:	0
Number of Sundays:	0
Surveys automatically removed from selection:	0
Surveys manually removed from selection:	0

This section displays a quick summary of some of the data filtering selections made by the TRICS® user. The trip rate calculation parameter range of all selected surveys is displayed first, followed by the range of minimum and maximum survey dates selected by the user. Then, the total number of selected weekdays and weekend days in the selected set of surveys are show. Finally, the number of survey days that have been manually removed from the selected set outside of the standard filtering procedure are displayed.

TRIP RATE for Land Use 03 - RESIDENTIAL/A - HOUSES PRIVATELY OWNED MULTI-MODAL CYCLISTS Calculation factor: 1 DW ELLS BOLD print indicates peak (busiest) period

	ARRIVALS		DEPARTURES			TOTALS			
	No.	Ave.	Trip	No.	No. Ave. Trip		No.	Ave.	Trip
Time Range	Days	DWELLS	Rate	Days	DWELLS	Rate	Days	DWELLS	Rate
00:00 - 01:00									
01:00 - 02:00									
02:00 - 03:00									
03:00 - 04:00									
04:00 - 05:00									
05:00 - 06:00									
06:00 - 07:00									
07:00 - 08:00	5	86	0.007	5	86	0.002	5	86	0.009
08:00 - 09:00	5	86	0.000	5	86	0.023	5	86	0.023
09:00 - 10:00	5	86	0.000	5	86	0.005	5	86	0.005
10:00 - 11:00	5	86	0.005	5	86	0.014	5	86	0.019
11:00 - 12:00	5	86	0.002	5	86	0.002	5	86	0.004
12:00 - 13:00	5	86	0.005	5	86	0.000	5	86	0.005
13:00 - 14:00	5	86	0.007	5	86	0.002	5	86	0.009
14:00 - 15:00	5	86	0.005	5	86	0.002	5	86	0.007
15:00 - 16:00	5	86	0.007	5	86	0.005	5	86	0.012
16:00 - 17:00	5	86	0.019	5	86	0.009	5	86	0.028
17:00 - 18:00	5	86	0.014	5	86	0.002	5	86	0.016
18:00 - 19:00	5	86	0.000	5	86	0.002	5	86	0.002
19:00 - 20:00									
20:00 - 21:00									
21:00 - 22:00									
22:00 - 23:00									
23:00 - 24:00									
Total Rates:			0.071			0.068			0.139

This section displays the trip rate results based on the selected set of surveys and the selected count type (shown just above the table). It is split by three main columns, representing arrivals trips, departures trips, and total trips (arrivals plus departures). Within each of these main columns are three sub-columns. These display the number of survey days where count data is included (per time period), the average value of the selected trip rate calculation parameter (per time period), and the trip rate result (per time period). Total trip rates (the sum of the column) are also displayed at the foot of the table.

To obtain a trip rate, the average (mean) trip rate parameter value (TRP) is first calculated for all selected survey days that have count data available for the stated time period. The average (mean) number of arrivals, departures or totals (whichever applies) is also calculated (COUNT) for all selected survey days that have count data available for the stated time period. Then, the average count is divided by the average trip rate parameter value, and multiplied by the stated calculation factor (shown just above the table and abbreviated here as FACT). So, the method is: COUNT/TRP* FACT. Trip rates are then rounded to 3 decimal places.

Licence No: 726001

The survey data, graphs and all associated supporting information, contained within the TRICS Database are published by TRICS Consortium Limited ("the Company") and the Company claims copyright and database rights in this published work. The Company authorises those who possess a current TRICS licence to access the TRICS Database and copy the data contained within the TRICS Database for the licence holders' use only. Any resulting copy must retain all copyrights and other proprietary notices, and any disclaimer contained thereon.

The Company accepts no responsibility for loss which may arise from reliance on data contained in the TRICS Database. [No warranty of any kind, express or implied, is made as to the data contained in the TRICS Database.]

Parameter summary

Trip rate parameter range selected:	54 – 151 (units:)
Survey date date range:	01/01/09 - 27/11/17
Number of weekdays (Monday-Friday):	5
Number of Saturdays:	0
Number of Sundays:	0
Surveys automatically removed from selection:	0
Surveys manually removed from selection:	0

This section displays a quick summary of some of the data filtering selections made by the TRICS® user. The trip rate calculation parameter range of all selected surveys is displayed first, followed by the range of minimum and maximum survey dates selected by the user. Then, the total number of selected weekdays and weekend days in the selected set of surveys are show. Finally, the number of survey days that have been manually removed from the selected set outside of the standard filtering procedure are displayed.

TRIP RATE for Land Use 03 - RESIDENTIAL/A - HOUSES PRIVATELY OWNED MULTI-MODAL PEDESTRIANS Calculation factor: 1 DW ELLS BOLD print indicates peak (busiest) period

	ARRIVALS			DEPARTURES			TOTALS		
	No.	Ave.	Trip	No.	Ave.	Trip	No.	Ave.	Trip
Time Range	Days	DWELLS	Rate	Days	DWELLS	Rate	Days	DWELLS	Rate
00:00 - 01:00									
01:00 - 02:00									
02:00 - 03:00									
03:00 - 04:00									
04:00 - 05:00									
05:00 - 06:00									
06:00 - 07:00									
07:00 - 08:00	5	86	0.019	5	86	0.033	5	86	0.052
08:00 - 09:00	5	86	0.016	5	86	0.047	5	86	0.063
09:00 - 10:00	5	86	0.023	5	86	0.047	5	86	0.070
10:00 - 11:00	5	86	0.044	5	86	0.026	5	86	0.070
11:00 - 12:00	5	86	0.009	5	86	0.016	5	86	0.025
12:00 - 13:00	5	86	0.009	5	86	0.019	5	86	0.028
13:00 - 14:00	5	86	0.028	5	86	0.016	5	86	0.044
14:00 - 15:00	5	86	0.054	5	86	0.040	5	86	0.094
15:00 - 16:00	5	86	0.061	5	86	0.028	5	86	0.089
16:00 - 17:00	5	86	0.061	5	86	0.040	5	86	0.101
17:00 - 18:00	5	86	0.044	5	86	0.030	5	86	0.074
18:00 - 19:00	5	86	0.049	5	86	0.037	5	86	0.086
19:00 - 20:00									
20:00 - 21:00									
21:00 - 22:00									
22:00 - 23:00									
23:00 - 24:00									
Total Rates:			0.417			0.379			0.796

This section displays the trip rate results based on the selected set of surveys and the selected count type (shown just above the table). It is split by three main columns, representing arrivals trips, departures trips, and total trips (arrivals plus departures). Within each of these main columns are three sub-columns. These display the number of survey days where count data is included (per time period), the average value of the selected trip rate calculation parameter (per time period), and the trip rate result (per time period). Total trip rates (the sum of the column) are also displayed at the foot of the table.

To obtain a trip rate, the average (mean) trip rate parameter value (TRP) is first calculated for all selected survey days that have count data available for the stated time period. The average (mean) number of arrivals, departures or totals (whichever applies) is also calculated (COUNT) for all selected survey days that have count data available for the stated time period. Then, the average count is divided by the average trip rate parameter value, and multiplied by the stated calculation factor (shown just above the table and abbreviated here as FACT). So, the method is: COUNT/TRP* FACT. Trip rates are then rounded to 3 decimal places.

Licence No: 726001

The survey data, graphs and all associated supporting information, contained within the TRICS Database are published by TRICS Consortium Limited ("the Company") and the Company claims copyright and database rights in this published work. The Company authorises those who possess a current TRICS licence to access the TRICS Database and copy the data contained within the TRICS Database for the licence holders' use only. Any resulting copy must retain all copyrights and other proprietary notices, and any disclaimer contained thereon.

The Company accepts no responsibility for loss which may arise from reliance on data contained in the TRICS Database. [No warranty of any kind, express or implied, is made as to the data contained in the TRICS Database.]

Parameter summary

Trip rate parameter range selected:	54 – 151 (units:)
Survey date date range:	01/01/09 - 27/11/17
Number of weekdays (Monday-Friday):	5
Number of Saturdays:	0
Number of Sundays:	0
Surveys automatically removed from selection:	0
Surveys manually removed from selection:	0

This section displays a quick summary of some of the data filtering selections made by the TRICS® user. The trip rate calculation parameter range of all selected surveys is displayed first, followed by the range of minimum and maximum survey dates selected by the user. Then, the total number of selected weekdays and weekend days in the selected set of surveys are show. Finally, the number of survey days that have been manually removed from the selected set outside of the standard filtering procedure are displayed.

TRIP RATE for Land Use 03 - RESIDENTIAL/A - HOUSES PRIVATELY OWNED **MULTI-MODAL PUBLIC TRANSPORT USERS Calculation factor: 1 DW ELLS BOLD print indicates peak (busiest) period**

	ARRIVALS		DEPARTURES			TOTALS			
	No.	Ave.	Trip	No.	No. Ave. Trip		No.	Ave.	Trip
Time Range	Days	DWELLS	Rate	Days	DWELLS	Rate	Days	DWELLS	Rate
00:00 - 01:00									
01:00 - 02:00									
02:00 - 03:00									
03:00 - 04:00									
04:00 - 05:00									
05:00 - 06:00									
06:00 - 07:00									
07:00 - 08:00	5	86	0.000	5	86	0.005	5	86	0.005
08:00 - 09:00	5	86	0.005	5	86	0.000	5	86	0.005
09:00 - 10:00	5	86	0.000	5	86	0.002	5	86	0.002
10:00 - 11:00	5	86	0.000	5	86	0.005	5	86	0.005
11:00 - 12:00	5	86	0.002	5	86	0.000	5	86	0.002
12:00 - 13:00	5	86	0.000	5	86	0.000	5	86	0.000
13:00 - 14:00	5	86	0.000	5	86	0.000	5	86	0.000
14:00 - 15:00	5	86	0.000	5	86	0.002	5	86	0.002
15:00 - 16:00	5	86	0.000	5	86	0.000	5	86	0.000
16:00 - 17:00	5	86	0.005	5	86	0.002	5	86	0.007
17:00 - 18:00	5	86	0.002	5	86	0.002	5	86	0.004
18:00 - 19:00	5	86	0.005	5	86	0.000	5	86	0.005
19:00 - 20:00									
20:00 - 21:00									
21:00 - 22:00									
22:00 - 23:00									
23:00 - 24:00									
Total Rates:			0.019			0.018			0.037

This section displays the trip rate results based on the selected set of surveys and the selected count type (shown just above the table). It is split by three main columns, representing arrivals trips, departures trips, and total trips (arrivals plus departures). Within each of these main columns are three sub-columns. These display the number of survey days where count data is included (per time period), the average value of the selected trip rate calculation parameter (per time period), and the trip rate result (per time period). Total trip rates (the sum of the column) are also displayed at the foot of the table.

To obtain a trip rate, the average (mean) trip rate parameter value (TRP) is first calculated for all selected survey days that have count data available for the stated time period. The average (mean) number of arrivals, departures or totals (whichever applies) is also calculated (COUNT) for all selected survey days that have count data available for the stated time period. Then, the average count is divided by the average trip rate parameter value, and multiplied by the stated calculation factor (shown just above the table and abbreviated here as FACT). So, the method is: COUNT/TRP* FACT. Trip rates are then rounded to 3 decimal places.

Licence No: 726001

The survey data, graphs and all associated supporting information, contained within the TRICS Database are published by TRICS Consortium Limited ("the Company") and the Company claims copyright and database rights in this published work. The Company authorises those who possess a current TRICS licence to access the TRICS Database and copy the data contained within the TRICS Database for the licence holders' use only. Any resulting copy must retain all copyrights and other proprietary notices, and any disclaimer contained thereon.

The Company accepts no responsibility for loss which may arise from reliance on data contained in the TRICS Database. [No warranty of any kind, express or implied, is made as to the data contained in the TRICS Database.]

Parameter summary

Trip rate parameter range selected:	54 – 151 (units:)
Survey date date range:	01/01/09 - 27/11/17
Number of weekdays (Monday-Friday):	5
Number of Saturdays:	0
Number of Sundays:	0
Surveys automatically removed from selection:	0
Surveys manually removed from selection:	0

This section displays a quick summary of some of the data filtering selections made by the TRICS® user. The trip rate calculation parameter range of all selected surveys is displayed first, followed by the range of minimum and maximum survey dates selected by the user. Then, the total number of selected weekdays and weekend days in the selected set of surveys are show. Finally, the number of survey days that have been manually removed from the selected set outside of the standard filtering procedure are displayed.

S|C|P Appendix E



Junctions 9				
PICADY 9 - Priority Intersection Module				
Version: 9.0.2.5947 © Copyright TRL Limited, 2017				
For sales and distribution information, program advice and maintenance, contact TRL: +44 (0)1344 770558 software@trl.co.uk www.trlsoftware.co.uk				
The users of this computer program for the solution of an engineering problem are in no way relieved of their responsibility for the correctness of the solution				

Filename: SITE ACCESS_Technical Note_January_2017.j9 Path: Z:\Job Library\2016\16244 - Wrexham Road, Abermorddu\Traffic Data\PICADY Report generation date: 23/01/2018 15:02:25

»2022, AM »2022, PM

Summary of junction performance

	AM				PM				
	Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	RFC	LOS	Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	RFC	LOS	
		2022							
Stream B-C	0.0	7.50	0.03	Α	0.0	6.81	0.01	А	
Stream B-A	0.1	16.16	0.07	С	0.0	13.19	0.03	В	
Stream C-AB	0.0	4.10	0.02	Α	0.1	4.34	0.04	А	

There are warnings associated with one or more model runs - see the 'Data Errors and Warnings' tables for each Analysis or Demand Set.

Values shown are the highest values encountered over all time segments. Delay is the maximum value of average delay per arriving vehicle.

File summary

File Description

Title	(untitled)
Location	
Site number	
Date	01/09/2017
Version	
Status	(new file)
Identifier	
Client	
Jobnumber	
Enumerator	SCP\craig.thomson
Description	

Units

Distance units	Speed units	Traffic units input	Traffic units results	Flow units	Average delay units	Total delay units	Rate of delay units
m	kph	PCU	PCU	perHour	s	-Min	perMin

Analysis Options

Vehicle length	Calculate Queue	Calculate detailed queueing	Calculate residual	RFC	Average Delay threshold (s)	Queue threshold
(m)	Percentiles	delay	capacity	Threshold		(PCU)
5.75				0.85	36.00	20.00



Demand Set Summary

I	D	Scenario name	Time Period name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:mm)	Finish time (HH:mm)	Time segment length (min)	Run automatically
0	D1	2022	AM	ONE HOUR	07:30	09:00	15	✓
[D2	2022	PM	ONE HOUR	16:30	18:00	15	✓

Analysis Set Details

ID	Include in report	Network flow scaling factor (%)	Network capacity scaling factor (%)	
A 1	~	100.000	100.000	



2022, AM

Data Errors and Warnings

Severity	Area	Item	Description
Warning	Vehicle Mix		HV% is zero for all movements / time segments. Vehicle Mix matrix should be completed whether working in PCUs or Vehs.

Junction Network

Junctions

Junction	Name	Junction Type	Major road direction	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS
1	untitled	T-Junction	Two-way	0.33	А

Junction Network Options

Driving side	Lighting
Left	Normal/unknown

Arms

Arms

Arm	Name	Description	Arm type
Α	WREXHAM ROAD SOUTH		Major
в	SITE ACCESS		Minor
С	WREXHAM ROAD NORTH		Major

Major Arm Geometry

Arm	Width of carriageway (m)	Has kerbed central reserve	Has right turn bay	Visibility for right turn (m)	Blocks?	Blocking queue (PCU)
С	6.00			180.0	~	0.00

Geometries for Arm C are measured opposite Arm B. Geometries for Arm A (if relevant) are measured opposite Arm D.

Minor Arm Geometry

Arm	Minor arm	Width at give-	Width at	Width at	Width at	Width at	Estimate flare	Flare length	Visibility to	Visibility to
	type	way (m)	5m (m)	10m (m)	15m (m)	20m (m)	length	(PCU)	left (m)	right (m)
в	One lane plus flare	8.50	3.20	2.75	2.75	2.75		1.00	20	18

Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Priority Intersection Slopes and Intercepts

Junction	Stream	Intercept (PCU/hr)	Slope for A-B	Slope for A-C	Slope for C-A	Slope for C-B
1	B-A	504	0.092	0.232	0.146	0.332
1	B-C	687	0.105	0.266	-	-
1	C-B	678	0.263	0.263	-	-

The slopes and intercepts shown above do NOT include any corrections or adjustments.

Streams may be combined, in which case capacity will be adjusted.

Values are shown for the first time segment only; they may differ for subsequent time segments.



Traffic Demand

Demand Set Details

ID	Scenario name	Time Period name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:mm)	Finish time (HH:mm)	Time segment length (min)	Run automatically
D1	2022	AM	ONE HOUR	07:30	09:00	15	✓

Vehicle mix varies over turn	Vehicle mix varies over entry	Vehicle mix source	PCU Factor for a HV (PCU)
~	✓	HV Percentages	2.00

Demand overview (Traffic)

Arm	Linked arm	Profile type	Use O-D data	Average Demand (PCU/hr)	Scaling Factor (%)
Α		ONE HOUR	~	626	100.000
в		ONE HOUR	✓	29	100.000
С		ONE HOUR	✓	644	100.000

Origin-Destination Data

Demand (PCU/hr)

	То						
From		Α	В	c			
	Α	0	6	620			
	в	16	0	13			
	С	638	6	0			

Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

	То						
From		Α	в	С			
	Α	0	0	0			
	в	0	0	0			
	С	0	0	0			

Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

Stream	Max RFC	Max delay (s)	Max Queue (PCU)	Max LOS	Average Demand (PCU/hr)	Total Junction Arrivals (PCU)
B-C	0.03	7.50	0.0	А	12	18
B-A	0.07	16.16	0.1	С	15	22
C-AB	0.02	4.10	0.0	A	15	23
C-A					576	863
A-B					6	8
A-C					569	853



Main Results for each time segment

07:30 - 07:45

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
B-C	10	2	557	0.018	10	0.0	0.0	6.579	А
B-A	12	3	324	0.037	12	0.0	0.0	11.538	В
C-AB	10	2	887	0.011	10	0.0	0.0	4.105	A
C-A	475	119			475				
A-B	5	1			5				
A-C	467	117			467				

07:45 - 08:00

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
B-C	12	3	531	0.022	12	0.0	0.0	6.936	А
B-A	14	4	289	0.050	14	0.0	0.1	13.110	В
C-AB	14	3	935	0.015	14	0.0	0.0	3.908	А
C-A	565	141			565				
ΑB	5	1			5				
A-C	557	139			557				

08:00 - 08:15

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
B-C	14	4	494	0.029	14	0.0	0.0	7.497	А
B-A	18	4	240	0.073	18	0.1	0.1	16.145	С
C-AB	22	5	1006	0.022	22	0.0	0.0	3.659	A
C-A	687	172			687				
A-B	7	2			7				
A-C	683	171			683				

08:15 - 08:30

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
B-C	14	4	494	0.029	14	0.0	0.0	7.504	А
B-A	18	4	240	0.073	18	0.1	0.1	16.157	С
C-AB	22	5	1006	0.022	22	0.0	0.0	3.662	А
C-A	687	172			687				
A-B	7	2			7				
A-C	683	171			683				

08:30 - 08:45

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
B-C	12	3	530	0.022	12	0.0	0.0	6.947	А
B-A	14	4	289	0.050	14	0.1	0.1	13.125	В
C-AB	14	4	935	0.015	14	0.0	0.0	3.910	А
C-A	565	141			565				
A-B	5	1			5				
A-C	557	139			557				


08:45 - 09:00

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
B-C	10	2	556	0.018	10	0.0	0.0	6.594	А
B-A	12	3	324	0.037	12	0.1	0.0	11.550	В
C-AB	10	2	887	0.011	10	0.0	0.0	4.105	А
C-A	475	119			475				
ΑB	5	1			5				
AC	467	117			467				



2022, PM

Data Errors and Warnings

Severity	Area	Item	Description
Warning	Vehicle Mix		HV% is zero for all movements / time segments. Vehicle Mix matrix should be completed whether working in PCUs or Vehs.

Junction Network

Junctions

Junction	Name	Junction Type	Major road direction	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS
1	untitled	T-Junction	Two-way	0.23	А

Junction Network Options

Driving side	Lighting
Left	Normal/unknown

Traffic Demand

Demand Set Details

ID	Scenario name	Time Period name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:mm)	Finish time (HH:mm)	Time segment length (min)	Run automatically
D2	2022	PM	ONE HOUR	16:30	18:00	15	~

Vehicle mix varies over turn	Vehicle mix varies over entry	Vehicle mix source	PCU Factor for a HV (PCU)
✓	✓	HV Percentages	2.00

Demand overview (Traffic)

Arm	Linked arm	Profile type	Use O-D data	Average Demand (PCU/hr)	Scaling Factor (%)
Α		ONE HOUR	~	530	100.000
в		ONE HOUR	✓	13	100.000
С		ONE HOUR	✓	544	100.000

Origin-Destination Data

Demand (PCU/hr)

		То						
From		A	в	С				
	Α	0	15	515				
	в	7	0	6				
	С	532	12	0				

Vehicle Mix

Heavy Vehicle Percentages

	То					
		Α	в	С		
-	Α	0	0	0		
From	в	0	0	0		
	С	0	0	0		



Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

Stream	Max RFC	Max delay (s)	Max Queue (PCU)	Max LOS	Average Demand (PCU/hr)	Total Junction Arrivals (PCU)
B-C	0.01	6.81	0.0	А	6	8
B-A	0.03	13.19	0.0	В	6	10
C-AB	0.04	4.34	0.1	А	25	38
C-A					474	711
A-B					14	21
A-C					473	709

Main Results for each time segment

16:30 - 16:45

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
B-C	5	1	586	0.008	4	0.0	0.0	6.187	А
B-A	5	1	351	0.015	5	0.0	0.0	10.413	В
C-AB	17	4	846	0.020	17	0.0	0.0	4.341	А
C-A	392	98			392				
A-B	11	3			11				
A-C	388	97			388				

16:45 - 17:00

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
B-C	5	1	565	0.010	5	0.0	0.0	6.434	А
B-A	6	2	321	0.020	6	0.0	0.0	11.424	В
C-AB	24	6	884	0.027	24	0.0	0.0	4.181	А
C-A	465	116			465				
A-B	13	3			13				
A-C	463	116			463				

17:00 - 17:15

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
B-C	7	2	536	0.012	7	0.0	0.0	6.805	А
B-A	8	2	281	0.027	8	0.0	0.0	13.191	В
C-AB	35	9	940	0.037	35	0.0	0.1	3.978	А
C-A	564	141			564				
ΑB	17	4			17				
A-C	567	142			567				

17:15 - 17:30

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
B-C	7	2	535	0.012	7	0.0	0.0	6.808	А
B-A	8	2	281	0.027	8	0.0	0.0	13.193	В
C-AB	35	9	940	0.037	35	0.1	0.1	3.980	А
C-A	564	141			564				
A-B	17	4			17				
A-C	567	142			567				



17:30 - 17:45

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
B-C	5	1	564	0.010	5	0.0	0.0	6.443	А
B-A	6	2	321	0.020	6	0.0	0.0	11.427	В
C-AB	24	6	884	0.027	24	0.1	0.0	4.184	A
C-A	465	116			465				
A-B	13	3			13				
A-C	463	116			463				

17:45 - 18:00

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	LOS
B-C	5	1	586	0.008	5	0.0	0.0	6.198	А
B-A	5	1	351	0.015	5	0.0	0.0	10.416	В
C-AB	17	4	846	0.020	17	0.0	0.0	4.343	А
C-A	392	98			392				
A-B	11	3			11				
A-C	388	97			388				

S|C|P APPENDIX F

Full Input Data And Results Full Input Data And Results

User and Project Details

Project:	Wrexham Road, Abermorddu
Title:	
Location:	
Additional detail:	
File name:	Wrexham Road_A550_Cymau Lane_LB_Technical Note_January 2018.lsg3x
Author:	Sam Beckett
Company:	SCP
Address:	Colwyn Chambers, 19 York Street, Manchester, M20 4BT

Network Layout Diagram



Phase Diagram



Phase Input Data

Phase Name	Phase Type	Assoc. Phase	Street Min	Cont Min
А	Traffic		7	7
В	Traffic		7	7
С	Traffic		7	7
D	Traffic		7	7
E	Traffic		7	7
F	Traffic		7	7
G	Pedestrian		7	7
Н	Pedestrian		7	7
I	Pedestrian		7	7
J	Pedestrian		7	7
К	Pedestrian		7	7

Phase Intergreens Matrix

	Starting Phase											
		А	в	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	I	J	к
	А		5	-	5	-	-	6	6	6	-	-
	В	5		5	-	-	5	-	5	8	8	-
	С	-	5		5	8	-	-	9	5	8	-
	D	5	-	5		-	6	-	7	8	5	-
Terminating	Е	-	-	8	-		1	-	-	-	-	5
Phase	F	-	5	-	6	-		5	-	-	-	-
	G	5	-	-	-	-	5		-	-	-	-
	н	6	6	6	6	-	-	-		-	-	-
	Ι	6	6	6	6	-	-	-	-		-	-
	J	-	6	6	6	-	-	-	-	-		-
	к	-	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	

Phases in Stage

Stage No.	Phases in Stage
1	AEF
2	ACFK
3	BDE
4	GHIJK

Stage Diagram



Phase Delays

Term. Stage	Start Stage	Phase	Туре	Value	Cont value					
There are no Phase Delays defined										

Prohibited Stage Change



Full Input Data And Results Give-Way Lane Input Data

Lane
Cymau
A550 / (
Road /
Wrexham
Junction:

	Max Turns in Intergreen (PCU)	4.00	4.00	3.00		0.00	
	Right Turn Move up (s)	4	4	ю	ç	o	
	RTF	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50		I
	Non-Blocking Storage (PCU)	ı	4.00	3.00	ı		
	Right Turn Storage (PCU)	4.00	4.00	3.00		0.00	
	Opp. Mvmnts.	To 6/1 (U-Turn) To 8/1 (Ahead)	To 2/1 (Right)	All	To 8/1 (Ahead)	To 8/1 (Right)	To 4/1 (Left) To 6/1 (Left)
	Opp. Lane Coeff.	1.09	1.09	1.09	0.22	0.22	1.09
-	Opposing Lane	5/1	7/2	1/1	5/1	3/1	3/1
le	Min Flow when Giving Way (PCU/Hr)	0	0	0	c	5	0
) / Cymau Lar	Max Flow when Giving Way (PCU/Hr)	1440	1440	1440	0777	0	1440
n Road / A550	Movement	6/1 (Right)	8/1 (Right)	2/1 (Right)	100000		4/1 (Right)
Junction: Wrexhar	Lane	1/2 (Wrexham Road S)	3/1 (Cymau Lane)	5/1 (Wrexham Road)	7/1	(A550)	7/2 (A550)

Full Input Data And Results Lane Input Data

Г

Junction: Wre	Junction: wrexnam Road / A550 / Cymau Lane												
Lane	Lane Type	Phases	Start Disp.	End Disp.	Physical Length (PCU)	Sat Flow Type	Def User Saturation Flow (PCU/Hr)	Lane Width (m)	Gradient	Nearside Lane	Turns	Turning Radius (m)	
1/1 (Wreybam		Δ	2	3	60.0	Geom		3 50	0.00	v	Arm 2 Left	12.00	
Road S)			2	5	00.0	Geom	-	5.50	0.00		Arm 4 Ahead	Inf	
1/2 (Wrexham Road S)	ο	F	2	3	6.3	Geom	-	3.25	0.00	Ν	Arm 6 Right	23.00	
2/1	U		2	3	60.0	Inf	-	-	-	-	-	-	
											Arm 4 Left	14.00	
3/1 (Cymau Lane)	О	В	2	3	60.0	Geom	-	3.00	0.00	Y	Arm 6 Left	Inf	
										Arr Ri	Arm 8 Right	14.00	
4/1	U		2	3	60.0	Inf	-	-	-	-	-	-	
											Arm 2 Right	20.00	
5/1 (Wrexham Road)	ο	С	2	3	60.0	Geom	-	3.25	0.00	Y	Arm 6 U- Turn	12.00	
											Arm 8 Ahead	Inf	
6/1	U		2	3	60.0	Geom	-	3.25	0.00	Y			
7/1 (A550)	ο	E	2	3	4.0	Geom	-	3.50	0.00	Y	Arm 8 Ahead	Inf	
7/2	0		2	3	60.0	Geom		3 75	0.00	Ν	Arm 2 Right	Inf	
(A550)		U	2	5	00.0	Geoin	-	5.75	0.00	IN	Arm 4 Right	12.00	
8/1	U		2	3	60.0	Geom	-	2.75	0.00	Y			

٦

Traffic Flow Groups

Flow Group	Start Time	End Time	Duration	Formula
1: 'Base 2016 AM'	07:45	08:45	01:00	
2: 'Base 2016 PM'	17:15	18:15	01:00	
3: 'Base 2022 AM'	07:45	08:45	01:00	
4: 'Base 2022 PM'	17:15	18:15	01:00	
5: 'Base + Development 2022 AM'	07:45	08:45	01:00	
6: 'Base + Development 2022 PM'	17:15	18:15	01:00	

Scenario 1: 'Base 2016 AM' (FG1: 'Base 2016 AM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Traffic Flows, Desired Desired Flow :

	Destination								
		А	В	С	D	Tot.			
Origin	А	0	33	545	493	1071			
	В	77	0	33	45	155			
	С	553	28	0	4	585			
	D	391	23	3	0	417			
	Tot.	1021	84	581	542	2228			

Traffic Lane Flows

Lane	Scenario 1: Base 2016 AM
Junction: Wrexham	Road / A550 / Cymau Lane
1/1 (with short)	1071(ln) 578(Out)
1/2 (short)	493
2/1	84
3/1	155
4/1	581
5/1	585
6/1	542
7/1 (short)	391
7/2 (with short)	417(In) 26(Out)
8/1	1021

Lane	Saturation	Flows
------	------------	-------

Junction: Wrexham Road / A550 / Cymau Lane								
Lane	Lane Width (m)	Gradient	Nearside Lane	Allowed Turns	Turning Radius (m)	Turning Prop.	Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)	Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)
1/1	3 50	0.00	Y	Arm 2 Left	12.00	5.7 %	1951	1951
(Wrexham Road S)	0.00	0.00	•	Arm 4 Ahead	Inf	94.3 %	1001	1001
1/2 (Wrexham Road S)	3.25	0.00	Ν	Arm 6 Right	23.00	100.0 %	1953	1953
2/1			Infinite S	aturation Flow			Inf	Inf
				Arm 4 Left	14.00	21.3 %		
3/1 (Cymau Lane)	3.00	0.00	Y	Arm 6 Left	Inf	29.0 %	1780	1780
(0)				Arm 8 Right	14.00	49.7 %	-	
4/1			Infinite S	aturation Flow			Inf	Inf
				Arm 2 Right	20.00	4.8 %		
5/1 (Wrexham Road)	3.25	0.00	Y	Arm 6 U-Turn	12.00	0.7 %	1931	1931
(Arm 8 Ahead	Inf	94.5 %		
6/1	3.25	0.00	Y				1940	1940
7/1 (A550)	3.50	0.00	Y	Arm 8 Ahead	Inf	100.0 %	1965	1965
7/2	2.75	0.00	N	Arm 2 Right	Inf	88.5 %	2100	2100
(A550)	3.15	0.00	N	Arm 4 Right	12.00	11.5 %		2100
8/1	2.75	0.00	Y				1890	1890

Scenario 2: 'Base 2016 PM' (FG2: 'Base 2016 PM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Traffic Flows, Desired Desired Flow :

	Destination								
Origin		A B		С	D	Tot.			
	А	0	50	456	403	909			
	В	35	0	22	17	74			
	С	450	28	0	12	490			
	D	432	30	6	0	468			
	Tot.	917	108	484	432	1941			

Traffic Lane Flows

Lane	Scenario 2: Base 2016 PM			
Junction: Wrexham	Road / A550 / Cymau Lane			
1/1 (with short)	909(In) 506(Out)			
1/2 (short)	403			
2/1	108			
3/1	74			
4/1	484			
5/1	490			
6/1	432			
7/1 (short)	432			
7/2 (with short)	468(In) 36(Out)			
8/1	917			

Lane Saturation Flows

Junction: Wrexham Road / A550 / Cymau Lane								
Lane	Lane Width (m)	Gradient	Nearside Lane	Allowed Turns	Turning Radius (m)	Turning Prop.	Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)	Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)
1/1	3 50	0.00	~	Arm 2 Left	12.00	9.9 %	10/1	10/1
(Wrexham Road S)	5.50	0.00	-	Arm 4 Ahead	Inf	90.1 %	1341	1341
1/2 (Wrexham Road S)	3.25	0.00	N	Arm 6 Right	23.00	100.0 %	1953	1953
2/1			Infinite S	aturation Flow			Inf	Inf
				Arm 4 Left	14.00	29.7 %		
3/1 (Cvmau Lane)	3.00	0.00	Y	Arm 6 Left	Inf	23.0 %	1769	1769
(0),				Arm 8 Right	14.00	47.3 %	-	
4/1		<u> </u>	Infinite S	aturation Flow			Inf	Inf
				Arm 2 Right	20.00	5.7 %		
5/1 (Wrexham Road)	3.25	0.00	Y	Arm 6 U-Turn	12.00	2.4 %	1926	1926
(**************************************				Arm 8 Ahead	Inf	91.8 %		
6/1	3.25	0.00	Y				1940	1940
7/1 (A550)	3.50	0.00	Y	Arm 8 Ahead	Inf	100.0 %	1965	1965
7/2	2.75	0.00	N	Arm 2 Right	Inf	83.3 %	2097	2087
(A550)	3.75	0.00	N	Arm 4 Right	12.00	16.7 %	2087	2087
8/1	2.75	0.00	Y				1890	1890

Scenario 3: 'Base 2022 AM' (FG3: 'Base 2022 AM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Traffic Flows, Desired Desired Flow :

	Destination								
		А	В	С	D	Tot.			
Origin	А	0	35	577	522	1134			
	В	81	0	35	48	164			
	С	586	30	0	4	620			
	D	414	25	3	0	442			
	Tot.	1081	90	615	574	2360			

Traffic Lane Flows

Lane	Scenario 3: Base 2022 AM				
Junction: Wrexham Road / A550 / Cymau Lan					
1/1 (with short)	1134(In) 612(Out)				
1/2 (short)	522				
2/1	90				
3/1	164				
4/1	615				
5/1	620				
6/1	574				
7/1 (short)	414				
7/2 (with short)	442(ln) 28(Out)				
8/1	1081				

Lane	Saturation	Flows
------	------------	-------

Junction: Wrexham Road / A550 / Cymau Lane								
Lane	Lane Width (m)	Gradient	Nearside Lane	Allowed Turns	Turning Radius (m)	Turning Prop.	Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)	Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)
1/1	3 50	0.00	Y	Arm 2 Left	12.00	5.7 %	1951	1951
(Wrexham Road S)	0.00	0.00	•	Arm 4 Ahead	Inf	94.3 %	1001	1001
1/2 (Wrexham Road S)	3.25	0.00	Ν	Arm 6 Right	23.00	100.0 %	1953	1953
2/1			Infinite S	aturation Flow			Inf	Inf
				Arm 4 Left	14.00	21.3 %		
3/1 (Cymau Lane)	3.00	0.00	Y	Arm 6 Left	Inf	29.3 %	1780	1780
(0)				Arm 8 Right	14.00	49.4 %	-	
4/1			Infinite S	aturation Flow			Inf	Inf
				Arm 2 Right	20.00	4.8 %		
5/1 (Wrexham Road)	3.25	0.00	Y	Arm 6 U-Turn	12.00	0.6 %	1931	1931
(Arm 8 Ahead	Inf	94.5 %		
6/1	3.25	0.00	Y				1940	1940
7/1 (A550)	3.50	0.00	Y	Arm 8 Ahead	Inf	100.0 %	1965	1965
7/2	0.75	0.00	N	Arm 2 Right	Inf	89.3 %	2102	0100
(A550)	3.75	0.00		Arm 4 Right	12.00	10.7 %		2102
8/1	2.75	0.00	Y				1890	1890

Scenario 4: 'Base 2022 PM' (FG4: 'Base 2022 PM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Traffic Flows, Desired Desired Flow :

			Desti	nation		
		А	В	С	D	Tot.
	А	0	53	483	427	963
Origin	В	37	0	23	18	78
Ongin	С	477	30	0	13	520
	D	458	32	6	0	496
	Tot.	972	115	512	458	2057

Traffic Lane Flows

Lane	Scenario 4: Base 2022 PM
Junction: Wrexham	Road / A550 / Cymau Lane
1/1 (with short)	963(In) 536(Out)
1/2 (short)	427
2/1	115
3/1	78
4/1	512
5/1	520
6/1	458
7/1 (short)	458
7/2 (with short)	496(In) 38(Out)
8/1	972

Lane Saturation Flows

Junction: Wrexhan	n Road	/ A550 / Cy	mau Lane					
Lane	Lane Width (m)	Gradient	Nearside Lane	Allowed Turns	Turning Radius (m)	Turning Prop.	Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)	Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)
1/1	3 50	0.00	~	Arm 2 Left	12.00	9.9 %	10/1	10/1
(Wrexham Road S)	5.50	0.00	I	Arm 4 Ahead	Inf	90.1 %	1941	1941
1/2 (Wrexham Road S)	3.25	0.00	N	Arm 6 Right	23.00	100.0 %	1953	1953
2/1			Infinite S	aturation Flow			Inf	Inf
				Arm 4 Left	14.00	29.5 %		
3/1 (Cvmau Lane)	3.00	0.00	Y	Arm 6 Left	Inf	23.1 %	1769	1769
(-))				Arm 8 Right	14.00	47.4 %		
4/1			Infinite S	aturation Flow			Inf	Inf
				Arm 2 Right	20.00	5.8 %		
5/1 (Wrexham Road)	3.25	0.00	Y	Arm 6 U-Turn	12.00	2.5 %	1926	1926
(**************************************				Arm 8 Ahead	Inf	91.7 %		
6/1	3.25	0.00	Y				1940	1940
7/1 (A550)	3.50	0.00	Y	Arm 8 Ahead	Inf	100.0 %	1965	1965
7/2	2 7E	0.00	N	Arm 2 Right	Inf	84.2 %	2000	2080
(A550)	3.15	0.00	IN	Arm 4 Right	12.00	15.8 %	2089	2089
8/1	2.75	0.00	Y				1890	1890

Scenario 5: 'Base + Development 2022 AM' (FG5: 'Base + Development 2022 AM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Traffic Flows, Desired Desired Flow :

			Desti	nation		
		А	В	С	D	Tot.
	А	0	37	582	522	1141
Origin	В	87	0	40	49	176
Ongin	С	599	32	0	7	638
	D	414	25	4	0	443
	Tot.	1100	94	626	578	2398

Traffic Lane Flows

Lane	Scenario 5: Base + Development 2022 AM
Junction: Wrexham	Road / A550 / Cymau Lane
1/1 (with short)	1141(In) 619(Out)
1/2 (short)	522
2/1	94
3/1	176
4/1	626
5/1	638
6/1	578
7/1 (short)	414
7/2 (with short)	443(ln) 29(Out)
8/1	1100

Lane Saturation	n Flow	s						
Junction: Wrexhan	n Road	/ A550 / Cy	mau Lane					
Lane	Lane Width (m)	Gradient	Nearside Lane	Allowed Turns	Turning Radius (m)	Turning Prop.	Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)	Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)
1/1	2 50	0.00	×	Arm 2 Left	12.00	6.0 %	1050	1050
(Wrexham Road S)	3.50	0.00	Ť	Arm 4 Ahead	Inf	94.0 %	1950	1950
1/2 (Wrexham Road S)	3.25	0.00	N	Arm 6 Right	23.00	100.0 %	1953	1953
2/1			Infinite S	aturation Flow			Inf	Inf
				Arm 4 Left	14.00	22.7 %		
3/1 (Cvmau Lane)	3.00	0.00	Y	Arm 6 Left	Inf	27.8 %	1778	1778
(-),				Arm 8 Right	14.00	49.4 %		
4/1			Infinite S	aturation Flow			Inf	Inf
				Arm 2 Right	20.00	5.0 %		
5/1 (Wrexham Road)	3.25	0.00	Y	Arm 6 U-Turn	12.00	1.1 %	1930	1930
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				Arm 8 Ahead	Inf	93.9 %		
6/1	3.25	0.00	Y				1940	1940
7/1 (A550)	3.50	0.00	Y	Arm 8 Ahead	Inf	100.0 %	1965	1965
7/2	3 75	0.00	N	Arm 2 Right	Inf	86.2 %	2004	2004
(A550)	3.73	0.00	IN	Arm 4 Right	12.00	13.8 %	2094	2094
8/1	2.75	0.00	Y				1890	1890

Scenario 6: 'Base + Development 2022 PM' (FG6: 'Base + Development 2022 PM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Traffic Flows, Desired Desired Flow :

	-		Desti	nation		
		А	В	С	D	Tot.
	А	0	59	496	427	982
Origin	В	40	0	26	18	84
Ongin	С	483	35	0	14	532
	D	458	33	9	0	500
	Tot.	981	127	531	459	2098

Traffic Lane Flows

Lane	Scenario 6: Base + Development 2022 PM
Junction: Wrexham	Road / A550 / Cymau Lane
1/1 (with short)	982(In) 555(Out)
1/2 (short)	427
2/1	127
3/1	84
4/1	531
5/1	532
6/1	459
7/1 (short)	458
7/2 (with short)	500(ln) 42(Out)
8/1	981

Lane Saturation Flows

Junction: Wrexhan	n Road	/ A550 / Cy	mau Lane					
Lane	Lane Width (m)	Gradient	Nearside Lane	Allowed Turns	Turning Radius (m)	Turning Prop.	Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)	Flared Sat Flow (PCU/Hr)
1/1 (Wrexham Road S)	3.50	0.00	Y	Arm 2 Left Arm 4 Ahead	12.00 Inf	10.6 % 89.4 %	1939	1939
1/2 (Wrexham Road S)	3.25	0.00	N	Arm 6 Right	23.00	100.0 %	1953	1953
2/1			Infinite S	aturation Flow			Inf	Inf
3/1 (Cymau Lane)	3.00	0.00	Y	Arm 4 Left Arm 6 Left	14.00 Inf	31.0 % 21.4 %	1766	1766
4/1			Infinite S	aturation Flow	14.00	47.0 /0	Inf	Inf
5/1 (Wrexham Road)	3.25	0.00	Y	Arm 2 Right Arm 6 U-Turn Arm 8 Ahead	20.00 12.00 Inf	6.6 % 2.6 % 90.8 %	1924	1924
6/1	3.25	0.00	Y				1940	1940
7/1 (A550)	3.50	0.00	Y	Arm 8 Ahead	Inf	100.0 %	1965	1965
7/2 (A550)	3.75	0.00	N	Arm 2 Right Arm 4 Right	Inf 12.00	78.6 % 21.4 %	2074	2074
8/1	2.75	0.00	Y				1890	1890

Scenario 1: 'Base 2016 AM' (FG1: 'Base 2016 AM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Stage Sequence Diagram



Stage Timings

Stage	1	2	3	4
Duration	18	56	9	7
Change Point	0	24	88	105

Signal Timings Diagram



Full Input Data And Results Network Layout Diagram



~~
-
_
(n
•••
A
Ψ.
N
<u> </u>
-
_
-
0
_
>
<
-
A
÷ u
_
~
~

ltem	Lane Description	Lane Type	Controller Stream	Position In Filtered Route	Full Phase	Arrow Phase	Num Greens	Total Green (s)	Arrow Green (s)	Demand Flow (pcu)	Sat Flow (pcu/Hr)	Capacity (pcu)	Deg Sat (%)
Network	ı	ı	N/A	ı	•		•	ı	•			·	83.9%
Wrexham Road / A550 / Cymau Lane		,	NIA					ı	•	1		ı	83.9%
1/1+1/2	Wrexham Road S Left Ahead Right	0+N	N/A	N/A	АF		-	82:83	1	1071	1951:1953	1276	83.9%
2/1			N/A	N/A	I		ı	I	ī	84	Inf	Inf	0.0%
3/1	Cymau Lane Left Left2 Right	0	N/A	N/A	В		-	12	1	155	1780	193	80.4%
4/1			N/A	N/A	I		I	ī	ī	581	Inf	Inf	0.0%
5/1	Wrexham Road Right U-Turn Ahead	0	N/A	N/A	0		-	56		585	1931	917	63.8%
6/1		∍	N/A	N/A	,		,	ı	,	542	1940	1940	27.9%
7/2+7/1	A550 Right Right2 Ahead	0	N/A	N/A	DE		1:2	11:27	1	417	2100:1965	499	83.6%
8/1		⊃	N/A	N/A	,					1021	1890	1890	54.0%

Full Input Da	ita And Results												
ltem	Arriving (pcu)	Leaving (pcu)	Turners In Gaps (pcu)	Turners When Unopposed (pcu)	Turners In Intergreen (pcu)	Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Rand + Oversat Delay (pcuHr)	Storage Area Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Total Delay (pcuHr)	Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu)	Max. Back of Uniform Queue (pcu)	Rand + Oversat Queue (pcu)	Mean Max Queue (pcu)
Network		•	500	679	207	12.9	8.5	1.2	22.6	•	•	·	·
Wrexham Road / A550 / Cymau Lane			500	679	207	12.9	8.5	1.2	22.6				
1/1+1/2	1071	1071	204	242	47	2.4	2.5	1.1	6.1	20.6	12.2	2.5	14.7
2/1	84	84	I	I	I	0.0	0.0	I	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3/1	155	155	76	0	-	2.3	1.9	0.0	4.1	95.8	5.0	1.9	6.9
4/1	581	581	I	I	I	0.0	0.0	I	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5/1	585	585	28	0	0	3.9	0.9	0.0	4.7	29.1	14.6	0.9	15.5
6/1	542	542	ı	ı	,	0.0	0.2	ı	0.2	1.3	0.0	0.2	0.2
7/2+7/1	417	417	193	437	158	4.4	2.4	0.1	6.9	59.4	9.5	2.4	11.9
8/1	1021	1021	-		ı	0.0	0.6	1	0.6	2.1	4.7	0.6	5.3
	,	C1	PRC for Sig PRC OV	<pre>jnalled Lanes (%): rer All Lanes (%):</pre>	7.3 7.3	Total Delay for Total Dela	· Signalled Lanes (₁ 3y Over All Lanes(₅	ocuHr): 21.85 ocuHr): 22.63	Cycle	Time (s): 120			

Full Input Data And Results Scenario 2: 'Base 2016 PM' (FG2: 'Base 2016 PM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Stage Sequence Diagram



Stage Timings

Stage	1	2	3	4
Duration	32	44	7	7
Change Point	0	38	90	105

Signal Timings Diagram



Full Input Data And Results Network Layout Diagram



~~
-
_
(n
•••
a
Ψ.
N
<u> </u>
-
_
-
0
_
>
<
-
A
÷ u
_
~
~

Item	Lane Description	Lane Type	Controller Stream	Position In Filtered Route	Full Phase	Arrow Phase	Num Greens	Total Green (s)	Arrow Green (s)	Demand Flow (pcu)	Sat Flow (pcu/Hr)	Capacity (pcu)	Deg Sat (%)
Network	,	•	N/A	1	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	67.8%
Wrexham Road / A550 / Cymau Lane			NIA				1					ı	67.8%
1/1+1/2	Wrexham Road S Left Ahead Right	0+N	N/A	N/A	АF		-	84:85	,	606	1941:1953	1416	64.2%
2/1			N/A	N/A	ı		I	I	T	108	Inf	Inf	0.0%
3/1	Cymau Lane Left Left2 Right	0	N/A	N/A	В		-	10	,	74	1769	162	45.6%
4/1			N/A	N/A	ı		I	I	T	484	Inf	Inf	0.0%
5/1	Wrexham Road Right U-Turn Ahead	0	N/A	N/A	O		~	4	,	490	1926	722	67.8%
6/1		С	N/A	N/A	,		ı	,	·	432	1940	1940	22.3%
7/2+7/1	A550 Right Right2 Ahead	0	N/A	N/A	DE		1:2	9:39	1	468	2087:1965	002	66.9%
8/1		D	N/A	N/A					,	917	1890	1890	48.5%

Full Input Da	ita And Results												
ltem	Arriving (pcu)	Leaving (pcu)	Turners In Gaps (pcu)	Turners When Unopposed (pcu)	Turners In Intergreen (pcu)	Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Rand + Oversat Delay (pcuHr)	Storage Area Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Total Delay (pcuHr)	Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu)	Max. Back of Uniform Queue (pcu)	Rand + Oversat Queue (pcu)	Mean Max Queue (pcu)
Network	1	•	376	819	147	11.1	4.0	0.7	15.8	•	•		·
Wrexham Road / A550 / Cymau Lane			376	819	147	11.1	4.0	2:0	15.8				
1/1+1/2	606	606	149	238	16	1.7	0.9	9.0	3.2	12.6	7.1	0.9	7.9
2/1	108	108	I	,	ı	0.0	0.0	I	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3/1	74	74	34	0	-	1.1	0.4	0.0	1.5	72.5	2.3	0.4	2.7
4/1	484	484	ı	1	ı	0.0	0.0	I	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5/1	490	490	28	0	0	4.3	1.0	0.0	5.3	39.1	13.6	1.0	14.7
6/1	432	432	,	ı	,	0.0	0.1	ı	0.1	1.2	0.0	0.1	0.1
7/2+7/1	468	468	165	580	130	4.1	1.0	0.1	5.2	39.7	8.8	1.0	9.8
8/1	917	917	ı		ı	0.0	0.5	1	0.5	1.8	1.6	0.5	2.0
	,	C1	PRC for Sig PRC Ov	<pre>jnalled Lanes (%): rer All Lanes (%):</pre>	32.7 32.7	Total Delay for Total Dela	· Signalled Lanes (₁ 3y Over All Lanes(₁	ocuHr): 15.16 ocuHr): 15.77	Cycle -	Time (s): 120			

Full Input Data And Results Scenario 3: 'Base 2022 AM' (FG3: 'Base 2022 AM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Stage Sequence Diagram



Stage Timings

Stage	1	2	3	4
Duration	20	55	8	7
Change Point	0	26	89	105

Signal Timings Diagram



Full Input Data And Results Network Layout Diagram



U)
-
_
_
~~
_
÷ u
\mathbf{n}
_
~
_
-
0
<u> </u>
<u> </u>
~
~
ست
a
•
_
~
_

			4					e.	L	ĺ			
ltem	Lane Description	Lane Type	Controller Stream	Position In Filtered Route	Full Phase	Arrow Phase	Num Greens	Total Green (s)	Arrow Green (s)	Demand Flow (pcu)	Sat Flow (pcu/Hr)	Capacity (pcu)	Deg Sat (%)
Network	1	•	N/A	ı	•		•	ı	•	•	•	ı	92.1%
Wrexham Road / A550 / Cymau Lane			N/A					,		,		·	92.1%
1/1+1/2	Wrexham Road S Left Ahead Right	0+1	N/A	N/A	ΑF			83:84		1134	1951:1953	1236	91.7%
2/1			N/A	N/A	I		I	I	I	06	Inf	Inf	%0.0
3/1	Cymau Lane Left Left2 Right	0	N/A	N/A	В		-	1	'	164	1780	178	92.1%
4/1			N/A	N/A	ı		ı	I	ı	615	Inf	Inf	%0.0
5/1	Wrexham Road Right U-Turn Ahead	0	N/A	NA	O		-	55	,	620	1931	901	68.8%
6/1		D	N/A	N/A	,		'	ı	'	574	1940	1940	29.6%
7/2+7/1	A550 Right Right2 Ahead	0	N/A	N/A	DE		1:2	10:28	1	442	2102:1965	516	85.7%
8/1		D	N/A	N/A	1		'	ı	'	1081	1890	1890	57.2%

Full Input Da	ita And Results												
ltem	Arriving (pcu)	Leaving (pcu)	Turners In Gaps (pcu)	Turners When Unopposed (pcu)	Turners In Intergreen (pcu)	Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Rand + Oversat Delay (pcuHr)	Storage Area Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Total Delay (pcuHr)	Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu)	Max. Back of Uniform Queue (pcu)	Rand + Oversat Queue (pcu)	Mean Max Queue (pcu)
Network	1	•	445	770	252	14.2	13.6	1.5	29.3	•	•	·	,
Wrexham Road / A550 / Cymau Lane			445	770	252	14.2	13.6	1.5	29.3	,	,	•	
1/1+1/2	1134	1134	170	257	95	2.7	5.1	1.4	9.1	29.0	13.8	5.1	18.9
2/1	06	06	I	,	I	0.0	0.0	I	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3/1	164	164	73	0	80	2.4	3.8	0.0	6.2	137.1	5.4	3.8	9.2
4/1	615	615	I	I	I	0.0	0.0	I	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5/1	620	620	30	0	0	4.3	1.1	0.0	5.4	31.5	16.2	1.1	17.3
6/1	574	574	,	ı	,	0.0	0.2	ı	0.2	1.3	1.1	0.2	1.3
7/2+7/1	442	442	172	513	149	4.8	2.8	0.1	7.6	62.3	9.8	2.8	12.6
8/1	1081	1081	ı		ı	0.0	0.7	I	0.7	2.3	4.7	0.7	5.4
	,	C1	PRC for Sig PRC Ov	<pre>jnalled Lanes (%): rer All Lanes (%):</pre>	-2.4 -2.4	Total Delay for Total Dela	· Signalled Lanes (p sy Over All Lanes(p	ocuHr): 28.45 ocuHr): 29.34	Cycle -	Time (s): 120	-		

Full Input Data And Results Scenario 4: 'Base 2022 PM' (FG4: 'Base 2022 PM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Stage Sequence Diagram



Stage Timings

Stage	1	2	3	4
Duration	32	44	7	7
Change Point	0	38	90	105

Signal Timings Diagram



Full Input Data And Results Network Layout Diagram


Full Input Data And Results

C)
=
_
S
A)
_
\sim
<u>×</u>
Ē
-
0
~
<
-
-
Ū.
_
~

						-							
ltem	Lane Description	Lane Type	Controller Stream	Position In Filtered Route	Full Phase	Arrow Phase	Num Greens	Total Green (s)	Arrow Green (s)	Demand Flow (pcu)	Sat Flow (pcu/Hr)	Capacity (pcu)	Deg Sat (%)
Network	ı	•	N/A		•		•	•	•	•	•	•	72.0%
Wrexham Road / A550 / Cymau Lane			N/A				ı				ı	ı	72.0%
1/1+1/2	Wrexham Road S Left Ahead Right	0+N	N/A	N/A	АF		-	84:85	,	963	1941:1953	1371	70.2%
2/1			N/A	N/A	I		ı	I	ı	115	Inf	Inf	0.0%
3/1	Cymau Lane Left Left2 Right	0	N/A	N/A	8		-	10	ı	78	1769	162	48.1%
4/1			N/A	N/A	I		ı	I	ı	512	Inf	Inf	0.0%
5/1	Wrexham Road Right U-Turn Ahead	0	N/A	N/A	O		~	44	,	520	1926	722	72.0%
6/1		С	N/A	N/A	,				,	458	1940	1940	23.6%
7/2+7/1	A550 Right Right2 Ahead	0	N/A	N/A	DE		1:2	9:39	1	496	2089:1965	700	70.9%
8/1			N/A	N/A	,				,	972	1890	1890	51.4%

Full Input Da	ita And Results												
ltem	Arriving (pcu)	Leaving (pcu)	Turners In Gaps (pcu)	Turners When Unopposed (pcu)	Turners In Intergreen (pcu)	Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Rand + Oversat Delay (pcuHr)	Storage Area Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Total Delay (pcuHr)	Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu)	Max. Back of Uniform Queue (pcu)	Rand + Oversat Queue (pcu)	Mean Max Queue (pcu)
Network		•	359	884	180	12.1	4.8	0.9	17.7	•		•	ı
Wrexham Road / A550 / Cymau Lane			359	884	180	12.1	4.8	6.0	17.7			ı	
1/1+1/2	963	963	128	253	46	1.8	1.2	0.8	3.8	14.1	8.2	1.2	9.3
2/1	115	115	I	1	I	0.0	0.0	I	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3/1	78	78	36	0	-	1.1	0.5	0.0	1.6	73.6	2.5	0.5	2.9
4/1	512	512	I	1	ı	0.0	0.0	ı	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5/1	520	520	30	0	0	4.6	1.3	0.0	5.9	40.9	14.7	1.3	16.0
6/1	458	458	1	ı		0.0	0.2	-	0.2	1.2	0'0	0.2	0.2
7/2+7/1	496	496	164	631	133	4.5	1.2	0.1	5.7	41.7	6.3	1.2	10.5
8/1	972	972	1		ı	0.0	0.5	I	0.5	2.0	1.6	0.5	2.1
		C	PRC for Sig PRC OV	<pre>jnalled Lanes (%):</pre>	25.0 25.0	Total Delay for Total Dela	Signalled Lanes (p. y Over All Lanes(p.	ocuHr): 17.03 ocuHr): 17.71	Cycle [.]	Time (s): 120			

Full Input Data And Results Scenario 5: 'Base + Development 2022 AM' (FG5: 'Base + Development 2022 AM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Stage Sequence Diagram



Stage Timings

Stage	1	2	3	4
Duration	17	57	9	7
Change Point	0	23	88	105

Signal Timings Diagram



Full Input Data And Results Network Layout Diagram



Full Input Data And Results

C)
=
_
S
A)
_
~
<u>×</u>
Ē
-
0
~
<
-
-
Ū.
_
~

						-							
ltem	Lane Description	Lane Type	Controller Stream	Position In Filtered Route	Full Phase	Arrow N Phase G	Vum Greens	Total Green (s)	Arrow Green (s)	Demand Flow (pcu)	Sat Flow (pcu/Hr)	Capacity (pcu)	Deg Sat (%)
Network	1	•	N/A		•			•	•		•	•	94.6%
Wrexham Road / A550 / Cymau Lane			N/A							I	r	ı	94.6%
1/1+1/2	Wrexham Road S Left Ahead Right	0+N	N/A	N/A	АF			82:83	1	1141	1950:1953	1207	94.6%
2/1			N/A	N/A	I		I	ı	I	94	Inf	Inf	0.0%
3/1	Cymau Lane Left Left2 Right	0	N/A	N/A	8		~	12	I	176	1778	193	91.4%
4/1			N/A	N/A	I		I	ı	I	626	Inf	Inf	0.0%
5/1	Wrexham Road Right U-Turn Ahead	0	N/A	N/A	O		, -	57	ı	638	1930	933	68.4%
6/1		С	N/A	N/A	,		ı		ı	578	1940	1940	29.8%
7/2+7/1	A550 Right Right2 Ahead	0	N/A	Y/N	DE		1:2	11:26	I	443	2094:1965	483	91.6%
8/1		С	N/A	N/A	,				ı	1100	1890	1890	58.2%

Full Input Da	ta And Results												
ltem	Arriving (pcu)	Leaving (pcu)	Turners In Gaps (pcu)	Turners When Unopposed (pcu)	Turners In Intergreen (pcu)	Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Rand + Oversat Delay (pcuHr)	Storage Area Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Total Delay (pcuHr)	Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu)	Max. Back of Uniform Queue (pcu)	Rand + Oversat Queue (pcu)	Mean Max Queue (pcu)
Network		•	483	721	273	15.0	17.3	1.7	33.9	•		·	
Wrexham Road / A550 / Cymau Lane			483	721	273	15.0	17.3	1.7	33.9	,	,	·	•
1/1+1/2	1141	1141	175	240	107	3.2	7.1	1.6	11.9	37.5	15.0	7.1	22.1
2/1	94	94	ı	1	ı	0.0	0.0	I	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3/1	176	176	81	0	9	2.6	3.7	0.0	6.3	128.3	5.8	3.7	9.4
4/1	626	626	ı	1	ı	0.0	0.0	I	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5/1	638	638	32	ο	0	4.2	1.1	0.0	5.3	30.0	16.3	1.1	17.4
6/1	578	578	,	,	,	0.0	0.2	ı	0.2	1.3	1.7	0.2	1.9
7/2+7/1	443	443	195	482	159	4.9	4.5	0.1	9.5	77.3	10.2	4.5	14.7
8/1	1100	1100	I			0.0	0.7	ı	0.7	2.3	5.4	0.7	6.1
		C1	PRC for Sig PRC OV	gnalled Lanes (%): /er All Lanes (%):	-5.1 -5.1	Total Delay for Total Dela	r Signalled Lanes (p ay Over All Lanes(p	ocuHr): 32.98 ocuHr): 33.90	Cycle [.]	Time (s): 120		,	

Full Input Data And Results Scenario 6: 'Base + Development 2022 PM' (FG6: 'Base + Development 2022 PM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Stage Sequence Diagram



Stage Timings

Stage	1	2	3	4
Duration	30	45	8	7
Change Point	0	36	89	105

Signal Timings Diagram



Full Input Data And Results Network Layout Diagram



Full Input Data And Results

C)
=
_
S
A)
_
\sim
<u>×</u>
Ē
-
0
~
<
-
-
Ū.
_
~

											L		
ltem	Lane Description	Lane Type	Controller Stream	Position In Filtered Route	Full Phase	Arrow Phase	Num Greens	Total Green (s)	Arrow Green (s)	Demand Flow (pcu)	Sat Flow (pcu/Hr)	Capacity (pcu)	Deg Sat (%)
Network	•	•	N/A	1	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	72.8%
Wrexham Road / A550 / Cymau Lane			N/A				,		•		ı	ı	72.8%
1/1+1/2	Wrexham Road S Left Ahead Right	0+N	N/A	N/A	ΑF		~	83:84		982	1939:1953	1365	71.9%
2/1			N/A	N/A	ı		ı	I	I	127	Inf	Inf	%0.0
3/1	Cymau Lane Left Left2 Right	0	N/A	N/A	В		~	1	1	84	1766	177	47.6%
4/1			N/A	N/A	ı		ı	ı	I	531	Inf	Inf	0.0%
5/1	Wrexham Road Right U-Turn Ahead	0	N/A	N/A	O		~	45		532	1924	738	72.1%
6/1		С	N/A	N/A	1		ı	,	,	459	1940	1940	23.7%
7/2+7/1	A550 Right Right2 Ahead	0	N/A	N/A	DE		1:2	10:38	1	500	2074:1965	687	72.8%
8/1		С	N/A	N/A	1		,		1	981	1890	1890	51.9%

Full Input Da	ata And Results												
Item	Arriving (pcu)	Leaving (pcu)	Turners In Gaps (pcu)	Turners When Unopposed (pcu)	Turners In Intergreen (pcu)	Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Rand + Oversat Delay (pcuHr)	Storage Area Uniform Delay (pcuHr)	Total Delay (pcuHr)	Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu)	Max. Back of Uniform Queue (pcu)	Rand + Oversat Queue (pcu)	Mean Max Queue (pcu)
Network		1	398	847	190	12.4	5.0	0.9	18.3	•	•		ı
Wrexham Road / A550 / Cymau Lane			398	847	190	12.4	5.0	0.9	18.3	•	•		,
1/1+1/2	982	982	131	249	47	2.0	1.3	0.8	4.1	15.0	9.8	1.3	11.1
2/1	127	127	I	,	I	0.0	0.0	ı	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3/1	84	84	39	0	~	1.2	0.4	0.0	1.7	70.8	2.6	0.4	3.1
4/1	531	531	I	I	I	0.0	0.0	ı	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5/1	532	532	35	0	0	4.7	1.3	0.0	5.9	40.2	15.1	1.3	16.4
6/1	459	459	ı	ı	I	0.0	0.2	-	0.2	1.2	0.0	0.2	0.2
7/2+7/1	500	500	193	598	143	4.6	1.3	0.1	6.0	42.9	9.6	1.3	11.0
8/1	981	981	-		T	0.0	0.5		0.5	2.0	0.5	0.5	1.1
		C1	PRC for Sig PRC OV	jnalled Lanes (%): er All Lanes (%):	23.6 23.6	Total Delay for Total Dela	 Signalled Lanes () ay Over All Lanes() 	pcuHr): 17.65 ocuHr): 18.34	Cycle	Time (s): 120	-		